### **Southern Illinois University Carbondale [OpenSIUC](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fgs_rp%2F839&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[Research Papers](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/gs_rp?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fgs_rp%2F839&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Graduate School](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/grad?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fgs_rp%2F839&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

5-11-2018

# Outlier Dectection For High Dimensional Data

Handong Wang wanghandong1992@siu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/gs\\_rp](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/gs_rp?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fgs_rp%2F839&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

#### Recommended Citation

Wang, Handong. "Outlier Dectection For High Dimensional Data." (May 2018).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Papers by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu)

#### OUTLIER DETECTION FOR HIGH DIMENSIONAL DATA

by

Handong Wang

B.A., Southern Illinois University Carbondale, 2015

A Research Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master of Science

> Department of Mathematics in the Graduate School Southern Illinois University Carbondale May, 2018

#### RESEARCH PAPER APPROVAL

#### OUTLIER DETECTION FOR HIGH DIMENSIONAL DATA

by

Handong Wang

A Research Paper Submitted in Partial

Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Master of Science

in the field of Mathematics

Approved by:

David J. Olive

Jerzy Kocik

Yaser Samadi

Graduate School Southern Illinois University Carbondale March 5, 2018

#### AN ABSTRACT OF THE RESEARCH PAPER OF

HANDONG WANG, for the Master of Science degree in MATHEMATICS, presented on MARCH 5, 2018, at Southern Illinois University Carbondale.

#### TITLE: OUTLIER DETECTION FOR HIGH DIMENSIONAL DATA

#### MAJOR PROFESSOR: Dr. David J. Olive

This paper presents outlier detection for a data set  $x_1, ..., x_n$  where  $x_i$  is  $p \times 1$  and  $p$  could be larger than  $n$ .

KEY WORDS: FCH, Outliers, RMVN.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, I would like to take this chance to thank Prof. David Olive help me complete my master research paper. Thank you for your assistance and patience. I also want to thank Prof. Kocik and Prof. Samadi for being my committee. Secondly, I want to thank all the professors from math department for their academic instructions and care over the past six years. Finally I want to say, I can not have this achievement without my family's support and encouragement. Thank you all again from the bottom of my heart!

### TABLE OF CONTENTS



### LIST OF TABLES



### LIST OF FIGURES



#### INTRODUCTION

Suppose the data set is  $x_1, ..., x_n$  where  $x_i$  is  $p \times 1$ . Outliers are cases that lie far away from the bulk of the data, and outliers can ruin a statistical analysis. This paper discusses a technique for outlier detection that works well for certain outlier configurations provided the bulk of the data consists of more than  $n/2$  cases. The technique could fail if there are  $g > 2$  groups of about  $n/g$  cases per group. First we need to define Mahalanobis distances and the coordinatewise median. Some univariate estimators will be defined first.

The location model is

$$
Y_i = \mu + e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \tag{1.1}
$$

where  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  are error random variables, often independent and identically distributed (iid) with zero mean. The location model is used when there is one variable Y, such as height, of interest. The location model is a special case of the multivariate location and dispersion model, where there are p variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_p$  of interest, such as height and weight if  $p = 2$ .

The location model is often summarized by obtaining point estimates and confidence intervals for a location parameter and a scale parameter. Assume that there is a sample  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  of size n where the  $Y_i$  are iid from a distribution with median MED(Y), mean  $E(Y)$ , and variance  $V(Y)$  if they exist. The location parameter  $\mu$  is often the population mean or median while the scale parameter is often the population standard deviation  $\sqrt{V(Y)}$ . The *i*th case is Y<sub>i</sub>.

Point estimation is one of the oldest problems in statistics and four important statistics for the location model are the sample mean, median, variance, and the median absolute deviation (MAD). Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be the random sample; i.e., assume that  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are iid. The sample mean is a measure of location and estimates the population mean (expected value)  $\mu = E(Y)$ .

The sample mean

$$
\overline{Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i}{n}.
$$
\n(1.2)

The sample median

$$
MED(n) = Y_{((n+1)/2)} \text{ if n is odd,}
$$
\n
$$
MED(n) = \frac{Y_{(n/2)} + Y_{((n/2)+1)}}{2} \text{ if n is even.}
$$
\n(1.3)

The notation  $\text{MED}(n) = \text{MED}(Y_1, ..., Y_n)$  will also be used.

