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ABSTRACT
In Eukarya and Archaea, in addition to protein-only pseudouridine (Ψ) synthases, complexes containing one guide RNA and four proteins can also produce Ψ. Cbf5 protein is the Ψ synthase in the complex. Previously, we showed that Ψ’s at positions 1940, 1942, and 2605 of Haloferax volcanii 23S rRNA are absent in a cbf5-deleted strain, and a plasmid-borne copy of cbf5 can rescue the synthesis of these Ψ’s. Based on published reports of the structure of archaenal Cbf5 complexed with other proteins and RNAs, we identified several potential residues and structures in H. volcanii Cbf5, which were expected to play important roles in pseudouridylation. We mutated these structures and determined their effects on Ψ production at the three RNA positions under in vivo conditions. Mutations of several residues in the catalytic domain and certain residues in the thumb loop either abolished Ψ’s or produced partial modification; the latter indicates a slower rate of Ψ formation. The universal catalytic aspartate of Ψ synthases could be replaced by glutamate in Cbf5. A conserved histidine, which is common to Cbf5 and TruB is not needed, but another conserved histidine of Cbf5 is required for the in vivo RNA-guided Ψ formation. We also identified a previously unreported novelty in the pseudouridylation activity of Cbf5 where a single stem–loop of a guide H/ACA RNA is used to produce two closely placed Ψ’s and mutations of certain residues of Cbf5 abolished one of these two Ψ’s. In summary, this first in vivo study identifies several structures of an archaenal Cbf5 protein that are important for its RNA-guided pseudouridylation activity.
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INTRODUCTION
Pseudouridine (Ψ) is the most common modified nucleoside found in different RNAs in all organisms (Charette and Gray 2000; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2006; Grosjean 2009; Mueller and Ferré-D’Amaré 2009; Cantara et al. 2011). It is a C5-ribosyl isomer of uridine (U) produced post-transcriptionally. In comparison to U, Ψ can provide an additional hydrogen bond and increased base stacking (Davis 1995; Charette and Gray 2000; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2006). Ψ residues are common in functionally important regions of RNAs, suggesting their possible roles in structure stabilization and function of RNAs (Charette and Gray 2000; Ofengand et al. 2001b; Decatur and Fournier 2002; Lecointe et al. 2002; Namy et al. 2005; Baudin-Baillieu et al. 2009; Karijolich and Yu 2010; Wu et al. 2011; Spenkuch et al. 2014). New techniques have determined that Ψ is also abundant in eukaryal mRNAs (Carlile et al. 2014; Lovejoy et al. 2014; Schwartz et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015). Single- or multi-site-specific single-protein Ψ synthases have been recognized in all three domains of life. Based on sequence similarities, these Ψ synthases have been classified into six families: TruA, TruB, TruD, RluA, RsuA, and Pus10 (Mueller and Ferré-D’Amaré 2009; Spenkuch et al. 2014).

In addition to single-protein Ψ synthases, Eukarya and Archaea have specific ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes that can also produce Ψ at many sites in different cellular RNAs (Kiss 2002; Decatur and Fournier 2003; Rozhdestvensky et al. 2003; Henras et al. 2004; Wang and Meier 2004; Dennis and Omer 2005; Meier 2005; Yu et al. 2005; Matera et al. 2007; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010; Kiss et al. 2010; Watkins and Bohnsack 2012; Ge and Yu 2013). Each complex contains a distinct box H/ACA guide RNA and four core proteins. Cbf5 (Dyskerin in human and NAP57 in rodents) is the Ψ synthase in these complexes. The three accessory proteins are Gar1, Nop10, and L7Ae (Nhp2 in Eukarya). Several crystal structures of RNA-free and RNA-bound forms of these complexes are now available (Hamma et al. 2005; Li and Ye 2006; Manival et al. 2006;
et al. 2006; Li et al. 2011). The RNA component of the RNP contains an internal loop, called the Ψ pocket, between two double-stranded stem regions. Two strands of the Ψ pocket pair with the target RNA on both sides of the U, which is converted to Ψ. Guide RNAs can contain one to three of these stem–loops. In box H/ACA RNAs, a highly conserved sequence, known as box ACA or box H (ANANNA), is situated at the 3′-end of each stem–loop. These conserved sequences are important for Cbf5 binding and formation of a stable RNA–protein complex (Baker et al. 2005). Archaeal Cbf5 protein interacts with box H/ACA guide RNA, independently of the other three core proteins (Baker et al. 2005; Charpentier et al. 2005; Li and Ye 2006). Archaeal L7Ae protein interacts with a kink turn motif in the guide RNA to assist with RNA folding (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2004). L7Ae does not interact with the other three proteins in the absence of the guide RNA (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2004).

Cbf5 belongs to the TruB family (Koonin 1996) of Ψ synthases. Bacterial TruB (and Pus4, its eukaryal ortholog) produces Ψ55 in tRNAs in a guide RNA-independent manner. Cbf5 has also been shown in vitro to produce Ψ55 in tRNAs and some other Ψ in rRNAs in a guide RNA-independent manner and this activity is enhanced by Gar1 and Nop10 (Roovers et al. 2006; Gurha et al. 2007; Muller et al. 2007, 2008; Kamalampeta and Kothe 2012). Based on the structural and functional similarities of Cbf5 and TruB, certain common features about their mechanisms of RNA recognition and action have been proposed. Cbf5 and TruB share a highly conserved RNA-binding PUA (PseudoUridine synthase and Archaeosine transglycosylase) domain, which is larger in Cbf5 than in TruB (Li and Ye 2006; Manival et al. 2006; Rashid et al. 2006; Ye 2007; Li 2008; Duan et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). The PUA domain of Cbf5 also has sequence and structural similarities with two other RNA processing enzymes, Nip7p and archaeosine transglycosylase (ArcTGT) (Rashid et al. 2006). The ArcTGT PUA domain specifically contacts the 3′-terminal CCA trinucleotides of the tRNA (Ishitani et al. 2003; Rashid et al. 2006). This similarity and other structural studies have suggested that the PUA domain of Cbf5 binds to the lower stem and the 3′ ACA trinucleotides of box H/ACA RNA (Li and Ye 2006; Rashid et al. 2006; Duan et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). The accessory proteins Nop10 and Gar1 also help Cbf5 to bind to the specific structures of the guide and target RNAs.

Both TruB and Cbf5 contain a thumb loop, which plays a crucial role in substrate turnover (Hoang et al. 2005; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010), but their interactions are somewhat different. The thumb loop of Cbf5, also called the β7_10 loop, is located between its β7 and β10 strands (Li and Ye 2006; Liang et al. 2008, 2009; Duan et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010; Li et al. 2011). It interacts with the Gar1 protein and the substrate RNA but not with the guide RNA. It changes conformation during the activity of the RNP. Its Gar1-bound conformation in the substrate-free RNP is referred to as the open state and its substrate RNA-bound state is referred to as the closed state.

Bacterial TruB positions U55 of tRNA to convert it to Ψ55 by flipping the base out of the RNA helix (Hoang and Ferré-D’Amaré 2001). The imidazole ring of a histidine in TruB (His43 in Escherichia coli) occupies the space vacated by the flipped-out uridine. This histidine is also conserved in Cbf5. There is another conserved histidine in Cbf5, which is not conserved in TruB. Both of these histidines have been proposed to have certain roles in the activity of Cbf5 (Muller et al. 2007; Duan et al. 2009; Liang et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010; Tillault et al. 2015b).

In the guide RNP complex, Nop10 interacts with Cbf5 along its entire length. Nop10 stabilizes the active-site structure of Cbf5 and the interface between the proteins contains many highly conserved residues (Hamma et al. 2005; Manival et al. 2006; Rashid et al. 2006; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). The N-terminal region of Cbf5 contains a highly conserved region called Motif I (Hamma et al. 2005). It is well conserved in other Ψ synthases and it is important for the stability and function of Cbf5 (Koonin 1996; Spedaliere et al. 2000). Motif I has certain conserved proline residues, which form a structure called a “proline spine” with other conserved prolines in Cbf5, Nop10, and L7Ae in the RNP complex (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010).

The gene encoding Cbf5 is essential in Eukarya (Jiang et al. 1993; Meier and Blobel 1994; Heiss et al. 1998; Phillips et al. 1998; Giordano et al. 1999; He et al. 2002), which may be due to different roles of H/ACA RNPs in ribosomal biogenesis, mRNA splicing, and telomere maintenance (Meier 2005; Karijolich and Yu 2008). The human homolog of Cbf5 is called dyskerin. Several mutations and deletions in DKC1 (encoding dyskerin) cause the X-linked recessive disorder dyskeratosis congenita (DC) (Ruggero et al. 2003; Mason et al. 2005; Kirwan and Dokal 2008). The molecular basis of DC is not yet clear. Both short telomeric repeats and dysfunctional rRNAs have been noticed in DC patients (Mitchell et al. 1999).

