ST. PETER AND THE RESURRECTION

BY WILLIAM WEBER.

(Concluded).

I Cor. xiv. contains a very explicit description of the glossolalia of the early Christians. It was an ecstatic act during which the intellect was overpowered by emotions. It was not speaking in the proper sense. Only sounds, unintelligible to others except to those who possessed the gift of interpreting tongues, were brought forth. The movements of the body conformed to the inarticulate sounds of the entranced person. A stranger and unbeliever coming upon Christians engaged in speaking with tongues would call them mad people. That is a parallel to Act. ii. 13 where they are charged with being drunk.

Christian glossolalia reminds us of the Mohammedan whirling and howling dervishes. Both go back to similar religious exercises of the ancient Semites. At the time of Samuel, the prophets prophesied to the music of a psaltery, timbrel, pipe, and harp; and even Saul was seized by the spirit and found among the prophets. Prophecy in Israel was always closely connected with manticism. The latter is a trance in which consciousness is overpowered and lost altogether for the time being, while in prophecy of the highest type the mind is exalted but retains control over the intellect. After the Babylonian captivity, prophecy ceased among the Jews because the scribes usurped the place of the prophets. But the pious remembered what it was and hoped for its revival, expecting a baptism in the Spirit at the coming of the Messiah for all who would be accepted by him.

Thus the beginning of St. Peter's speech fits perfectly into the situation as outlined Act. ii. 1, 4, 12-13. The apostle rejects indignantly the slur of those who had accused him and his friends to be
under the influence of liquor. What they see and hear is the ful-
filment of a prophecy of Joel (ii. 28 f.), the pouring out of the
spirit of prophecy upon God's servants and handmaidens, which
was to usher in the kingdom of God. The language of verse 14-16
is clear and precise; the sentences are short and may readily be
retranslated into Hebrew. Only one fault could be found with the
quotation. Verse 19-20 is not called for by what had happened.
The wonders and signs in the sky and on earth, the baptism of fire,
or the destruction of the present world with all evil-doers had not
realized as yet. The main and alone convincing argument, whose
force could not be denied, was the baptism in the spirit which St.
Peter and his companions had received. That showed all who had
eyes to see and ears to hear that God recognized them as his beloved
children in whom he was well pleased. It also announced the near-
ness of the kingdom of God. Thus I am inclined to consider only
verse 17-18 as quoted by the apostle. Verse 19-21 may have been
added later on because they belong to the old prophecy.

The main argument must have explained the intimate rela-
tions which existed between the inspired men and women and Jesus
of Nazareth who had been crucified fifty days before. The apostle
was bound to confess that he and his companions believed Jesus
was the Messiah despite his ignominious death and to point to their
gift of prophecy as confirming their belief in Jesus. That thought
is expressed indeed in verse 36: "Let all the house of Israel there-
fore know assuredly, that God has made him both Lord and Christ,
this Jesus whom ye crucified!" In closing, the speech had to ap-
teal to the people to accept Jesus as their Christ. That also is
contained in our account as answer to the question: "Brethren, what
shall we do?" For Peter told them: "Repent ye, and be
baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ unto the
remission of your sins; and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy
Spirit. For to you is the promise and to your children and to all
that are afar off, as many as the Lord our God shall call unto Him."
There may be later additions in that statement. As such I am
inclined to consider the reference to Gentile Christians. Also the
phrases "repent ye" and "unto the remission of your sins" may be-
long to an age when they formed an integral part of any appeal to
would-be believers.

Nevertheless, Act. ii. 14-18 and 36-39 are only fragments be-
cause the most important part, the application of Joel's prophecy
to the Pentecost event, is lost and the conclusion based thereon as
to the standing of the disciples and their crucified master in the
kingdom of God is missing. The compiler of Acts either has filled the lacuna by inserting verse 22-35, or he has created the gap himself in order to make room for his argument for the resurrection and ascension. That this argument does not fit into the given situation cannot be overlooked. It was the very first time that the testimony of the resurrection of Jesus was given in public. Therefore we ought to expect the speaker to simply tell what had happened on and since Easter morning. In such cases, it is alone the sincerity of the witness that carries conviction. Instead of following this natural course, our passage undertakes to prove from the Old Testament that the Messiah after his death must have risen and ascended into heaven. In other words, the apostle is represented as arguing with people who had heard the Easter message before but were in doubt about it. Our passage thus is an apology whereas a polemic is required.

The introduction (verse 22-24) confirms that the passage under discussion does not belong to the original Pentecost address. For it differs too much from verse 14-16. There we have short and simple sentences as we should expect from a Hebrew and a man who is talking to plain people. Here three verses form one long compound sentence the author of which had to remind his readers of what he had written in the beginning before he was half through. The principal statement is "ye did slay," which is accompanied in Greek by a participle "having crucified." The direct object is "Jesus of Nazareth," which stands without any apparent reason at the head of the sentence. It is modified by the participial construction "a man approved of God unto you by mighty words and wonders and signs." This is taken up by the relative clause "which God did by him in the midst of you." Then the whole is modified by a second relative clause: "as ye yourselves know." The following demonstrative pronoun, rendered "him" in English, refers to the direct object and introduces the participial phrase "being delivered up by the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God." The prepositional phrase "by the hand of lawless men" belongs to the main verb. Verse 24 contains another relative and causal clause which modify the direct object.