The sample variance

$$
S_n^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2}{n-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - n(\overline{Y})^2}{n-1},
$$
\n(1.4)

and the sample standard deviation  $S_n = \sqrt{S_n^2}$ .

If the data  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  is arranged in ascending order from smallest to largest and written as  $Y_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq Y_{(n)}$ , then  $Y_{(i)}$  is the *i*<sup>th</sup> order statistic and the  $Y_{(i)}$ 's are called the *order statistics*. If the data  $Y_1 = 1, Y_2 = 4, Y_3 = 2, Y_4 = 5$ , and  $Y_5 = 3$ , then  $\overline{Y} = 3$ ,  $Y_{(i)} = i$  for  $i = 1, ..., 5$  and MED $(n) = 3$  where the sample size  $n = 5$ . The sample median is a measure of location while the sample standard deviation is a measure of scale. The sample mean and standard deviation are vulnerable to outliers, while the sample median and MAD, defined below, are outlier resistant.

The sample median absolute deviation is

$$
MAD(n) = MED(|Y_i - MED(n)|, i = 1, ..., n).
$$
\n(1.5)

Since  $\text{MAD}(n)$  is the median of n distances, at least half of the observations are within a distance  $\text{MAD}(n)$  of  $\text{MED}(n)$  and at least half of the observations are a distance of  $MAD(n)$  or more away from  $MED(n)$ .

Example 1. Let the data be  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$ . Then  $MED(n) = 5$  and  $\text{MAD}(n) = 2 = \text{MED}\{0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4\}.$ 

#### OUTLIER DETECTION WITH MAHALANOBIS DISTANCE

Now suppose the multivariate data has been collected into an  $n \times p$  matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \dots & x_{1,p} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & x_{n,2} & \dots & x_{n,p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_1 & \boldsymbol{v}_2 & \dots & \boldsymbol{v}_p \end{bmatrix}
$$

where the *i*th row of  $W$  is the *i*<sup>th</sup> case  $x_i^T$  and the *j*<sup>th</sup> column  $v_j$  of  $W$  corresponds to *n* measurements of the jth random variable  $X_j$  for  $j = 1, ..., p$ . Hence the *n* rows of the data matrix  $\boldsymbol{W}$  correspond to the *n* cases, while the *p* columns correspond to measurements on the p random variables  $X_1, ..., X_p$ . For example, the data may consist of n visitors to a hospital where the  $p = 2$  variables height and weight of each individual were measured.

The coordinatewise median  $\text{MED}(\boldsymbol{W}) = (\text{MED}(X_1), ..., \text{MED}(X_p))^T$  where  $\text{MED}(X_i)$  is the sample median of the data in column i corresponding to variable  $X_i$ and  $v_i$ .

Example 2. Let the data for  $X_1$  be  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$  while the data for  $X_2$  is 7, 17, 3, 8, 6, 13, 4, 2, 1. Then  $\text{MED}(\boldsymbol{W}) = (\text{MED}(X_1), \text{MED}(X_2))^T = (5, 6)^T$ .

For multivariate data, sample Mahalanobis distances play a role similar to that of residuals in multiple linear regression. Let the observed training data be collected in an  $n \times p$  matrix W. Let the  $p \times 1$  column vector  $T = T(W)$  be a multivariate location estimator, and let the  $p \times p$  symmetric positive definite matrix  $C = C(W)$  be a dispersion estimator.

Let  $x_{1j},..., x_{nj}$  be measurements on the *i*th random variable  $X_j$  corresponding to

4 the jth column of the data matrix W. The jth sample mean is  $\overline{x}_j = \frac{1}{n}$ n  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $_{k=1}$  $x_{kj}$ . The sample covariance  $S_{ij}$  estimates  $Cov(X_i, X_j) = \sigma_{ij}$ , and

$$
S_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ki} - \overline{x}_i)(x_{kj} - \overline{x}_j).
$$

 $S_{ii} = S_i^2$  is the *sample variance* that estimates the population variance  $\sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$ . The sample correlation  $r_{ij}$  estimates the population correlation  $Cor(X_i, X_j) = \rho_{ij}$ , and

$$
r_{ij} = \frac{S_{ij}}{S_i S_j} = \frac{S_{ij}}{\sqrt{S_{ii} S_{jj}}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ki} - \overline{x}_i)(x_{kj} - \overline{x}_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ki} - \overline{x}_i)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{kj} - \overline{x}_j)^2}}
$$

.