Previously, by deleting the cbf5 gene in Haloferax volcanii, we showed that the Cbf5 protein and Cbf5-mediated Ψ residues are not essential in this archaeon (Blaby et al. 2011). In the present study, we used this deletion strain to express mutants of H. volcanii Cbf5 (HvCbf5) for several residues and structures that are predicted to interact with guide and target RNAs, and H. volcanii Gar1 and Nop10 (HvGar1 and HvNop10) proteins. The selection of residues and structures for mutations was primarily based on the previous structural studies done with Pyrococcus furiosus Cbf5, Gar1, and Nop10 (PfuCbf5, PfuGar1, and PfuNop10) proteins. We show here that several structures of archaeal Cbf5 are essential, whereas certain other structures are not. In addition, we also report for the first time that archaeal Cbf5 can produce two closely placed Ψ’s that are guided by the same single stem–loop of
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HACA RNA and that certain residues of Cbf5 are essential for the production of one of these two Ψs.

RESULTS

Several structural and in vitro studies of Cbf5 protein have either shown or predicted the importance of certain amino acid residues and structures for the Ψ formation. Our objective was to determine the relevance of different conserved residues and segments of archaeal Cbf5 in RNA-guided Ψ formation under in vivo conditions where, in addition to interactions within the RNP, other factors may also have some role. Previously, we showed that Cbf5-mediated modifications were restored when HvCbf5 was expressed from a plasmid-encoded H. volcanii cbf5 gene in the Δcbf5 strain of H. volcanii (Blaby et al. 2011). Here we used this system for our objective. We expressed various mutants of HvCbf5 in the Δcbf5 strain of H. volcanii and determined the presence or absence of Cbf5-mediated Ψ in 23S rRNA of those cells. Specific residues and structures of HvCbf5 selected for mutations (mostly Ala substitutions) were based on the comparative sequence alignment (Fig. 1) of Cbf5 proteins of several organisms and a homology model of HvCbf5 based on the available structure of PfuCbf5 (Fig. 2) as well as various reports in the literature. Positions of the residues of HvCbf5 selected for substitution mutations in this study are shown in

**FIGURE 1.** Multiple sequence alignment of archaeal and eukaryal Cbf5 proteins. Sequences represented here are from four Archaea: *Haloferax volcanii* (*H. volcanii*), *Pyrococcus furiosus* (*P. furiosus*), *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii* (*M. jannaschii*), and *Sulfolobus solfataricus* (*S. solfataricus*); and five Eukarya: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (*S. cerevisiae*), *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*), *Drosophila melanogaster* (*D. melanogaster*), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*A. thaliana*), and *Homo sapiens* (*H. sapiens*). Secondary structural elements are marked above the sequences, with α-helices depicted as cylinders and β-strands as arrows. Secondary structure of *P. furiosus* protein is based on the crystal structure (PDB 2EY4) (Rashid et al. 2006). Secondary structure of *H. volcanii* protein is the resulting modeled structure from I-TASSER. One new secondary structural element for HvCbf5 was predicted by I-TASSER, which is not shown in the cited reference (Rashid et al. 2006). The PUA domain regions comprising the structures at the two termini are marked by green lines above the sequence. Thumb loop sequences are boxed in orange. The conserved catalytic aspartate (D) residue is enclosed within a red box. Certain residues that differ between Archaea and Eukarya are enclosed in blue boxes. Residues used for mutagenesis in this study are indicated with red asterisks above the sequence. Highly conserved residues (>80%) among all proteins are shaded in dark blue, and at least 60% conserved residues are shown in medium blue. Light blue represents at least 40% conservation. Numbers after each sequence denote ending residues of each block.
The \( \Psi \) formation at positions 1940, 1942, and 2605 of the 23S rRNA of \( \text{H. volcanii} \) (\( \text{E. coli} \) positions 1915, 1917, and 2572) is guided by a box H/ACA sRNA (Blaby et al. 2011). Two stem–loops of this sRNA have been proposed to guide all three pseudouridylations, one for \( \Psi 2605 \) and the other one for both \( \Psi 1940 \) and \( \Psi 1942 \) (Grosjean et al. 2008). Deletion of the gene for this box H/ACA sRNA in \( \text{H. volcanii} \) abolishes all three \( \Psi \)’s (M Majumder and R Gupta, unpubl.). Interactions between the sRNA and the target sites are shown in Figure 3A. In this in vivo study, we checked the effects of Cbf5 mutants on \( \Psi \) formation at all three positions, i.e., at position 2605 for single modification and at positions 1940 and 1942 for double modification, to determine if the mutants show different effects in the two cases.

**Sequence alignment and homology model of HvCbf5**

A multiple sequence alignment using sequences of HvCbf5 and the Cbf5 proteins of several other archaeal and eukaryal organisms was created (Fig. 1). These proteins contain the five conserved residues observed in most \( \Psi \) synthases. These residues are (i) a catalytic Asp, (ii) a basic (Arg/Lys) residue, which forms a salt bridge with the catalytic Asp, (iii) an aromatic (Tyr/Phe) residue, which stacks close to the target uridine, orienting the base for catalysis, (iv) a hydrophobic residue, and (v) a Leu (McCleverty et al. 2007; Mueller and Ferré-D’Amaré 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). The last two residues are involved in nucleotide stacking and are not observed in some \( \Psi \) synthases. Corresponding HvCbf5 residues are D53, R151, Y81, I150, and L170, respectively. The primary sequence, GTLDPK (D is the catalytic Asp) of the catalytic core is extremely conserved, with the exception of \( \text{S. solfatarius} \), where catalytic Asp is replaced by Glu. The thumb loop is highly conserved in all proteins. HvCbf5 residues at several positions differ from those of other Archaea. This may be a reflection of the internal environment of \( \text{H. volcanii} \).
Halophilic Archaea have a very high concentration of $\text{K}^+$ in their cytoplasm, approaching saturation (Madern et al. 2000). HvCbf5 residues at certain positions (S29, S49, L157, and S202) differ from other archaeal Cbf5, but are the same as in eukaryal Cbf5. Interestingly, serines of dyskerin (human Cbf5) at positions homologous to HvCbf5 S49 and S202 are mutated in DC patients (Heiss et al. 1998; Knight et al. 1999).

Catalytic core residues of HvCbf5 that are conserved in most $\Psi$ synthases are responsible for $\Psi$ formation at positions 1940, 1942, and 2605 of *H. volcanii* 23S rRNA

All five residues of HvCbf5 that are conserved in most $\Psi$ synthases were independently changed to Ala, and $\Psi$ formation at positions 1940, 1942, and 2605 of 23S rRNA of these transformed strains was determined. Strains expressing D53A,
I150A, R151A, and L170A did not show Ψ at any of the three positions (Fig. 3C,E). Instead, these strains showed the presence of unmodified U at these positions (Table 1; Fig. 3B,D). RNA of the strain containing the Y81A mutation showed the presence of both Ψ and unmodified U at all three positions, suggesting partial modification (Table 1; Fig. 3). Replacing catalytic Asp (D53E) of HvCbf5 with Glu did not affect its activity. It behaved like the WT protein under in vivo conditions, producing Ψ at all three positions (Table 1; Fig. 3).

The histidine conserved in both Cbf5 and TruB is not required for in vivo RNA-guided Ψ formation, but another conserved histidine of Cbf5 is required

We mutated H31 and H48, two conserved His of HvCbf5. Bacterial TruB contains a conserved His, homologous to H48, but none corresponding to H31. The H31A mutation abolished all three Ψ’s while the H48A mutation had no effect on the Cbf5 activity (Table 1; Fig. 4).

The thumb loop of Cbf5 plays a major role in RNA-guided Ψ formation

We deleted 16 residues (Q108-R123) of HvCbf5 to create a ΔThumb loop mutant. This mutant did not produce Ψ at any of the three positions (Table 1; Fig. 5). A structure at the end of the thumb loop of PfuCbf5 is referred to as the C loop (Liang et al. 2009). We created a mutant, ΔCloop, where the corresponding region (A115, V116, and S117) of HvCbf5 was deleted. This mutant also did not produce Ψ at any of the three positions (Table 1; Fig. 5). However, when we changed both V116 and S117 of the C loop to Ala (retaining A115), the mutation (mCloop) had no effect at Ψ production at any of the three positions (Table 1; Fig. 5).

We substituted the three consecutive residues (P110, P111, and R112) of HvCbf5 that precede the C loop with Ala independently and in different combinations. The results are shown in Figure 6 and Table 1. Individually, P110A and R112A did not show any defect in modification and P111A only partially modified the three U’s. Mutating all three Histidines abolished Ψ formation at all three positions. The results are shown in Figure 6 and Table 1.
residues to Ala (PPR110-112AAA) abolished all three modifications. Double mutants PP110-111AA and PR111-112AA, where two consecutive residues were changed, abolished all modifications. However, the P110R112AA mutant (where P111 was not changed) abolished modification of U1942 but did not affect modifications of U1940 and U2605. Ala substitution of P111, either alone or in combination with other mutations, in addition to affecting the activity of HvCbf5 (Table 1; Fig. 6), appears to reduce the amount of the protein in the cell (cf. panels F and G with panel B in Supplemental Fig. S2). We also substituted the three consecutive residues (R119, L120, and R121) of HvCbf5 that are after the C loop, independently with Ala. The results are shown in Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure S1 (panels E–H), and Table 1. Residue R119 is Archaea specific. R119A did not affect the modifications. L120A showed partial modification of U1940 but retained normal modification of U1942 and U2605. R121A lost all modifications.