Only a Greek can have composed such a sentence in which is found not the least suggestion of Hebrew-Aramaic. But even as an originally Greek statement, it invites criticism. The writer wanted to discuss the resurrection of Jesus. Therefore he ought to have announced his subject in a principal statement, not a dependent clause.
That Jesus was approved of God unto the Jews "by mighty works and wonders and signs" cannot be accepted as true. The Gospels, especially the fourth, tell of many miracles which Jesus performed; but they also record the express and general refusal of Jesus to do any miracles. It is impossible to harmonize these two traditions. They contradict and exclude each other. Jesus was evidently a great healer. But curing diseases, especially those of the nervous system, was considered in antiquity as one of the legitimate tasks of prophets, even among the Greeks. Such cures, however, no matter how astonishing they might be, were not regarded as miracles. Not only Jesus, but also the sons of the Pharisees cast out devils. If thus one tradition represents Jesus as refusing to perform miracles, while another has him do the 'most wonderful and impossible things, we may safely consider only the former as genuine. The latter must belong to a later age when an avalanche of superstition had buried the old and simple truth about Jesus. For all these reasons, verse 22-24 cannot have been pronounced by the apostle Peter.

The same fact is demonstrated by the words "ye by the hand of lawless men did crucify and slay him." The speaker apparently holds the entire Jewish nation responsible for the death of Jesus. But that nation as such had no part in the crucifixion. They were not given a chance either of protesting against or approving of the execution of Jesus. The chief priests, seconded by the scribes, had plotted in secret to have Jesus killed. A combination of circumstances convinced Pontius Pilate of the dangerous character of Jesus even before his enemies could denounce him as a pretender to the throne of David. There was no public trial neither at the temple nor at the praetorium, and the execution was rather a secret affair. The Roman governor imagined he had to suppress a threatening insurrection by killing its leader before his followers could rise in arms. All information to the contrary contained in the Gospels is of late and legendary origin.

The main stumbling block is the message of the resurrection itself. St. Peter could not use it for convincing Jews of the divine mission of Jesus. They had never heard of an individual rising from the dead in order to enter heaven. Men like Enoch, Moses, and Elijah had been taken up into heaven before their death. The Pharisees and all the pious Jews of the New Testament age believed in a general resurrection of the dead. But that was a resurrection by which the just did not ascend into heaven but returned
to the renewed earth. Consequently, if the first disciples had preached the resurrection and ascension of Jesus, they would have called forth immediate and violent contradiction.

It looks as if the doctrine of the resurrection of Jesus has been added to the Christian faith by the Greeks. The message of the crucified Christ was "unto Jews a stumbling block and unto Gentiles foolishness." Greek mythology saves as a rule favorites of the gods from Hades in the same manner as the mythology of the Jews. They are carried up to Olympus just before they die as for instance Hercules. The story of Orpheus and Euridice shows that they also believed in a possible return from the dead to life on earth. But the case of Castor, the brother of Pollux, is a direct parallel to the resurrection and ascension of Jesus. Christians of Greek descent, anxious to remove the foolishness of the message of the crucified Christ, could do nothing with the old Greek and Jewish ascension. The real death of Jesus had been emphasized too strongly by the first apostles. In looking for something else, they happened to think of Castor and Pollux. How un-Jewish that solution of the problem was and is may be learned from the unconditional rejection of the new doctrine by the Jews.

The scriptural proof for the resurrection and ascension of Jesus, attempted in vers. 25-28 and 35, confirms what we have learned so far. The first quotation (Ps. xvi)

"Thou wilt not leave me to Sheol;
Thou wilt not suffer the pious one to see the Pit."

was not understood by the Jews to refer to the Messiah. Wellhausen (Book of Psalms, p. 169) says: "The speaker is not a mere individual. Pious Israel will not succumb to the danger with which it is threatened by heathenism. When fighting for JHVH'S cause, it will not be destroyed." Briggs (Psalms i, p. 122) states: "The psalmist will see Sheol, but he will not be abandoned there; he will not see the Pit, the dungeon of Sheol, the place of the wicked. The pious could hardly go there." The same scholar explains the verse

"Thou wilt make known to me the path of life."

"This might imply resurrection if the psalm were late enough, a path leading up out of Sheol to eternal life. But the context does not suggest this; the path rather leads to the presence of God in the abode of the dead."

The other quotation is from Psalm cx. Briggs (Psalms ii, p. 373) translates it:
"Utterance of Yahweh to my lord:
Sit enthroned at my right hand,
Till I make thine enemies a stool for thy feet."

According to Wellhausen, the poem is addressed to one of the kings of the Hasmonaean priestly dynasty.

The Palestinian Jews of the apostolic age understood, of course, what those psalms meant. Even if they believed the second of the two psalms to be a prophecy of king David, they would locate the throne of the Messiah, not in heaven, but at Jerusalem. Under these circumstances, no Palestinian Jew like St. Peter could think of using the two psalms for the purpose of proving the resurrection and ascension of Jesus. The Jews have always despised the childish endeavors of ignorant Gentile Christians to discover for each and every Christian doctrine Old Testament authority.

