

1997

K-Regular Witt Rings

Robert W. Fitzgerald

Southern Illinois University Carbondale, rfitzg@math.siu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math_articles

First published in *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 125, 1309-1313, published by the American Mathematical Society. © Copyright 1997, American Mathematical Society

Recommended Citation

Fitzgerald, Robert W. "K-Regular Witt Rings." (Jan 1997).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Preprints by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

K-REGULAR WITT RINGS

ROBERT W. FITZGERALD

(R, G, q) will denote an abstract Witt ring in the sense of [4]. Nearly all examples of interest are Witt rings of non-singular quadratic forms over a field of characteristic not two, however using abstract Witt rings does simplify some proofs. The Witt ring is *k-regular* if there exists a 2-power k such that for all $1 \neq x \in G$ we have $|D\langle 1, -x \rangle| = k$. Such Witt rings were first studied in [1] primarily because the block design counting arguments there were perfectly suited to k -regular rings. However they remain unclassified.

We will always assume that G is finite; set $g = |G|$. If $k = g$ then R is totally degenerate and so classified by [4]. If $k = g/2$ then R is of local type [2] which are again classified in [4]. If $k = 2$ then R is a group ring extension of \mathbb{Z}_2 or \mathbb{Z}_4 . If $2 < k < g/2$ then R is not of elementary type and no examples are known or even expected. We will always assume that $2 < k < g/2$ and call such k -regular Witt rings *exceptional*.

It was shown in [1] that exceptional k -regular Witt rings satisfy $8 \leq k$ and $2k^2 \leq g$. Kula [3] improved both bounds and added an upper bound, showing:

$$\begin{aligned} 16 &\leq k \\ 8k^2 &\leq g \leq k^4/4 && \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ 8k^2 &\leq g \leq k^4/8 && \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we show that $k^3 \leq g$ and that if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

We fix some notation, which will agree with Kula's. G^* denotes $G \setminus \{1\}$. We set $e = \log_2 k$. For $a \in G^*$ and $i \geq 0$ set:

$$X_i(a) = \{x \in G : x \neq 1, a \text{ and } |Q(a) \cap Q(x)| = 2^i\},$$

where $Q(x) = \{q(x, y) : y \in G\}$. Now for $x \neq a$, $|Q(a) \cap Q(x)| = |D\langle 1, -ax \rangle| / |D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -x \rangle| = k / |D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -x \rangle|$. Thus we also have that:

$$X_i(a) = \{x \in G : x \neq 1, a \text{ and } |D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -x \rangle| = 2^{e-i}\}.$$

In particular, we may assume $0 \leq i \leq e$. We further set $n_i(a) = |X_i(a)|$ and write $X(a)$ for $X_e(a)$. For a 2-fold Pfister form ρ we let ρ' denote the pure part of ρ .

We will use various equations derived by Kula:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (2^{e-i} - 1)n_i(a) = k^2 - 3k + 2$$

$$(2) \quad g + \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in Q(a)} |D(\rho)| = 1 + \frac{g}{k} + \sum_{i=0}^e 2^i n_i(a)$$

$$(3) \quad |X(a) \cap X(b)| \geq g - 2k^2 + 6k - 7 \geq g - 2k^2,$$

where $a \neq b$ in G^* for (3). Equation (1) is [3,4.3b], (2) is equation (4.5.2) on [3,p.45] and the first inequality of (3) is equation (4.3.1) on [3,p.43]. The second inequality of (3) follows from our assumption that $k > 2$.

We will also use two simple equations:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^e n_i(a) = g - 2$$

$$(5) \quad |D(\rho)| < k^2 \quad (\text{if } \rho \neq 1).$$

Both (4) and (5) appear in [3] but direct proofs are quick. (4) follows from $G \setminus \{1, a\}$ being the union of the $X_i(a)$. For (5), suppose $\rho t = \langle a, b, ab \rangle$. Then

$$D(\rho t) = a \cdot \cup_{x \in D\langle 1, a \rangle} D\langle 1, bx \rangle.$$

Since 1 occurs in each $D\langle 1, bx \rangle$ we have that $|D(\rho t)| < |D\langle 1, a \rangle| \cdot k = k^2$.

Using equation (4) to find $n_e(a)$ and equation (1) to find $n_{e-1}(a)$, equation (2) may be re-written (see [3, pp. 45-46]) as:

$$(6) \quad g + \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in Q(a)} |D(\rho)| = 1 + \frac{g}{k} + gk - \frac{k^3}{2} + \frac{3k^2}{2} - 3k + \sum_{i=0}^{e-2} 2^i (2^{e-i-1} - 1)(2^{e-i} - 1)n_i(a).$$

Proposition 1. *If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. We may pick an $a \in G^*$ with $\langle\langle 1, 1 \rangle\rangle \notin Q(a) \setminus \{1\}$ (otherwise $-G^* \subset D\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ while $|D\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle| < k^2$ by (5) and $|G^*| \geq 8k^2 - 1$ by [3,4.4]). Then for each anisotropic $\rho \in Q(a)$ we have that $|D(\rho)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ by [3,2.9]. Also, since for each i , in equation (6) one of $e - i - 1$ or $e - i$ is even, we have that one of $2^{e-i-1} - 1$ or $2^{e-i} - 1$ is divisible by 3. Assuming $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, equation (6) gives:

$$g \equiv g + 1 + g - 2 \pmod{3},$$

and so $1 \equiv g \pmod{3}$. \square

Theorem 1. $g \geq k^3$.