Certain other residues of the catalytic domain of Cbf5 are relevant for \( \Psi \) formation

Mutations of certain residues of HvCbf5 that are adjacent to conserved residues present in most \( \Psi \) synthases affected its activity. Mutation of the Leu (L52A) that precedes catalytic Asp showed partial loss of modification at positions 1940 and 2605, and absence of modification at position 1942 (Table 1; Fig. 7). Mutation of Pro (P54A) that follows catalytic Asp showed partial modification at all three positions (Table 1; Fig. 7). There is a conserved Tyr (Y149) that precedes I150 and R151 of HvCbf5, the conserved hydrophobic and basic residues of \( \Psi \) synthases. Mutation of this Tyr (Y149A) also showed partial modification at all three positions (Table 1; Fig. 7). As expected when all three residues Y149, I150, and R151 were replaced with alanines, the modifications were lost (Table 1; Fig. 7), because as mentioned, I150A and R151A mutants did not show any modification.

The C-terminal PUA domain is needed for Cbf5-mediated \( \Psi \) formation

Both N- and C-terminal sequences of Cbf5 share parts of the PUA domain, which holds the lower stem of the guide RNA.
Relative positions of the PUA domain and the Thumb loop of HvCbf5 are shown in Figure 2. Deletion of the PUA domain drastically reduces the in vitro activity of Cbf5 (Baker et al. 2005; Manival et al. 2006). We deleted the C-terminal PUA domain (positions 217–289) of HvCbf5. As expected, this abolished ¥ production at all three positions (Table 1; Fig. 7).

**Individual mutations of several conserved Cbf5 residues involved in interaction with Nop10 do not completely abolish ¥ formation**

Three prolines of HvCbf5, P25, P28, and P54 (P57, P60, and P86, respectively, of PfuCbf5) form a part of the “proline spine” (see Fig. 2B), which as mentioned before also includes prolines of Nop10 and L7Ae in the RNP complex. P25 is also expected to interact with certain conserved Pro residues like P38 of HvNop10 (P32 of PfuNop10) (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amáre 2010). Ala substitution of HvCbf5 P25 and P28 did not have any effect on modification (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S1). This is similar to a yeast study where a mutant (P67A of S. cerevisiae Cbf5) similar to P25A of HvCbf5 also did not show any reduction of total ¥ content of rRNAs (Zebarjadian et al. 1999). However, as mentioned, the third Pro mutant (P54A) of HvCbf5 did show reduction in activity (Table 1; Fig. 7).

Based on crystal structures, it is expected that K24 and R171 of HvCbf5 (K56 and R204, respectively, of PfuCbf5) would interact with Y20 and P38, respectively, of HvNop10 (Y14 and P32, respectively, of PfuNop10) (Hamma et al. 2005; Rashid et al. 2006). In vitro studies showed that Ala substitutions of the corresponding residues in P. abyssi Cbf5 (K53 and R202, respectively) significantly impaired RNA-guided ¥ synthesis (Muller et al. 2007). However, K24A and R171A mutants of HvCbf5 did not show any defect in ¥ synthesis (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S1). A conserved Asp (D23) precedes K24 of HvCbf5. Yeast strains containing Ala substitutions of corresponding Asp (D65, of S. cerevisiae Cbf5, Fig. 1) showed a sharp reduction of total ¥ content of rRNAs of the cells (Zebarjadian et al. 1999). The corresponding D23A mutant did not affect ¥ formation at any of the three positions (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S1).

We also changed W36 and H169 of HvCbf5 to Ala. PfuCbf5 contains conserved Trp (W69) and Glu (E202) at corresponding positions (Fig. 1). These residues interact with Nop10 (Li and Ye 2006). As shown in Figure 1, Trp is conserved in all Cbf5, but His replaces the conserved Glu in HvCbf5. W36A and H169A mutants of HvCbf5 did not affect modification (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S1).

**Ala substitution of certain Archaea-specific charged residues of HvCbf5 did not affect ¥ formation**

Archaeal Cbf5 proteins share most of the domains and motifs with their eukaryal homologs. However, eukaryal Cbf5 is larger than archaeal Cbf5 (Fig. 1). The eukaryal extensions are beyond the N and C termini of the archaeal proteins. These extensions may be reflections of multiple functions of eukaryal Cbf5. There are certain residues at the homologous positions of archaeal and eukaryal proteins that are conserved but the conservation between the two groups are different, i.e., these are either Archaea specific or Eukarya specific. These differences could be either due to multiple functions of eukaryal Cbf5 or to accommodate interactions with other accessory proteins, which may require some structural differences between Archaea and Eukarya. We selected two residues, R119 (described before with thumb loop mutants) and H155 of HvCbf5, which are charged residues in Archaea but not charged (Gln and Val, respectively) in Eukarya and mutated these to Ala. Neither of these mutations affected ¥ synthesis at any of the three positions (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S1). We also changed R119 of HvCbf5 to Gln, its eukaryal counterpart, but we could not successfully transform this R119Q mutant-containing plasmid into a Δcbf5 strain in spite of multiple attempts.

**Mutations of HvCbf5 corresponding to DKC1 mutations causing dyskeratosis congenita do not abolish ¥ modification**

Mutations of DKC1 that cause DC are well dispersed on the dyskerin structure (Mason et al. 2005). Most of the residues involved in DC cluster in the N- and C-terminal extensions of dyskerin that are not present in archaeal Cbf5 (Li et al. 2011). However, there are three residues (S121, S280, and D369) within the central part of dyskerin that are mutated in multiple families of DC patients (Heiss et al. 1998; Knight et al. 1999). HvCbf5 has corresponding residues (S49, S202, and D277, respectively). Surprisingly, the HvCbf5 and dyskerin residues are identical at these three positions, whereas in PfuCbf5 (and other archaeal Cbf5) residues at these positions are different. Dyskerin mutations at these positions in DC patients are S121G, S280R, and D369N. We changed the corresponding positions of HvCbf5 to Ala (S49A, S202A, and D277A) as well as to those observed in DC patients (S49G, S202R, and D277N). None of these modifications affected ¥ formation at any of the three positions (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S1). This suggests that these mutations in DC patients affect an activity or interaction of dyskerin that might not be involved in ¥ synthesis.

**DISCUSSION**

**Partial ¥ modifications in vivo may indicate an overall slower rate of ¥ formation**

Analyses of ¥ formation using primer extensions following U-specific and CMCT reactions allow us to determine partial modification at a specific position of rRNA. The cells showing partial modification at a particular site would have ribosomes containing two populations of 23S rRNA; one with ¥ and the
other having unmodified U at that site. We believe that partial modifications indicate an overall slower rate of Ψ formation. Our reasoning is that any particular rRNA modification occurs in a short window of time between pre-rRNA transcription and ribosome biogenesis. Therefore, an optimum amount of specific modifying RNPs (or enzymes) has to be available to modify all rRNA molecules of the cell. We believe that a slower rate of Ψ formation would effectively reduce functioning RNPs that are available to modify all of the rRNA molecules of the cell. This delay can occur at any stage of Ψ formation, e.g., substrate recognition and its placement in catalytic site, catalysis, or product release. We observed partial modifications for L52A, P54A, Y81A, P111A, L120A, and Y149A mutants of HvCbf5. L52 and P54 residues precede and follow the catalytic D53 and probably provide optimum conditions for Ψ formation. Ala substitution of Leu of yeast Cbf5 (L94 of S. cerevisiae Cbf5, Fig. 1) corresponding to L52 of HvCbf5 also showed a sharp reduction of total Ψ in rRNAs (Zebarjadian et al. 1999). P54 of HvCbf5 is the first residue of the “proline spine” nearest to the catalytic Asp and is in van der Waals interaction with P25 and P28 of Motif I (Spedaliere et al. 2000; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010), the other two Pro of the “proline spine” of Cbf5. The “proline spine” probably provides a communication path between the L7Ae protein and the active site of the Cbf5 (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Correct positioning of this Pro may enhance Ψ formation. Y81 is conserved in all Ψ synthases and is predicted to stack close to the target uridine, orienting the base for catalysis (Mueller and Ferré-D’Amaré 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). P111 of the thumb loop and Y149 (which preceeds conserved I150 and R151, present in other Ψ synthases also) interact with each other as well as with other residues of Cbf5 and Gar1 and with the substrate RNA (Table 1). Conformations of Y149 are also different under substrate-bound and substrate-free states (see later). Partial Ψ modification by mutations of these two residues suggests that although not necessary, these interactions help in proper placement of the substrate and release of the product. L120 of HvCbf5 (L153 of PfuCbf5) is conserved in the thumb loop of Cbf5 proteins. It is at the surface that binds Gar1 in the open state of the RNP (Duan et al. 2009). The mutant L120A is somewhat unique. It showed normal modification of U1942 and U2605, but partial modification of U1940 (Table 1; Fig. 6). When the corresponding L153 of PfuCbf5 was changed to Gly, it retained substantial in vitro activity under single-turnover conditions, but showed only ~25% activity under multiple-turnover conditions (Duan et al. 2009). This suggests that the same structures of Cbf5 may be involved both in multiple turnover (in vitro) and in multiple site (in vivo) activity.