The Pentecost address, ascribed to the apostle Peter in Acts, consists of two heterogeneous fragments which were welded together by the compiler of that book. The older belongs evidently to a trustworthy source, and it must reach back to the day of Pentecost. The other is a product of the second century and may be a hundred years younger than its yoke-mate. It illustrates what Gentile Christians about A.D. 150 regarded as the most effective Pentecost sermon and therefore put it into the mouth of St. Peter.

THE REMAINING SPEECHES OF ST. PETER

The address of St. Peter in Solomon's porch, after curing the lame beggar (Act. iii. 12-26), is from a purely literary standpoint the best of all Petrine discourses in Acts. It is written in fluent Greek. The sentences, while not complicated, abound in participle constructions and dependent clauses. There is nothing to suggest a translation of a Hebrew original. Verse 24 offers the only difficulty. It reads according to the Greek text: "Yea and all the prophets from Samuel and them that followed after as many as have spoken and told of these days." The American Revised Version has: "Yea and all the prophets from Samuel and them that followed after as many as have spoken, they also told of these days." It is clear why the translators substituted also for and. The Greek sentence is incomplete because the principal statement lacks a predicate. But the "dependent clause "as many as have spoken" has no meaning in itself. There never lived a prophet who did not speak. Therefore, the prepositional phrase, "of these days" modifies not only
“told,” but also “have spoken.” Verse 24 is simply a marginal note which has found its way into the text. It is entirely uncalled for. The prophecy of Deut. xviii. 15 and the warning of Deut. xviii. 19 in verse 22-23 require no corroboration by younger prophets. In matters of religion, the Jews recognized no authority as superior to that of Moses.

The short speech consists of two parts, verse 12-18 and 19-26. The former dwells upon the true import of the cure of the lame man both negatively and positively. It was not the personal power and piety of Peter and John that had made him to walk; but it was God who had glorified by that deed his servant Jesus, the same Jesus whom the hearers had killed. But God had raised him from the dead; and through faith in the name of the heavenly Jesus the beggar had received perfect health. The words: “Brethren, I know that in ignorance ye did it as also did your rulers” prepares the way for the appeal to repent and believe in Jesus. Their sin was not too great to be forgiven since the death of Jesus had been preordained by God himself, and the suffering of the Christ had been foretold by the prophets. The second part has three subdivisions. The Jews are urged to become followers of Jesus in order to inherit the kingdom of God. (Verse 19-22.) They are warned of the punishment, awaiting those who would not hearken to the prophet. (Verse 23.) Finally, the speaker explains why he delivers his message to them. As sons of Abraham, they were nearer to God and his Christ than the other nations.

The very language excludes the authorship of St. Peter. Whether or not he was able to exhort an audience in fluent, idiomatic Greek, he spoke at that occasion Hebrew, whereas our speech has been composed originally in Greek. Moreover, it was written after the third Gospel had been completed. For the words: “when he had determined to release him” can refer only to Lk. xxiii. 16, 22. Such an affinity between the Acts and Luke may seem to be only natural because both books were compiled by the same man. However, the remark in question does not belong to the oldest, apostolic source of the crucifixion but to a late Gentile Christian addition.

The execution of Jesus by order of a Roman governor troubled the Gentile Christians very much. Since Jesus was supposed to have undergone a regular trial, his condemnation proved him a criminal. In trying to solve that riddle, they never thought of Pilate having acted in good faith. He saw in Jesus a dangerous man who was organizing a rebellion. His ignorance of the Jewish language
and his fear of that stubborn race favored such a misunderstanding. But the Greeks rather argued; the absolute innocence of Jesus was quite obvious to Pilate. His moral cowardice caused him to give way before the fanaticism of the Jewish rabble and the insistence of the priests and scribes. This way of accounting for the death of an absolutely guiltless man by order of a Roman governor has been followed farthest in Luke. There even the tetrarch Herod confirms Pilate’s favorable opinion of Jesus.

Such secondary and tertiary traditions in the Gospels belong, of course, to a late date. They arose in all likelihood during the first half of the second century if not later, in any case, after all attempts had been abandoned of understanding the death of Jesus as an event of human history in order to contemplate it exclusively as a mysterious decree of God which the enemies of Jesus were forced to put into effect nilly-willy.

The late date of our address is also indicated by the reiterated accusal of the Jewish people of having murdered Jesus. “Ye delivered and denied” his servant Jesus. “Ye denied the Holy and Righteous One and asked for a murderer.” “Ye killed the Prince of life.”

Verse 25-26 contains another indication of the spuriousness of our speech. The first verse claims Christianity to be the universal religion, the fulfilment of the promise to Abraham: “In thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed.” The second verse declares the Jews, provided they repented, were the first of all the nations to receive the blessing of Jesus. Such a thought, however, was at the time to which the speech is assigned utterly foreign to the mind of St. Peter. He always regarded himself exclusively as the apostle of the circumcision. While later another man did preach the gospel to the Gentiles, St. Peter “felt in duty bound to obey the precept of his master; “Go not in any way of the Gentiles, and enter not into any city of the Samaritans.” There was accordingly no first or second choice for him as to whom he should proclaim the message of Jesus. That idea is characteristic of St. Paul and his school. It was Paul and Barnabas who are reported to have spoken out boldly and said: “It was necessary that the word of God should first be spoken to you. Seeing ye thrust it from you, and judge yourselves unworthy of eternal life, lo, we turn to the Gentiles.” (Act. xiii. 46, comp. Rom. i. 16, ii. 9f.)