Proof. Suppose there exists an exceptional k -regular Witt ring (R, G) with $g < k^3$. Among all such Witt rings, choose one with minimal $h \equiv g/k^2$. Let a and b be distinct elements of G^* . Choose $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$, which is possible by equation (3) and the fact that $g \geq 8k^2$ [3,4.4]. We use the equation (4.3.2) from [3,p.43]:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} hk = g/k = |Q(x)| &\geq |(Q(x) \cap Q(a))(Q(x) \cap Q(b))| \\ &= \frac{k^2}{|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} \\ &\geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} = k|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

A simple consequence of (7) is that $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| \leq h$. Pick minimal $s \geq 0$ so that there exists distinct a and b in G^* with $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| = h/2^s$. Set $2^t = |Q(a) \cap Q(b)|$. then we have:

$$(8) \quad g \geq 2^{s+2}k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad t - s \geq 1$$

Namely, if the first inequality failed then $h = g/k^2 \leq 2^{s+1}$. But then $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| \leq 2$ for all distinct a and b in G^* . while as noted in the first sentence of [K,p.44] we can always find distinct a and b in G^* with $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| \geq 4$. For the second inequality of (8) note that:

$$2^t = |Q(a) \cap Q(b)| = \frac{k}{|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle|} = \frac{2^s k}{h}.$$

Thus $2^{t-s}h = k$. By the assumption that $g = hk^2 < k^3$ we have $2h \leq k$ and so $t - s \geq 1$.

For each $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$ we can rewrite (7) as:

$$(9) \quad hk \geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} \geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} = \frac{hk}{2^s}.$$

Then

$$|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)| \geq 2^{t-s}$$

since otherwise $|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)| < 2^{t-s} = |Q(a) \cap Q(b)|/2^s$ and equation (9) becomes:

$$hk \geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} > \frac{2^s k^2}{|Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} = hk.$$

List the elements of $Q(a) \cap Q(b)$ as $1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{2^t}$. We have that for each $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$ that $2^{t-s} - 1$ of the ρ_i 's lie in $Q(x)$, or equivalently, satisfy $-x \in D(\rho'_i)$. Set T_x equal to the number of i 's, $2 \leq i \leq 2^t$, such that $-x \in D(\rho'_i)$. Then:

$$(10) \quad \sum_{x \in X(a) \cap X(b)} T_x \geq (2^{t-s} - 1)|X(a) \cap X(b)|$$

Now this sum counts the number of pairs (i, x) with $2 \leq i \leq 2^t, x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$ and $-x \in D(\rho'_i)$. We can also count the number of such pairs by first fixing i . Namely:

$$(11) \quad \sum_{x \in X(a) \cap X(b)} T_x = \sum_{i=2}^{2^t} |D(\rho'_i) \cap -(X(a) \cap X(b))|.$$

Now (11) implies that there exists an i , $2 \leq i \leq 2^t$, such that:

$$|D(\rho'_i) \cap -(X(a) \cap X(b))| \geq \frac{1}{2^t - 1} \sum_{x \in X(a) \cap X(b)} T_x$$

and hence when combined with (9):

$$|D(\rho'_i)| \geq \frac{2^{t-s} - 1}{2^t - 1} |X(a) \cap X(b)|.$$

Applying equations (5) and (3) yields:

$$(12) \quad k^2 > \frac{2^{t-s} - 1}{2^t - 1} (g - 2k^2)$$

If $s = 0$ then (12) becomes $k^2 > g - 2k^2$ which is impossible as $g \geq 8k^2$ [3,4.4]. Suppose then that $s \geq 1$. (11) is then:

$$(2^t + 2^{t-s+1} - 3)k^2 > (2^{t-s} - 1)g.$$

Use $g \geq 2^{s+2}k^2$ from the first part of (8) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} (2^t + 2^{t-s+1} - 3)k^2 &> (2^{t+2} - 2^{s+2})k^2 \\ 2^{s+2} + 2^{t-s+1} - 3 &> 3 \cdot 2^t. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, using $t - 1 \geq s$ from the second part of (8) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{t+1} + 2^{t-s+1} - 3 &> 3 \cdot 2^t, \\ 2^{t-s+1} - 3 &> 2^t, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible for $s \geq 1$. This contradiction shows $g \geq k^3$. \square

We combine these results with Kula's upper bound on g and bound on k .

Corollary 1. *For an exceptional k -regular Witt ring (R, G) with $g = |G|$: $k \geq 16$ and*

(1) *if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and*

$$k^3 \leq g \leq \frac{1}{4}k^4,$$

(2) *if $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then*

$$k^3 \leq g \leq \frac{1}{8}k^4.$$

\square

We note that the first open case is $k = 16$ and $g = 16^3 = 4096$.

Kula has shown that an exceptional k -regular Witt ring is non-formally real [3, Remark, p.41] so that $I^n R = 0$ for some n . We have:

Corollary 2. *If (R,G) is an exceptional k -regular Witt ring then $I^3R \neq 0$. In fact, for any anisotropic 2-fold Pfister form ρ , $D(\rho) \neq G$.*

Proof. $D(\rho) = \cup_{b \in D(\rho')} D\langle 1, b \rangle$ so that $|D(\rho)| \leq k|D(\rho')| < k^3$ by equation (5). Thus $D(\rho) \neq G$ by Theorem 1. \square

REFERENCES

1. R. Fitzgerald and J. Yucas, *Combinatorial techniques and abstract Witt rings I*, J. Algebra **114** (1988), 40–52.
2. I. Kaplansky, *Fröhlich's local quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **239** (1969), 74–77.
3. M. Kula, *Finitely Generated Witt Rings*, Uniwersytet Śląski, Katowice, 1991.
4. M. Marshall, *Abstract Witt Rings*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Math., No. 57, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1980.

Department of Mathematics
Southern Illinois University
Carbondale, IL 62901-4408
e-mail address: rfitzg@math.siu.edu