**Certain Cbf5 residues are specifically needed for one of the two modifications guided by a single stem-loop of H/ACA RNA**

As mentioned previously, the 5’ stem–loop of the box H/ACA sRNA guides the formation of Ψ2605 and the 3’ stem–loop guides both Ψ1940 and Ψ1942, the last two modifications being the most conserved from bacteria to human. This case of the same stem–loop converting two uridines of an RNA that are close to each other is unique. A search of different s(no) RNA databases indicates that there are several instances where one stem–loop of a box H/ACA RNA can guide more than one Ψ modification. However, in these cases, the sites are either in two different RNAs or at two places far apart in the same RNA. The two conserved modifications of human and yeast large subunit rRNAs that correspond to Ψ1940 and Ψ1942 of H. volcanii 23S rRNA (Ψ3741 and Ψ3743 in human, Ψ2258 and Ψ2260 in yeast) are guided by one box H/ACA RNA each (U19 in human, snR191 in yeast) but by two separate stem–loops of these RNAs.

**FIGURE 7.** Relevance of PUA domain and certain catalytic core residues of Cbf5 in Ψ formation in vivo. Effects of deletion of PUA domain and Ala substitution of certain conserved residues (marked above each panel) of HvCbf5 for Ψ formation at positions 1940, 1942, and 2605 were determined by primer extensions following U-specific (A,C) and CMCT reactions (B,D). The reactions were done as in Figure 3.
TABLE 1. Summary of in vivo $\Psi$ production at positions 1940, 1942, and 2605 of *H. volcanii* 23S rRNA using different mutants of HvCbf5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HvCbf5 mutant</th>
<th>PfuCbf5 residue</th>
<th>Predicted roles/characteristics in Cbf5 proteins (residue numbers are of <em>H. volcanii</em> proteins)</th>
<th>$\Psi$</th>
<th>$\Psi$</th>
<th>$\Psi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residues conserved in $\Psi$ synthases (see Fig. 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D53A</td>
<td>D85</td>
<td>Catalytic Asp residue</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D53E</td>
<td>D85</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y81A</td>
<td>Y113</td>
<td>Stacks close to the target uridine</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II50A</td>
<td>I183</td>
<td>Involved in nucleotide stacking</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R151A</td>
<td>R184</td>
<td>Forms salt bridge with catalytic Asp53 and hydrogen bond to isomerized nucleotide</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L170A</td>
<td>L203</td>
<td>Involved in nucleotide stacking</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserved histidines (see Fig. 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H31A</td>
<td>H63</td>
<td>Conserved in Cbf5, but not in TruB. Rotates over 90° between substrate-free and substrate-bound RNP</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H48A</td>
<td>H80</td>
<td>Conserved in Cbf5 and TruB. Important for flipping out the base in tRNA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb loop and its conserved residues (see Figs. 5, 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔThumb loop (ΔQ108-R123)</td>
<td>ΔQ141-150</td>
<td>Highly conserved, interacts with substrate RNA and Gar1, involved in substrate turnover</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔCloop (ΔAVS115-117)</td>
<td>ΔAVK 148-150</td>
<td>Involved in docking of substrate RNA, interacts with Gar1 in the absence of substrate RNA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCloop (VS116-117AA)</td>
<td>VK149-150</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P110A</td>
<td>P143</td>
<td>P110: Docks Gar1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P111A</td>
<td>P144</td>
<td>P111: Interacts with Y149, substrate RNA and Docks Gar1 at V45</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R112A</td>
<td>L145</td>
<td>(P143, P144, and L145 of PfuCbf5 dock V23, V44, and L26 of PfuGar1)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP110-111AA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR111-112AA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP110-112AA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R121A</td>
<td>R154</td>
<td>Interacts with Y149 through H-bonding and stacking in RNP, and also with substrate RNA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L120A</td>
<td>L153</td>
<td></td>
<td>Binds Gar1 in open state of RNP</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserved catalytic domain residues (see Fig. 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L52A</td>
<td>L84</td>
<td>Precedes catalytic Asp, important in yeast</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P54A</td>
<td>P86</td>
<td>Next to catalytic Asp, part of “proline spine,” van der Waals interaction with P25 and P28</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y149A</td>
<td>Y182</td>
<td>Conformation differs between substrate-free and substrate-bound RNP, interacts with P111, R121, and Q108 (during closed state) of thumb loop</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIR149-151AA</td>
<td>YIR182-184</td>
<td>Recognizes and binds to the lower stem and ACA of guide RNA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUA domain (see Fig. 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔPUA (ΔH217-V289)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other residues (see Supplemental Fig. S1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D23A</td>
<td>D55</td>
<td>Important in yeast</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25A</td>
<td>P57</td>
<td>Part of “proline spine,” van der Waals interaction with P54, interacts with P38 of Nop10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P28A</td>
<td>P60</td>
<td>Part of “proline spine,” van der Waals interaction with P54</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K24A</td>
<td>K56</td>
<td>Interacts with backbone of catalytic Asp and Y20 of Nop10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R171A</td>
<td>R204</td>
<td>Interacts with P38 of Nop10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W36A</td>
<td>W68</td>
<td>Interacts with Nop10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H169A</td>
<td>E202</td>
<td>E202 of PfuCbf5 interacts with Nop10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R119A</td>
<td>R152</td>
<td>In thumb loop, R in Archaea, Q in Eukarya</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H155A</td>
<td>H188</td>
<td>Charged in Archaea, V in Eukarya</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S49A</td>
<td>G81</td>
<td>Ser to Gly mutant dyskerin in some DC patients</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S49G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S202A</td>
<td>E237</td>
<td>Ser to Arg mutant dyskerin in some DC patients</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S202R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D277A</td>
<td>T316</td>
<td>Asp to Asn mutant dyskerin in some DC patients</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D277N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(+ $\Psi$ modification, (−) modification not detected, (P) partial modification.)
(Ganot et al. 1997; Bortolin and Kiss 1998; Badis et al. 2003), not by a single stem–loop as in *H. volcanii*.

Two mutants of HvCbf5 (L52A and P110R112AA) showed partial or nearly complete modification at position 1940 (and position 2605) but no modification at position 1942 (Table 1). This suggested that these two modifications may occur sequentially, i.e., Ψ1942 modification occurs only after the production of Ψ1940. The target RNA, in this case, may be recruited once and is released after formation of both Ψ’s, or recruitment of the two target uridines may occur independently of each other. In the latter case, U1942 is recruited when the RNA contains Ψ1940. In both cases, U1942 is recognized in an unconventional manner and certain mutations of HvCbf5 affect only this recognition. Residue L120 appears to play some role in this recognition, because the above-mentioned L120A mutant shows partial modification at position 1940 but near normal modification at position 1942 (and position 2605). Specific features of the guide-target RNA interactions (cf. two interactions shown in Fig. 3A) would also be important in production of these double modifications. This specific double modification activity of Cbf5 (or box H/ACA RNPs) and the role of structural elements of Cbf5 in this activity were not detected before our present study, because no modification of two closely placed sites by a single stem–loop was previously known.

**Most predicted catalytic core residues are important for Cbf5 activity**

Four (D53, R151, I150, and L170) of the five conserved residues of the catalytic core of the Ψ synthases are essential for HvCbf5 activity because Ψ formation is abolished when any one of these is individually substituted by Ala. Mutation of the fifth residue (Y81A) showed partial modification. Different mutants of Tyr of *Pyrococcus* proteins (Y113A, Y113H, and Y113L of PfuCbf5, and Y110A and Y110F of *P. abyssi* Cbf5) that correspond to Y81 of HvCbf5 also retained some in vitro activity (Zhou et al. 2010; Tillault et al. 2015a). Therefore, this nonessential but enhancing role of Y81 in Ψ formation is somewhat surprising, because it (or another aromatic residue) is conserved in all Ψ synthases.