All these observations point to the same fact. Act. iii. 12-26 was written by a Greek who was ignorant of the history of the apostolic age.
Act. iii. 1, iv. 31 and v. 12-42 are duplicates. All essential statements are identical. In both instances, St. Peter performs wonderful cures. They attract public attention and offer the apostle an opportunity of explaining in public the secret of his healing-power, in other words, of bearing witness of Jesus Christ. That speech is delivered in Solomon’s porch and leads to his arrest by the temple-authorities. The next morning after due trial, he is discharged with the warning to speak no more in the name of Jesus.

There are indeed certain differences. According to the first account, St. Peter was accompanied only by St. John; according to the second, by the apostles. Act. iii. but a single man is cured; Act. v. a large number of people. The lame beggar is commanded to walk in the name of Jesus; while the mere shadow of the apostle restores numberless bed-ridden patients to health. Peter and John stay all night in prison; the apostles are set free by an angel so that they could return to the temple and teach. They are dismissed with a warning; they are dismissed with a warning after they had been scourged. But such differences are very far from constituting contradictions. The second account is only an exaggerated edition of the first. Neither the speech of Act. iii. nor the celebration of the disciples of Act. iv. 24-31 are found in the duplicate. They may be later additions even where they occur at present. Act. iv. 1, 5-6, and 23, the terms “the priests and the captain of the temple and the Sadducees,” “the rulers and elders and scribes,” and “the chief priests and the scribes” point to several compilers.

The angel of the Lord and the miraculous cures, ascribed to St. Peter’s shadow, do not recommend the second story. Act. v. 12-16, if compared with iii. 1-26, is not a proper introduction of verse 17-42. Perhaps, the main part of the second account only was a parallel of Act. iv. 1-22, which the compiler enlarged into a new story. In any case, after having discussed at greater length Act. iii. 12-26, it is superfluous to study the other speeches of St. Peter in Act. iii-v. more closely.

The last address of St. Peter to be examined, is that which he delivered at the house of the centurion Cornelius at Caesarea. (Act. x. 34-43.) It forms a part of the section viii. 14-25, ix. 32, xi. 18 and relates how the first apostle superintended the work of Philip at Samaria and received in person the first fruits of the Gentile world into the Christian fold at Caesarea. It breaks up the report of the scattering of the Hellenistic Christians of Jerusalem after the murder of Stephan and tells of their converting in the
course of their wanderings Samaritans (Act. viii. 1-13) and Antiochian Greeks Act. xi. 19 ff.). The St. Peter episode has been forced into that account in order to credit the apostle of the Jews with the beginning of missionary work among the Gentiles. For that reason alone, it cannot be accepted as historical.

The story has nothing to do with the controversy between the Judaistic and Gentile Christians of the Pauline epistles. As soon as Gentile congregations became strong in members, they would no longer listen to Judaistic teachers. On the other hand, the Memoirs of the Apostles, on which the Gospels are based, compelled the later Gentile Christians to honor St. Peter as the first of all apostles. That left even to St. Paul only second honors in the eyes of his own spiritual descendants. That St. Peter's work had been confined to Jews exclusively was forgotten. Therefore, the present section must be the product of a late age.

But what is much more significant, the first Gentile disciple of Jesus, won by St. Peter, is the centurion of the Italian cohort and bears the name of the most distinguished of all the Roman gentes. Cornelius is the nomen as apart from the praenomen and cognomen of a Roman name. The real question is not whether there was stationed at that time at Caesarea a cohort, called Italian, or whether the cohorts of a Roman legions had individual names, or whether a member of the gens Cornelia commanded that cohort. All that is probably mere fiction. The main fact is: The first Gentile Christian was a true Roman and was gathered into the flock by St. Peter. Cornelius was bound to receive by and by an honorable discharge and to return to his native city. There he would undoubtedly impart his new religion to his friends and neighbors and organize with them the first church at Rome. Thus St. Peter was the founder of the Roman Church, even though he never set his foot into the eternal city.

The story of Cornelius, the centurion, is highly interesting because it is the oldest attempt of formulating the legend of St. Peter and Rome that has been handed down to us. It originated without question after the true facts of the history of the apostles had been forgotten, but before the date when the official legend of St. Peter's work and death at Rome was published.

Turning after these preliminary remarks to the speech of Act. x. 34-43, we find a literal translation of the Greek text, adhering as closely as possible to the American Revised Version, to run as follows:
"Of a truth I perceive God is no respecter of persons: but in every nation he that feareth him and worketh righteousness, is acceptable to him. God sent the word to the children of Israel, preaching good tidings of peace by Jesus Christ. He is Lord of all. Ye know the thing that happened throughout all Judaea. He having begun from Galilee after the baptism which John preached. How God anointed him, Jesus of Nazareth, with the Holy Spirit and with power, who went about doing good and healing all that were oppressed of the devil; for God was with him. And we are witnesses of all he did both in the country of the Jews and in Jerusalem; whom also they slew, hanging him on a tree. Him God raised up the third day and gave him to be made manifest, not to all the people but to the witnesses chosen before of God, to us, who ate and drank with him after he rose from the dead. And he charged us to preach to the people and to testify that he is the one ordained of God as judge of the living and the dead. To him all the prophets bear witness that through his name every one that believeth on him shall receive remission of sins."