All Cbf5 proteins contain a conserved Leu and Pro (L52 and P54 of HvCbf5) on two sides of the catalytic Asp (D53) and a conserved Tyr (Y149 of HvCbf5) before the adjacent hydrophobic and basic residues (I150 and R151) present in most Ψ synthases (Fig. 1). The Pro is also part of the “proline spine” (see Fig. 2B) and the Tyr also interacts with certain residues of the thumb loop (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Individual Ala substitutions of all three residues show partial modification (Table 1). Furthermore, as mentioned above, the L52A mutant, although it partially modified U1940 and U2605, did not show modification of U1942. The Y182 of PfuCbf5, which corresponds to Y149 of HvCbf5, acquires two different conformations under substrate-free and substrate-bound conditions and interacts with conserved P144 and R154 (P111 and R121 of HvCbf5) of the thumb loop (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010) and with Q141 (Q108 of HvCbf5) during the closed state of the thumb loop (Duan et al. 2009). Arg, His, and Ser mutation of Tyr182 of PfuCbf5 abolished enzymatic activity under in vitro conditions, but an aromatic replacement (Y182F) only reduced the activity (Zhou et al. 2010). Similarly, the Y179F mutant of *P. abyssi* Cbf5 retained some in vitro activity and the Y179A mutant almost lost this activity (Tillault et al. 2015a). Therefore, it appears that the residues of Cbf5 that are adjacent to the conserved residues of Ψ synthases are also important for the optimum activity of Cbf5.

A Cbf5 segment of nearly conserved residues GTYIR (positions 180–184 in PfuCbf5, 147–151 in HvCbf5, see Fig. 1), which includes I150 and R151 is conserved in most Ψ synthases, interacts with newly formed Ψ, and is suggested to communicate the isomerization of U to the thumb loop (and Gar1) for product release (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). R151 forms a salt bridge with catalytic D53, hydrogen bonds to Ψ, and signals the chemical change in the catalytic site for product release. Furthermore, the conformation of Y149 in this segment shows a difference between substrate-free and bound RNP. Loss or reduction in Ψ formation in Y149A, I150A, and R151A mutants of HvCbf5 supports the communication role of this segment of Cbf5.

**The conserved catalytic aspartate of Ψ synthases can be replaced by glutamate in Cbf5**

As stated before, the conserved catalytic Asp observed in all six families of Ψ synthases is replaced by Glu in *S. solfataricus* (Fig. 1) and *S. acidocaldarius* (not shown). This Glu in Cbf5 is not characteristic of the genus *Sulfolobus* or the kingdom Crenarchaeota (to which *Sulfolobus* belongs) of the domain Archaea. Cbf5 of *Sulfolobus shibatae*, *Sulfolobus metallicus*, *Sulfolobus tokodaiii*, and other members of the Crenarchaeota contain Asp as the catalytic residue (data not shown). Furthermore, there was no previous report that Glu-containing Cbf5 of *S. solfataricus* or *S. acidocaldarius* do produce Ψ. Conversion of catalytic Asp of PfuCbf5 to Glu also abolished its activity under in vitro conditions (Zhou et al. 2010). Therefore, the D53E mutant of HvCbf5 behaving like the WT protein under in vivo conditions was surprising. Although the physical structures of these two amino acids are somewhat similar, nature has consistently selected Asp as the catalytic residue in (nearly) all known Ψ synthases.

**Roles of conserved histidines during in vivo RNA-guided activity of archaeal Cbf5**

Archaeal Cbf5 in vitro has been shown to have both RNA-guided and guide RNA-independent pseudouridylation activities (Baker et al. 2005; Charpentier et al. 2005; Roovers et al. 2006; Gurha et al. 2007; Muller et al. 2007, 2008).
Histidines equivalent to H31 and H48 of HvCbf5 play important but distinct roles in these two activities (Muller et al. 2007; Duan et al. 2009; Liang et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010; Tillault et al. 2015b). Histidines comparable to H48 of HvCbf5 (H80 in PfuCbf5, H77 in Pyrococcus abyssi Cbf5) are conserved both in Cbf5 and in TrueB (H43 of E. coli TrueB). This His of TrueB interacts with the U54•A58 reverse Hoogsteen pair of rRNA and is critical for flipping out the target U55 (Hoang and Ferré-D’Amaré 2001; Duan et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Though this His of Cbf5 has been suggested to have an interaction with the substrate U in guide RNA-dependent activity, its confirmation does not change between the substrate-free and substrate-bound H/ACA RNP (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2009). Several studies (Muller et al. 2007; Tillault et al. 2015b) showed that H31A mutation abolishes all three Ψ’s in the rRNA when the RNA does not contain the U54•A58 reverse Hoogsteen pair (Gurha et al. 2007; Duan et al. 2009). Unlike the His common to both Cbf5 and TrueB, this particular His rotates over 90° from substrate-free to substrate-bound state of the RNP during RNA-dependent activity of Cbf5 (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Our results agree with the importance of the Cbf5-specific histidine in the RNA-dependent activity of Cbf5; the H31A mutation abolishes all three Ψ’s in the rRNA (Table 1; Fig. 4). The other His (H48), which is conserved in both Cbf5 and TrueB, is not important for in vivo RNA-dependent activity of Cbf5; all three Ψ’s are present in the H48A mutant (Table 1; Fig. 4). In agreement with our present in vivo study, previous in vitro studies (Muller et al. 2007; Tillault et al. 2015b) showed that H77A mutants of P. abyssi Cbf5 (similar to the H48A mutant of HvCbf5) did not affect its guide RNA-dependent activity. One of these studies also showed that H60, the other His of P. abyssi Cbf5 (comparable to H31 of HvCbf5), is not essential for either the RNA-independent or guide RNA-dependent activity of Cbf5 (Tillault et al. 2015b). Our in vivo results do not agree with their in vitro results for guide RNA-dependent activity of Cbf5. We show here that this activity is abolished in the comparable H31A mutant (Table 1; Fig. 4). It is possible that one or the other His of Cbf5 may be important for the guide RNA-independent activity of Cbf5 depending on the structure of the substrate RNA (e.g., presence or absence of U54•A58 reverse Hoogsteen pair in a tRNA). Furthermore, the question may be raised whether under certain conditions (or for some substrates) the observed results of in vitro activities of H/ACA RNPs may be a combination of guide RNA-dependent and independent activities of Cbf5, i.e., whether a particular substrate in vitro can be modified by both activities of Cbf5. An in vivo study of RNA-independent activity of Cbf5, if it occurs, may help in resolving some of these issues.

Several residues of the thumb loop involved in interactions with Gar1 and substrate RNA are important for Cbf5 activity

The Thumb loop locks the target nucleotide at the active site cleft. Residues near the closed end (tip) of this loop interact with Gar1 in the open state and flip over in the closed state (Duan et al. 2009). Three consecutive residues P143, P144, and L145 of PfuCbf5 dock at a hydrophobic patch (V23, V44, and L26) of PuGar1 (Li and Ye 2006). Only two of the three residues are conserved in HvCbf5 (P110 and P111). The third HvCbf5 residue is a charged R112 instead of the hydrophobic residue observed in other Cbf5 proteins (Fig. 1). (HvGar1 residues that correspond to the hydrophobic patch of PfuGar1 are T24, V45 and I27.) P144 of PfuCbf5 (P111 of HvCbf5) also interacts with Y182 of PfuGar1 (Y149 of HvCbf5) at the catalytic core through hydrogen bonding and stacking in the fully assembled RNP and with substrate RNA through water-mediated hydrogen bonding (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Although this Pro (P111) appears to be very important, P110 and R112 do play some accessory roles. By itself, P111A showed partial modifications, while P110A and R112A did not affect modifications. However, P111A in any combination with mutations of the other two residues (PP110-111AA, PR111-112AA, and PPR110-112AAA) abolished all modifications. Furthermore, mutant P110R112AA (retaining P111) showed modification of U1940 (and U2605) but not of U1942. This mutant is somewhat like the above-mentioned L52A mutant that showed partial modification of U1940 but no modification of U1942.

Several basic residues after the tip (108–112 of HvCbf5) mainly interact with Gar1 in the open state and flip over in the closed state (Duan et al. 2009). Three consecutive residues P143, P144, and L145 of PfuCbf5 dock at a hydrophobic patch (V23, V44, and L26) of PuGar1 (Li and Ye 2006). Only two of the three residues are conserved in HvCbf5 (P110 and P111). The third HvCbf5 residue is a charged R112 instead of the hydrophobic residue observed in other Cbf5 proteins (Fig. 1). (HvGar1 residues that correspond to the hydrophobic patch of PfuGar1 are T24, V45 and I27.) P144 of PfuCbf5 (P111 of HvCbf5) also interacts with Y182 of PfuGar1 (Y149 of HvCbf5) at the catalytic core through hydrogen bonding and stacking in the fully assembled RNP and with substrate RNA through water-mediated hydrogen bonding (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Although this Pro (P111) appears to be very important, P110 and R112 do play some accessory roles. By itself, P111A showed partial modifications, while P110A and R112A did not affect modifications. However, P111A in any combination with mutations of the other two residues (PP110-111AA, PR111-112AA, and PPR110-112AAA) abolished all modifications. Furthermore, mutant P110R112AA (retaining P111) showed modification of U1940 (and U2605) but not of U1942. This mutant is somewhat like the above-mentioned L52A mutant that showed partial modification of U1940 but no modification of U1942.