The first statement (Verse 34 f.) fits into the situation. It must have been a common saying among Gentile Christians as long as Judaistic agitators wanted to persuade them to accept the Jewish religion together with Christianity. But it sounds strange in the mouth of St. Peter, who, at a much later date, was afraid to act in accordance with that principle. (Gal ii. 11 ff.) The subject of the next sentence (Verse 36) is God. Since the participle "preaching the good tidings" is in the nominative case, God did the preaching. That is indeed modified by the prepositional phrase "by Jesus Christ." But that does not agree with the principal statement "He sent the word unto the children of Israel." If "word" is used here in its ordinary sense, it means the message of God. It might signify, however, the Logos, that is, the Messenger. We expect the sentence to read simply: "He sent Jesus Christ to the children of Israel to preach the good tidings of peace." The latter phrase is based upon Lk. ii. 10, 14. Since the Luke passage is post-apostolic, St. Peter's address must be still younger. The other sentence of verse 36 "He is Lord of all" stands in parentheses in the American Revised Version. That means it considers the words as a gloss. That can only be the case if the pronoun "he" refers to Jesus Christ. But it may stand just as well for God, the subject of the preceding sentences. In that case, it would remind the hearers of the Jewish belief in one God, and that would not be out of place with an audience, representing a polytheistic nation.

The words "Ye know the thing which happened throughout all Judaea" sound so strange that the American Revised Version has
changed the text altogether. But that does not remove the difficulty. We do not know what happened, or what was published throughout all Judaea. The activity of Jesus was confined according to our only sources of information to Galilee. He entered Judaea only to go to Jerusalem by way of Jericho. Judaea cannot denote here the country of the Jews as a whole. For immediately afterwards Galilee is mentioned and a little later the country of the Jews. Thus our author seems to have had a rather imperfect knowledge of the history of Jesus and the geography of Palestine. The participial clause "beginning from Galilee after the baptism which John preached" is connected grammatically neither with what goes before nor what follows after. The participle stands in Greek in the nominative singular masculine as I have indicated by the pronoun "he." The preceding noun "thing" to which it might belong is in the accusative singular neuter. "Jesus of Nazareth" of verse 38 is in the accusative case. As a matter of fact the participial phrase has been borrowed from Act. i. 22.

"Jesus of Nazareth" of verse 38 can be only the direct object of the dependent clause "as God anointed him with the Holy Spirit and with power." It may have been placed before that clause for the sake of emphasis. That is not rare in any language. The objectionable feature, however, is: Neither Greek nor any other language knows sentences, consisting of dependent clauses only. The rule: No principal statement, no sentence, has no exception.

Verse 39 offers no grammatical objections. Still the words "We are witnesses" have a queer sound. No other eye-witness except the speaker was present, and he refers to himself right at the beginning of the speech in the first person singular. His companions were brethren from Joppa. (Verse 23.)

The next important difficulty is the pronoun "us" in verse 41. The American Revised Version tries to hide it by translating the simple pronoun of the Greek text "even us." It looks to me as if that pronoun were not so much an after-thought of the speaker as a later addition to the text together with the relative clause "who ate and drank with him after he rose from the dead." That can refer only to John xxi. For Lk. xxiv. 41-43, Jesus does not eat with, but before, the disciples. A statement based on the last chapter of the fourth Gospel assigns our passage to a very late date.

The closing words "through his name every one that believeth on him shall receive remission of sins," call for special attention.
ST. PETER AND THE RESURRECTION.

The occasion does not demand such a promise. Remission of sins presupposes persons conscious of their transgressions and anxious to obtain forgiveness. The man whom St. Peter is addressing is represented, not as a sinner, but as "a devout man, and one that feared God with all his house, who gave much alms to the people and prayed to God always," "a righteous man and one that feareth God and well reported of by all the nation of the Jews." (Verse 2 and 23.) The apostle himself recognizes him as such. (Verse 35.) Cornelius was waiting to receive a higher revelation and the gift of the prophetic spirit. The idea of the remission of sins as the fundamental blessing of Christianity became current after St. Paul had begun to preach to the Greeks. Gentiles that had not been Jewish proselytes were bound to be in a peculiar state of mind when they turned to Christianity. As heathen they had done many things which, in the light of their new religious knowledge, were grievous sins. They needed therefore, before they could become cheerful followers of Jesus, assurance that their heathen sins were forgiven. In that way, the idea of the forgiveness of sins became the keystone of orthodox theology and the true significance of the life and work of Jesus was obliterated. He was believed to have entered the world for no other purpose than that of being crucified, and achieve atonement for all the sins of humanity. As a matter of fact, Jesus dared crucifixion because he was determined to complete his lifework. It was not enough for him to proclaim the principles of true religion, he also had to demonstrate by his own example how alone the power of selfishness and wickedness had to be broken.