Several basic residues after the tip (e.g., R119 and R121 in HvCbf5) interact with phosphate groups of the substrate RNA on the 5’ side of the target uridine (Duan et al. 2009; Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). R154 of PfuCbf5 (R121 of HvCbf5) also interacts with Y182 (Y149 of HvCbf5) of the catalytic core region through hydrogen bonding and stacking in the fully assembled RNP (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Although the R119A mutation did not affect...
modification, residue at this particular position must have some special role in Eukarya (in other than H/ACA RNP activity) because, as mentioned before, we did not succeed in preparing *H. volcanii* strains that contained R119Q. Eukaryal Cbf5 contains Gln instead of Archaea-specific Arg at this position (see Fig. 1). Interactions of R121 (R154 of PfuCbf5) must be essential because the R121A mutant lost all modifications. Mutant R154Q of PfuCbf5 also lost all activity under in vitro conditions (Duan et al. 2009). A conserved Leu (L153 in PfuCbf5, L120 in HvCbf5) between the two Arg (R119 and R121 of HvCbf5) is observed in Cbf5 proteins. It is at the surface that binds to Gar1 in the open state of the RNP (Duan et al. 2009). This Leu appears to have some role during modification of U1940 and U1942, but not during that of U2605. Mutant L120A showed normal modification of U1942 and U2605, but no modification of U1940.

**Most mutations of individual residues that form part of an interacting surface of Cbf5 do not affect its activity**

Single mutations of individual residues of certain structures or surfaces that are involved in intra- or intermolecular interactions in general do not affect modifications, as we observed for the mutants of the residues of the proline spine and of those on the Cbf5 surface that interacts with Nop10 (Table 1). The Pro (P54 of HvCbf5, next to catalytic D53) at one end of the proline spine stacks next to the flipped out base of the residue 3′ to the target uridine of the substrate RNA, and the Pro (of L7Ae) at the other end contacts the flipped out uridine of the K-loop of the H/ACA guide RNA (Hamma and Ferré-D’Amaré 2010). Mutation of P54 showed partial modification, but mutations of P25 and P28 did not affect modification. Probably, inside the cell, individual mutation of residues forming part of a structure either slow down Ψ formation or are compensated by accessory factors or other interactions. On the other hand, the identity of the residues, whose chemical status or orientation changes extensively during Ψ formation, appears to be essential.

This is the first report of an in vivo study of an archaeal box H/ACA sRNP. By changing several residues and regions of the Ψ synthase Cbf5, we identified several conserved residues that are crucial for and others that facilitate the RNA-guided activity of archaeal Cbf5. Furthermore, we also showed for the first time that activities of archaeal Cbf5 are not identical when it uses one stem–loop of guide RNA to modify only one uridine and when it uses one stem–loop to modify two closely placed uridines in a sequential manner. These differences could be seen because mutations of certain residues abolished only one of the two modifications.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Standard molecular biology procedures (Sambrook and Russell 2001) were used unless specifically described.

**Strains, media, and transformation procedures**

*H. volcanii* H26 and VDC2364 (Blaby et al. 2011) were used as wild type (WT) and cbf5-deleted (Δcbf5) strains. *E. coli* was routinely grown in LB (Fisher) or LB agar (Fisher) at 37°C, supplemented when required with ampicillin (100 µg/mL), chloramphenicol (34 µg/mL), IPTG (0.2 mM), and X-Gal (40 µg/mL). *H. volcanii* cells were routinely grown at 42°C–44°C in Hv-YP medium, as described in the HaloHandbook (http://www.haloarchaea.com/resources/halohandbook/Halohandbook_2008_v7.pdf) or in a medium described previously (Gupta 1984), supplemented with novobiocin (0.8 µg/mL) when required. Transformation of *H. volcanii* was performed as described before (Blaby et al. 2011).

**Cloning and expression of wild type and mutants of *H. volcanii* Cbf5 for in vivo analysis**

Plasmid pHChbf5 (Blaby et al. 2011) was used to express WT HvCbf5 in *H. volcanii*. An ~1000 bp HindIII-EcoRI fragment of pCHbf5 containing cbf5 was excised and recloned in pBluescript KS+ (Stratagene) to prepare the mutants of HvCbf5 in *E. coli*. Mutants were created by site-directed mutagenesis. Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1. After confirmation of mutation by sequencing, the mutant HindIII-EcoRI fragment was cut out from the plasmid and used to replace the corresponding WT fragment of pHChbf5 for expression in *H. volcanii*. The sequence of the mutant gene was again checked to ensure proper replacement of the WT fragment. A commonly used system, e.g., D53A (Asp53 changed to Ala) and YIR149-151AAA (Tyr, Ile and Arg at positions 149–151 simultaneously changed to three Ala), was used to name the substitution mutants.

The presence of mutant Cbf5 protein in every *H. volcanii* strain that did not show Ψ at all three positions or had Ψ at positions 1940 and 2605 but not at position 1942 was checked by Western blot analyses (see Supplemental Fig. S2). Antibody preparation and these analyses are described in the Methods section of the Supplemental Material.

**Determination of the presence or absence of Ψ at specific positions in 23S rRNA**

All strains of *H. volcanii* were grown to *A*_{600} of approximately 1.0 and total RNA was isolated using Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center) according to manufacturer’s protocol. The presence of Ψ and its absence (the presence of unmodified U) in the RNA was determined by primer extension following 1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinoethyl) carbodiimide metho-p-toluenesulfonate (CMCT) treatment (Ofengand et al. 2001a; Motorin et al. 2007) and U-specific sequencing reaction (Pettie 1979; Gupta 1984), respectively, as described previously (Blaby et al. 2011). Essentially, CMCT reaction involves treatment of RNA with CMCT, ethanol precipitation, alkali treatment, and ethanol precipitation again, followed by primer extension. CMCT forms adducts with Ψ, U, and G. Alkali removes all CMCT groups except those attached to N3 of Ψ (Bakin and Ofengand 1993). This method is not suitable for quantitation of Ψ at a particular position in the RNA, because partial reaction conditions are used here for the CMCT reactions. Furthermore, reverse transcriptase reactions used for primer extensions show varying amounts of sensitivity to secondary structures.
and different modified residues present in the RNA. Similarly, U-specific reactions are also partial. Here uridines in RNA are hydrazinolysed using aqueous hydrazine followed by strand scission at the position of damaged bases using acidified aniline. Both CMCT and U-specific reactions were done on the same batch of RNA. Reactions for the effects of each mutant protein were done at least twice on the RNAs prepared from independent cell cultures harvested at different times. Results of repeat reactions were similar. We consider Ψ present at a position in the RNA if a dark band is observed at that position in the gel after CMCT but not after U-specific reaction and absent when a dark band is observed after U-specific but not after CMCT reaction. A band is considered “dark” when its intensity is considerably more in the lane containing CMCT- or hydrazine-treated RNA than the untreated RNA. Since these primer extension reactions are not quantitative, we scored them as partial Ψ production when dark bands were observed at a particular position after both CMCT and U-specific reactions.

**Multiple sequence alignment, homology modeling, and bioinformatic analyses**

Archaeal Cbf5 protein sequences were obtained from the UCSC Archaeal Genome Browser (http://archaea.ucsc.edu/) and all other (from yeast to human) sequences were obtained from the NCBI protein database. Multiple sequence alignments of the Cbf5 protein homologs were done in Clustal W (Larkin et al. 2007). Secondary structure and homology models of *H. volcanii* Cbf5 were prepared using the I-Tasser protein structure prediction suite (Zhang 2008; Roy et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2015; Yang and Zhang 2015). In this pipeline, 10 template protein structures were identified by sequence and initially modeled using the LOMETS threading algorithm. These structures included *Pyrococcus furiosus* Cbf5 (PDB accession code 2EY4) and H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex (2RFK; 2HYY), the Cbf5/NOP complex in *Methanococcus jannaschii* (2AP0), and the Cbf5-Nop10-Gar1 complex of *Saccharomices cerevisiae* (3U2B) as threading templates. These templates had between 35% and 45% primary sequence identities compared to *H. volcanii* Cbf5. After threading the primary sequence of *H. volcanii* Cbf5 around these template structures, a consensus centroid position for a carbons and the side-chain center of mass was calculated from the resulting lowest energy structures. The model was then pipelined into the I-TASSER algorithm for model refinement to determine lowest energy guided by RMSD and steric clashes. The output-refined model was then assigned a C-score based on RMSD in a consensus scoring of the multiple threading templates, and a TM-score of structural similarity of the final structure with the reference template structures. The refined structure for our homology model of *H. volcanii* Cbf5 had a C-score of 1.13, indicating a high confidence model (typical reported range is ~5 to 2); a TM-score of 0.87 ± 0.07, indicating a model with the correct topology (>0.5 is a typical cutoff); and an estimated RMSD of 3.8 ± 2.6Å compared to reference Cbf5 models. Examination of local accuracy estimates using ResQ (Yang et al. 2016) indicated an accuracy of better than 2 Å for most residues except for a small region (residues 111–119) where estimated accuracy was ~10 Å. Dihedral angles of the refined model were examined on a Ramachandran plot and compared to template structure (PDB 2RFK). Secondary structures were within the most favored regions of the plot or in similar locations as the template structure for most residues with the exception of one β strand (residues 137–144). This final refined structure for *H. volcanii* Cbf5 was stored as a PDB file, visualized in the Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD) suite (Humphrey et al. 1996), structurally aligned with *P. furiosus* Cbf5 using the MultiSeq extension (Roberts et al. 2006), and rendered using Tachyon (www.photonlimited.com/~johns/tachyon).
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METHODS

Western blot analyses to check the expression of inactive mutant HvCbf5 proteins

Custom made polyclonal rabbit antibodies (Cocalico Biologicals) against N-terminal His-tagged full-length HvCbf5 protein expressed in E. coli were used for Western analysis. For this purpose, H. volcanii cbf5 gene was cloned in a modified pET30a (Novagen) vector. The protein was expressed in E. coli Rosetta (DE3) pLysS (Novagen). The cells were grown to an A600 of approximately 0.6 and induced by 0.3 mM IPTG at 16°C for about 16 hr. Recombinant protein was purified by Nickel-affinity chromatography and then further separated by SDS-PAGE. A gel slice containing recombinant HvCbf5 was used to raise antibodies. 1:4000 dilution of the third bleed was used for Western analysis. No commercial antibody against any H. volcanii protein is available. A band for an unidentified protein of about 55 kDa was always observed in cell extracts when we used anti-HvCbf5 antibody in our analyses. Its amount did not appear to vary in any strain containing mutant Cbf5. It was present even in the Δcbf5 cells (Supplemental_Fig._S2, panel B). We used this protein as a loading control. H. volcanii cells from the same culture were used to prepare both Western blot to determine the presence of HvCbf5 in the cells and total RNA to determine the presence or absence of Ψ in 23S rRNA. For this purpose, a fraction of H. volcanii cell pellet was stored frozen every time total RNA was prepared. When the RNA did not show the presence of Ψ, corresponding frozen cells were used for Western analysis. In all such cases antibody detected HvCbf5 in cell extracts (Supplemental_Fig._S2). Quantitation of HvCbf5 protein in cell (band intensity relative to "control" protein of 55kDa) was done using ImageJ (1.47v). In most cases the amount of mutant protein in the cell was approximately the same as in the cell containing WT protein expressed from a plasmid-borne copy of the gene. This analysis does not tell whether the mutant protein folded into the correct conformation.
Supplementary Figure S1. Mutations of several residues (marked above each panel) of HvCbf5 did not affect Ψ formation at positions 1940, 1942 and 2605. Primer extensions analyses following U-specific (A, C, E, G, I and K) and CMCT reactions (B, D, F, H, J and L). The reactions were done as in Fig. 3.
**Supplementary Figure S2.** Western blot analyses to check the expression of inactive mutant HvCbf5. Analyses of proteins that either failed to modify U at any of the three positions (1940, 1942, and 2605) of 23S rRNA or modified U1940 and U2605, but not U1942. Anti-HvCbf5 antibody was used to probe the blots. 10% polyacrylamide gels were used for SDS-PAGE. Each panel (A-I) is from an independent Western blot. (A) Recombinant protein used to raise antibodies. (B) Extracts of *H. volcanii* cells: WT - wild type, Δcbf5 - genomic cbf5 gene deleted, and Δcbf5+pHcbf5 - Δcbf5 containing plasmid-borne copy of WT cbf5 gene. (C-I) Extracts of Δcbf5 cells containing plasmid-borne copy of mutant cbf5 gene. Labels above each lane indicate the mutation. Positions of Cbf5 protein bands (full-length about 32 kDa) are marked with arrows. A band (marked with asterisks) for an unidentified protein (approximately 55 kDa) was always observed in *H. volcanii* cell extracts. It is used here as a loading control and band intensity of HvCbf5 is calculated relative to this protein. Calculated amounts are indicated below each lane. (Calculations are not done for panels D and H, because transfer of proteins from the gel was not uniform.)
### Supplementary Table S1 - List of Oligonucleotides used in this work and their functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>In vivo assay for Catalytic core mutation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D53A-F</td>
<td>CCC ACT CGG GAA CGC TCG CCC CGA AGG TGA CCG GCT G</td>
<td>Forward primer to change catalytic Asp53 (GAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D53A-R</td>
<td>CAG CCG GTC ACC TTC GGG GGG AGC GTT CCC GAG TGG G</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change catalytic Asp53 (GAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-Y81A-F</td>
<td>CCT CGA AGG CTC GAA AGA GCC_CGT CTC CGT GCT CGA ACT CCA C</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Tyr81 (TAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-Y81A-R</td>
<td>GTG GAG TTC GAG CAC GGA GAC GGC_CTC TTT CGA GCA GCC TTC GAG G</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Tyr81 (TAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-I150A-F</td>
<td>CTG TGA GTC GGG CAC GTA CGC_CCG CAA GCT GTG TCA CGA CC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Ile150 (ATC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-I150A-R</td>
<td>GGT CGT GAC ACA GCT TGC GGG CGT ACG TGC CCG ACT CAC AG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Ile150 (ATC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R151A-F</td>
<td>GTG AGT CGG GCA CGT ACA TCG_CCA AGC TGT GTC ACG ACC TCG G</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Arg151 (CGC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R151A-R</td>
<td>CCG AGG TCG TGA CAC AGC TTG GCG ATG TAC GTG CCC GAC TCA C</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Arg151 (CGC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-L170A-F</td>
<td>GCC GCC ACA TGG GCC ACG CCG GCC GGA CCG CGA CCG AC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Leu170 (CTG) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-L170A-R</td>
<td>GTC GGT CGC GGT CCG GCG CGC_GTG GCC CAT GTG GCC GC</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Leu170 (CTG) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In vivo assay for RNA-Protein Interaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D23A-F</td>
<td>CGG CGT CGT CAA CCT CGC_CAA GCC GCC GGG GC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Asp23 (GAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D23A-R</td>
<td>GCC CCG GCG GCT TGG_CGA GGT TGA CGA CGC CG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Asp23 (GAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-H31A-F</td>
<td>CGC CGG GGC CGT CGG CCG CCC AGG TCA CCG GCT GGG TC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change His31(CAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-H31A-R</td>
<td>GAC CCA GCC GGT GAC CTG GGC GGC CGA CCG CCC CGG CG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change His31(CAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-H48A-F</td>
<td>GAC GTC GAC CGC GCC GCC GCC TCG GGA ACG CTC GAC CCG</td>
<td>Forward primer to change His48 (CAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-H48A-R</td>
<td>CGG GTC GAG CTG TCC CGA GGC GCC GC GCG GTC GAC GTC</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change His48 (CAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-L52A-F</td>
<td>GCC CAC TCG GGA ACG GCC GAC CCG AAG GTG ACC GAC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Leu52 (CTC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-L52A-R</td>
<td>GGT CAC CTG GTC GCC CGT TCC CGA GTG GGC GCC</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Leu52 (CTC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D53E-F</td>
<td>CCC ACT CGG GAA CGC TCG ACG CGA AGG TGA CCG GCT G</td>
<td>Forward primer to change catalytic Asp53 (GAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D53E-R</td>
<td>CAG CCG GTC ACC TTC GCC TCC AGC GTT CCC GAG TGG G</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change catalytic Asp53 (GAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-Y149A-F</td>
<td>CGC CTG TGA GTC GGG CAC GGC CAG CAT CCG CAA GCT GTG TCA CG</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Tyr149 (TAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-Y149A-R</td>
<td>CGT GAC ACA GCT TGC GGA TGG CCG TGC CCG ACT CAC AGC GG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Tyr149 (TAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-YIR149/51R</td>
<td>CGC CTG TGA GTC GGG CAC GGC CGC CGC GAA GCT GTG TCA CGA CCT CGG CC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Tyr149, Ile150 and Arg151 (TACATCCGC) of HvCbf5 gene to three Ala (GCGGCCGC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-YIR149/51-R</td>
<td>GGC CGA GGT CGT GAC ACA GCT TCG CGG CGG CCG TGC CCC ACT CAC AGC GG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Tyr149, Ile150 and Arg151 (TACATCCGC) of HvCbf5 gene to three Ala (GCGGCCGC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBFdPUA-F</td>
<td>CGC CCG CCG AGC GAG CCG TGT CGC ACC ATC ATC ATC ATC ATC ACT AGT GAG AAT TCC TGC</td>
<td>Forward primer to delete PUA domain in HvCbf5 gene already cloned in pBluescript KS', contains His-tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBFdPUA-R</td>
<td>GCA GGA ATT CTC ACT AGT GAT GAT GAT GAT GGT GCC ACA GCG CTC GCT CGG CGG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to delete PUA domain in HvCbf5 gene already cloned in pBluescript KS', contains His-tag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### In vivo assay for Protein-Protein Interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Set</th>
<th>Forward Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Reverse Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-K24A-F</strong></td>
<td>GCG TCG TCA ACC TCG ACG CGC CGC CGG GGC CG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward primer to change Lys24 (AAG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-K24A-R</strong></td>
<td>CGG CCC CGG CGG CGC GTC GAG GTT GAC GAC GC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Lys24 (AAG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P25A-F</strong></td>