Since St. Peter has not converted the centurion of Caesarea, he cannot have pronounced at that occasion the words of Act. x. 34-43. That is confirmed by the character of that address. It is a very poor makeshift, added to the legend of Cornelius by a man who was unable to write a plausible speech such as the apostle might have made. He pieced together statements and clauses, without even attempting to arrange them in sentences.

Thus the Acts, as far as St. Peter is concerned, agree with the Second Epistle of St. Peter. The apostle of the Jews, the leading personal disciple of Jesus, has not preached the resurrection. Every mention of that event, as far as he is concerned, belongs to the compiler of Acts. It represents, just as the accounts of the manifestations of the risen Jesus in the Gospels, not historical facts, but the
belief of later Christians as to what ought to have happened after the death of Jesus.

The Acts have preserved a few documents which throw some light upon our problem. Stephen's speech, before the members of the Hellenistic synagogue at Jerusalem, is one of them. The deacon defends the thesis: "Jesus of Nazareth shall destroy this place and shall change the customs which Moses delivered unto us." He is absolutely silent as to the resurrection. Even more important are the remarks concerning Philip. He convinced a Jewish eunuch of Candace, queen of the Ethiopians, that the crucified Jesus was the promised Messiah. (viii. 27 ff.) The resurrection is not mentioned. Also Act. xi. 20 may be considered. Christians who had fled from Jerusalem preached at Antiochia to both Jews and Gentiles. But "preaching the Lord Jesus" is by no means identical with being "a witness of the resurrection."
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PRESS OPINIONS
"It is a book which every clergyman, as well as every one interested in the psychology of religion and in the future of religion, should read and ponder. For Professor Leuba has made a contribution to our knowledge of religious belief that is of very considerable significance."—Prof. James B. Pratt, in the American Journal of Theology.
"It is an honest effort . . . done with scientific precision and love of truth. Such an investigation, wherever its results may now seem to lead, tends surely toward an ultimate good."—The Christian Register, Boston.
"His more important conclusions are quite well established."—The American Anthropologist.
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Evolutionary Naturalism
BY
ROY WOOD SELLARS, Ph. D.
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
350 pp., Cloth, Price $2.50

"The aim of the present investigation is to work out in a systematic fashion the possibility of an adequate naturalism. Evolutionary Naturalism does not sink man back into nature; it acknowledges all that is unique in him and vibrates as sensitively as idealism to his aspirations and passions. But the naturalist is skeptical of any central, brooding will which has planned it all. The Good is not the sun of things from which the world of things get their warmth and inspiration. The cosmos is and has its determinate nature. As man values himself and his works, he may rightly assign value to the universe which is made of stuff which has the potential power to raise itself to self-consciousness in him."

"Let man place his hope in those powers which raise him above the level of the ordinary causal nexus. It is in himself that he must trust. If his foolishness and his passions exceed his sanity and intelligence, he will make shipwreck of his opportunity."

THE OPEN COURT PUBLISHING COMPANY
122 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
"The man of the future must have faith in Nature. He must believe, as the Greeks believed, that the world is alive, or at least that it is inspired by reason; and he must believe also that his life and what he does with it is important in the plan and purpose of this world-intelligence. In other words, he must believe in and trust a God.

But the individual factor is not yet wholly satisfied. A God for whom this earthy life is a mere spectacle leading to naught beyond, a God whose interest in creation is no better than the appetite of a Roman populace for gladiatorial shows—such a God deserves neither the labor nor the loyalty of the human soul. There must be, in the order of Nature, not only an ethical salvation in this world, but a consummation of the life here begun in a world to come, in order to satisfy reason. Wherefore, the man and the race of the future must have faith in a life in a world to come, belief in human immortality.

These two great Credos of human history, common to all expressions of religious instinct—belief in God and belief in immortality—are, I affirm, bound to prevail on the earth. All the teachings of history and biology, every principle of evolution, enforce this view. Races that deny these beliefs must disappear from the earth, in favor of the better adapted members of their kind.

I am not maintaining any a priori certitude that there is a God to whom man's destiny is meaningful, nor that that destiny does not cease with this early life. But I do affirm that Nature decrees that the man who survives, the race that persists, must believe these things. They are a part of the equipment of the Fittest to Survive."
NOW READY

The Buddhist Annual of Ceylon
Vol. 1. No. 3
Edited by
S. W. Wijayatilake
75 cents

Americans will remember with much interest the interesting Buddhist delegation from Ceylon to the Congress of Religions held in 1893 during the World’s Fair at Chicago.

One of the editors of this magazine formed the Maha Bodhi Society which numbers among its members some of the greatest scholars and prelates of the world.

The Open Court Publishing Company has been invited to take subscriptions for this magazine which is published annually at a price of 75 cents a copy. It is illustrated and very interesting in giving the modern religious history of Ceylon including the educational and religious progress made during the last forty years.

It is well worth the price to anyone who wishes to keep in touch with the religious aspects of Oriental Civilization.

THE OPEN COURT PUBLISHING COMPANY
122 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois

We still have a few copies of No. 1 and 2 on hand for anyone who wishes a complete file of this interesting magazine.

A Christian’s Appreciation of Other Faiths

By
REV. GILBERT REED, D. D.
Author of China at a Glance
China Captive or Free, Etc.