<td>CGT CGT CAA CCT CGA CAA GCC GCC GGG GCC GTC GCC GC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro25 (CCG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P25A-R</strong></td>
<td>GGG CCG ACG GCC CCG GCG CCT TGT CGA GGT GTG TGA CGA CG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro25 (CCG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P28A-F</strong></td>
<td>CTC GAC AAG CCG CCG GGG GCG TCG GCC CAC CAG GTC ACC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro28 (CCG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P28A-R</strong></td>
<td>GGT GAC CTG GTG GGC CGA CGC CCC CGG CGG CTT GTC GAG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro28 (CCG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-W36A-F</strong></td>
<td>CCA CCA GGT CAC CGG CGC GGT CGC CGA CAT GG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward primer to change Trp36 (TGG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-W36A-R</strong></td>
<td>CCA TGT CGC GGA CCG CGC CGG TGA CCT GGT GG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Trp36 (TGG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P54A-F</strong></td>
<td>CCA CTC GGG AAC GCT CGA CGC GAA GGT GAC CGG CTG TCT ACC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro54 (CCG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P54A-R</strong></td>
<td>GGT AGA CAG CGC GTC ACC TTC GGC TCG AGC GTC GCG GAG TGG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro54 (CCG) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-P110A-F</strong></td>
<td>CGA AGC TCT ACC AGA AGG CCC CGC GGA AGT CGG C</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro110 (CCC) HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Table S1 (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-P110A-R</td>
<td>GCG GAC TTC CGC GGG GCC TTC TGG TAG AGC TTC G</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro110 (CCC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-P111A-F</td>
<td>GCT CTA CCA GAA GCC CGC GCG GAA GTC CGC GGT CTC G</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro111 (CCG) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-P111A-R</td>
<td>CGA GAC GGC GGA CTT CCG GC GGG GCT TCT GTA GAG C</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro111 (CCG) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R112A-F</td>
<td>CTA CCA GAA GCC CCC GGC GAA GTC CGC GGT CTC GC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Arg112 (CCG) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R112A-R</td>
<td>GCG AGA CGG CGG ACT TCG CCG GGG GCT TCT GGT AG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Arg112 (CCG) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PP 110/11AA-F</td>
<td>CGA AGC TCT ACC AGA AGG CCG CGC GGA AGT CCG CCG TTC C</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro110 and Pro111 (CCCCCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCCCGCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PP 110/11AA-R</td>
<td>GAG ACG GCG GAC TTC CGC GCC TTC TGG TAG AGC TTC G</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro110 and Pro111 (CCCCCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCCCGCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PR 111/12AA-F</td>
<td>GCT CTA CCA GAA GCC CGC GGC GAA GTC CGC GGT CTC GC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro111 and Arg112 (C CGGCGG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCCGCGCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PR 111/12AA-R</td>
<td>GCG AGA CGG CGG ACT TCG CCG GGG GCT TCT GGT AGA GC</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro111 and Arg112 (CGCGCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCCGCGCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PP 110/12AA-F</td>
<td>CGA AGC TCT ACC AGA AGG CCC CGG CGA AGT CCG CGT TCT CGC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro110 and Arg112 (CCCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCC/GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PR 110/12AA-R</td>
<td>GCG AGA CGG CGG ACT TCG CCG GGG CCG CCG CCG TCG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro110 and Arg112 (CCCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCC/GCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PPR 110/12A-F</td>
<td>CGA ATC GAA GCT CTA CCA GAA GCC CGC CGC GCC GAA GTC CGC GGT CTC GCG C</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Pro110, Pro111 and Arg112 (CCCCCGCGG) of HvCbf5 gene to three Ala (GCCCGCGCGG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-PPR 110/12A-R</td>
<td>GCG CGA GAC GGC GGA CTT CGC CGC GGG CTT CTG GTA GAG CTT CGA TTC G</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Pro110, Pro111 and Arg112 (CCCCCGCGG) of HvCbf5 gene to three Ala (GCCCGCGCGG)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table S1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VCBF-d115/117-F</th>
<th>GCC CCC GCG GAA GTC CCG CCG CCT CCG CGT CCG</th>
<th>Forward primer to delete aa 115-117 (AVS) from HvCbf5 gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-d115/117-R</td>
<td>CGG ACG CGG AGG CGG CGG GAC TTC CGC GGG GGC</td>
<td>Reverse primer to delete aa 115-117 (AVS) from HvCbf5 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-VS 116/17AA-F</td>
<td>GCC GAA GTC CGC CGC CGG CCT CCG CG</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Val116 and Ser117 (GTCTCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCCGCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-VS 116/17AA-R</td>
<td>CGC GGA GGC GGC GCG CGG CGG ACT TCC GC</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Val116 and Ser117 (GTCTCG) of HvCbf5 gene to two Ala (GCCGCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-L120A-F</td>
<td>CCG TCT CGC GCC GCG TCG GCC TCC GCG AAA TCC ACG</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Leu120 (CTC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-L120A-R</td>
<td>CGT GGA TTT CGC GGA CGC GGG CGG AGA CGG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Leu120 (CTC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R121A-F</td>
<td>CCG TCT CGC GCC GCC TCG CGG TCC GCG AAA TCC ACG ACC</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Arg121 (CGC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R121A-R</td>
<td>GGT CGT GGA TTT CGC GGA CGC GGG CGG AGA CGG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Arg121 (CGC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-H169A-F</td>
<td>GCG GCC ACA TGG GCG CCC TGC GCC GGA CCG C</td>
<td>Forward primer to change His169 (CAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-H169A-R</td>
<td>GCG GTC CGG CGC AGG GCC CCCC ATG TGG CCG C</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change His169 (CAC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R171A-F</td>
<td>CCA CAT GGG CCA CCT GGC CCG GAC CGC GAC CG</td>
<td>Forward primer to change Arg171 (CGC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-R171A-R</td>
<td>CGG TCG CGG TCC GGG CGA GGT GGC CCA TGT GG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change Arg171 (CGC) of HvCbf5 gene to Ala (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-d108/123-F</td>
<td>CGC CGA GTT CGA ATC GAA GCT CTA CGA AAT CCA CGA CCT CGA CCT CC</td>
<td>Forward primer to delete aa 108-123 from HvCbf5 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-d108/123-R</td>
<td>GGA GGT CGA GGT CGT GGA TTT CGT AGA GCT TCG ATT CGA ACT CGG CG</td>
<td>Reverse primer to delete aa 108-123 from HvCbf5 gene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplementary Table S1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In vivo assay for DC mutations in Cbf5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S49G-F2</td>
<td>CGA CCG CGC CGC CCA CGG TGG AAC GCT CGA CCC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S49G-R2</td>
<td>CGG GTC GAG CGT TCC ACC GTG GGC GGC GCG GTC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S49A-F</td>
<td>CGA CCG CGC CGC CCA CGC GGG AAC GCT CGA CCC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S49A-R</td>
<td>CGG GTC GAG CGT TCC CGC GTG GGC GGC GCG GTC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S202A-F</td>
<td>GCG GAG GGC GAC GAG GCG TGG CTG CGA GCC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S202A-R</td>
<td>CGT CGC GCA GCC ACG CCT CGT CGC CCT CGC C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S202R-F</td>
<td>GCG GAG GGC GAC GAG CGG TGG CTG CGC GAC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-S202R-R</td>
<td>CGT CGC GCA GCC ACC GCT CGT CGC CCT CGC C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D277A-F</td>
<td>GGC GAC GCC GAC GCC GCC TCC GGC GAG GTC GTC TCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D277A-R</td>
<td>CGA GAC GAC CTC GCC GGA GGC GGC GTC GGC GTC GCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D277N-F</td>
<td>GGC GAC GCC GAC GCC AAC TCC GGC GAG GTC GTC TCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCBF-D277N-R</td>
<td>CGA GAC GAC CTC GCC GGA GTT GGC GTC GGC GTC GCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table S1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In vivo assay for residues found only in archaea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-R119Q-F</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-R119Q-R</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-R119A-F</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-R119A-R</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-H155A-F</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VCBF-H155A-R</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HVLSUR1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HVLSUR2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>