Cloth, $2.50

Dr. Reid is the Director of the International Institute of Shanghai, China, where he was established before and during the Great World War. His social and political relations with the Orient during the trying period of China’s neutrality created in him a spirit of international understanding which broke down all sense of separateness in human life, particularly in spiritual matters. His book is inspiring to every sincere student of the science of religion and will do much to establish the new order of human fellowship.

Order through any book dealer.
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CHICAGO
FIRST COURSE
IN
STATISTICS

BY
D. CARADOG JONES, M.A., F.S.S.
FORMERLY LECTURER IN MATHEMATICS
AT DURHAM UNIVERSITY

Price, Cloth $3.75

The fundamental importance of the right use of Statistics is becoming increasingly evident on all sides of life, social and commercial, political and economic. A study of this book should enable the reader to discriminate between the masses of valuable and worthless figures published, and to use what is of value intelligently. It is meant to serve as an introduction to the more serious study of the theory provided by other works.

PRESS NOTES

This is an excellent "first course" to place in the hands of a mathematical student who wishes to develop his work on the statistical side or is interested in probability and has an eye to research on the mathematics of the subject. As the book is one of Bell's Mathematical Series (Advanced Section), it is natural that the subject should be approached in this way, but its use will be wider than that indicated, because it will make a good second course for a person doing statistical work in practice if one of the elementary books on the subject has been read first, and it can be used for revision purposes by those teaching the subject who prefer to give one of the well-known existing textbooks to their pupils in the first instance.—Mathematical Gazette.

This is an admirable introduction to one of the most important subjects. Statistics, it is safe to say, were never more used, nor less understood, than they are today.—Mr. Jones has done his work well. He explains the special terminology of the subject clearly, and deals squarely with all the difficulties. We trust his valuable book will have a very large circulation. It deserves it.—Scottish Educational Journal.

Persons interested in statistics—and the number of such is increasing daily—will find in this volume a very compact, clear and sufficiently complete account of the mathematical machinery employed in analyzing raw statistical material and in deducing general statements regarding the characteristics—these pages offer an excellent introduction to the works of Pearson, Yule, Bowley, Edgeworth, and the other pioneers of this branch of science.—Journal of Education.
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An Attractive Gift Book

JAPANESE PROVERBS AND PICTURES

Selected and Edited by
PROFESSOR FREDERICK STARR
University of Chicago

Illustrated in color and printed and bound in Japan.
Curious bits of philosophy, quaintly expressed, and the whole
showing the shrewd, witty and sometimes cruel Japanese character.
An interesting study of oriental ethics.
Price, cloth $2.00
For Sale by
THE OPEN COURT PUBLISHING CO.
122 So. Michigan Ave.
Chicago

PARACELSUS

HIS PERSONALITY AND INFLUENCE AS A PHYSICIAN, CHEMIST AND REFORMER

By JOHN MAXSON STILLMAN
Emeritus Professor of Chemistry, Stanford University

Cloth, $2.00

Theophrastus Bombastus von Hohenheim, called Paracelsus, is one
of the important although little known originators of scientific method in
surgery and chemistry. His lifetime fell in the period (1493-1541) of
the most fertile intellectual activity of the Renaissance, which was due
largely to the invention of printing by movable types and the remarkable
development of universities both in number and teaching.

During the last thirty years scholarly research has been notably
directed to the reinvestigation of the early history of scientific thought.

THE OPEN COURT PUBLISHING COMPANY
CHICAGO
122 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE
ILLINOIS
NEW ADDITIONS TO THE

OPEN COURT MATHEMATICAL SERIES

A First Course in Nomography
By S. Brodatsky (Reader in Applied Mathematics at Leeds University). Pages, 135, 64 Illustrations. Price $3.00

Graphical methods of calculation are becoming ever more important in all branches of engineering. The object of this book is to explain what nomograms are, and how they can be constructed and used.

Projective Vector Algebra
By L. Silberstein (Lecturer in Mathematical Physics at the University of Rome). Pages, 78.

An Algebra of Vectors based upon the axioms of order and of connection and independent of the axioms of Congruence and of Parallels is the subtitle of this book. Some of the conclusions desirable from the subject may be helpful to readers interested in the degree of soundness of the foundations of the modern theory of relativity.

Elementary Vector Analysis: with application to Geometry and Physics
By C. E. Weatherburn, Ormond College, University of Melbourne. Pages, 134. Price $3.50

A simple exposition of elementary analysis. Vector Analysis is intended essentially for three-dimensional calculations; and its greatest service is rendered in the domains of mechanics and mathematical physics.

An Elementary Treatise on Differential Equations and Their Application

The theory of Differential Equations is an important branch of modern mathematics. The object of this book is to give an account of the central parts of the subject in as simple a form as possible. Differential Equations arise from many problems in Algebra, Geometry, Mechanics, Physics and Chemistry.

A History of the Conceptions of Limits and Fluxions in Great Britain from Newton to Woodhouse
By Florian Cajori, Ph. D., Professor of History of Mathematics in the University of California. Pages, 300. Price $2.00

A sensational event in the early history of mathematics was Bishop Berkeley's attack upon the logical foundations of the Calculus invented by Newton and Leibniz. Hardly known at all are the quarrels among the English mathematicians themselves which followed the controversy with Berkeley. These matters are worked out from original sources in Professor Cajori's book.
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The Philosophical Writings of Richard Burthogge
Edited with Introductions and Notes by
MARGARET W. LANDES
Wellesley College

THE OPEN COURT PUBLISHING COMPANY
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CHICAGO ILLINOIS

Saccheri's Euclides Vindicatus
Edited and translated by
GEORGE BRUCE HALSTED

THE OPEN COURT PUBLISHING COMPANY
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A Short History of Christian Theophagy

by

Preserved Smith, Ph.D.

Pages 223 Price $2.00

"In proportion as the knowledge of history becomes more profound and intelligent," says the great French scholar, Gabriel Monod, "an ever larger place is given to the study of religious beliefs, doctrines, and institutions." But, continues the same authority, the study of these phenomena is as yet very backward, partly because of the intrinsic difficulty of the subject, partly because the fear of wounding others' feelings or of exciting their prejudices prevents many investigators from cultivating this field in a scientific spirit.

The present work attempts to subject to rational analysis and objective consideration one of the most interesting and fundamental of Christian doctrines. The author, who writes sine ore et studio, as one who has no party to serve and no cause to advance save that of truth, coolly exhibits the history of the idea of the sacrificed and eaten god from its obscure dawn in primitive times to its evening twilight in the present.

The practice of eating a god in the form of first-fruits or of a divine animal originated in ancient times, and attained an extraordinary development in the mystery religions of the Greeks, in the cults of Attis, of Astarte, of Dionysus, of Demeter, and of other Saviour Gods. From these cults the idea was borrowed by Paul and, against opposition of the Jewish Christians, fastened on the church. The history of the dogma, after the first centuries of our era, has been the story of attempts to explain it. Transubstantiation and the doctrine of the sacrifice of the mass were not, as commonly by Protestants and rationalists they are said to be, the inept inventions of a barbarous age, but were the first endeavors to reason about and philosophically to elucidate beliefs formerly accepted with naive simplicity. The hardest battles over the dogma came in the Reformation period, which accordingly bulk large in the present work. While Luther, Calvin, and other prominent Reformers believed in a real presence, but tried to give its mode new explanations, other more advanced spirits, Zwingli, Carstatt, Swingil, Tyndale, and their fellows, adopted the view, now prevalent in Protestant communions, that the eucharistic bread and wine were mere symbols. After the heat of the sixteenth-century controversies, Zwinglian or rationalist views were quietly adopted by most Christians, though here and there high sacramentalism survived or was revived.

Rightly understood the present study will be appreciated as a scientific essay in the field of comparative religion, and as furnishing a rational explanation of much that is most delicate and important in the history of Christianity.
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"SCIENTIA"
INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF SCIENTIFIC SYNTHESIS
Published every month (each number containing 100 to 120 pages)
Editor: EUGENIO RIGNANO

IS THE ONLY REVIEW that the contributors to which are really international.
IS THE ONLY REVIEW that has a really world-wide circulation.
IS THE ONLY REVIEW of scientific synthesis and unification that deals with the
fundamental questions of all sciences: the history of the sciences, mathematics,
astronomy, geology, physics, chemistry, biology, psychology and sociology.
IS THE ONLY REVIEW of general science that by its articles on statistics, demog-
raphy, ethnography, economics, law, history of religions and sociology in general,
all of a general, summary and syntheical character—makes itself a necessity to all
thorough students of the social sciences.
IS THE ONLY REVIEW that among its contributors can boast of the most illustri-
ous men of science in the whole world. A list of more than 350 of these is given
in each number.
The articles are published in the language of their authors, and every number has a
supplement containing the French translation of all the articles that are not
French. The review is thus completely accessible to those who know only French.
(Write for a gratis specimen number to the General Secretary of "Scientia," Mi-
lan, sending 1 sh. in stamps of your country, merely to cover postal expenses).

SUBSCRIPTION: Sh. 40; $1.00, post free Office: Via A. Bertani, 14 - Milan (26)
General Secretary: Dr. Paolo Bonetti.

SCIENCE PROGRESS
A QUARTERLY REVIEW OF SCIENTIFIC
THOUGHT, WORK, AND AFFAIRS
Edited by Lieut.-Col. Sir RONALD ROSS

Published at the beginning of JANUARY, APRIL, JULY, OCTOBER

Each number consists of about 192 pages, contributed by authorities in their respective
subjects. Illustrated. 6s. net. Annual Subscription, including postage, 25s. od.

SCIENCE PROGRESS owes its origin to an endeavor to found a scientific journal
containing original papers and summaries of the present state of knowledge in all
branches of science. The necessity for such a journal is to be found in the fact that,
with the specialization which necessarily accompanies the modern development of
scientific thought and work, it is increasingly difficult for even the professional man
of science to keep in touch with the trend of thought and the progress achieved in
subjects other than those in which his immediate interests lie. This difficulty is felt by
teachers and students in colleges and schools, and by the general educated public inter-
ested in scientific questions. SCIENCE PROGRESS claims to have filled this want.

JOHN MURRAY
Albemarle Street London, W. 1