The sample mean or *sample mean vector* 

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{x}_i = (\overline{x}_1, ..., \overline{x}_p)^T = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{W}^T \boldsymbol{1}
$$

where 1 is the  $n \times 1$  vector of ones. The sample covariance matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{x}_i - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}) (\boldsymbol{x}_i - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}})^T = (S_{ij}).
$$

That is, the ij entry of S is the sample covariance  $S_{ij}$ . The classical estimator of multivariate location and dispersion is  $(T, \mathcal{C}) = (\overline{x}, \mathcal{S}).$ 

Rule of Thumb. Multivariate procedures start to give good results for  $n \geq 10p$ , especially if the distribution is close to multivariate normal. In particular, we want  $n \geq$ 10p for the sample covariance and matrix. For procedures with large sample theory on a large class of distributions, for any value of  $n$ , there are always distributions where the results will be poor, but will eventually be good for larger sample sizes. This rule of thumb is much like the rule of thumb that says the central limit theorem normal approximation for  $\overline{Y}$  starts to be good for many distributions for  $n \geq 30$ .

The ith Mahalanobis distance  $D_i = \sqrt{D_i^2}$  where the ith squared Mahalanobis distance is

$$
D_i^2 = D_i^2(T(W), C(W)) = (\mathbf{x}_i - T(W))^T C^{-1}(W)(\mathbf{x}_i - T(W))
$$
 (2.1)

for each point  $x_i$ . Notice that  $D_i^2$  is a random variable (scalar valued).

Let  $(T, \mathcal{C}) = (T(\mathbf{W}), \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{W}))$ . Then

$$
D_{\mathbf{x}}^2(T, \mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{x} - T)^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - T).
$$

Hence  $D_i^2$  uses  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_i$ .

Notice that if  $x$  is a random vector, then the population squared Mahalanobis distance is

$$
D_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})
$$
\n(2.2)

and that the term  $\Sigma^{-1/2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$  is the p-dimensional analog to the z-score used to transform a univariate  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variable into a  $N(0, 1)$  random variable. Hence the sample Mahalanobis distance  $D_i = \sqrt{D_i^2}$  is an analog of the absolute value  $|Z_i|$  of the sample Z-score  $Z_i = (X_i - \overline{X})/\hat{\sigma}$ . Also notice that the Euclidean distance of  $x_i$  from the estimate of center  $T(W)$  is  $D_i(T(W), I_p)$  where  $I_p$  is the  $p \times p$  identity matrix.

Most outlier detection methods work best if  $n \geq 20p$ , and often robust estimators  $(T, \mathbf{C})$  are used with Mahalanobis distances. Olive (2017a) is a good reference. The FCH and RMVN estimators are fairly fast and have some large sample theory.

Often data sets have  $p > n$ , and outliers are a major problem. The Olive (2017a,  $\oint$  4.7) covmb2 estimator is useful and defined below. Also see Olive (2017b,  $\oint$  1.3). One of the simplest outlier detection methods uses the squared Euclidean distances of the  $x_i$  from the coordinatewise median  $D_i^2 = D_i^2(\text{MED}(\boldsymbol{W}), \boldsymbol{I}_p)$ . Concentration type steps compute the weighted median  $\text{MED}_j$ , the coordinatewise median computed from the cases  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with  $D_i^2 \leq \text{MED}(D_i^2(\text{MED}_{j-1}, \mathbf{I}_p))$  where  $\text{MED}_0 = \text{MED}(\mathbf{W})$ . We often used  $j = 0$  (no concentration type steps) or  $j = 9$ . Let  $D_i = D_i(\text{MED}_j, I_p)$ . Let  $W_i = 1$  if  $D_i \leq \text{MED}(D_1, ..., D_n) + k \text{MAD}(D_1, ..., D_n)$  where  $k \geq 0$  and  $k = 5$  is the default choice. Let  $W_i = 0$ , otherwise. Using  $k \geq 1$  insures that at least half of the cases get weight 1. This weighting corresponds to the weighting that would be used in a one sided metrically trimmed mean (Huber type skipped mean) of the distances.

Application 1. This outlier resistant regression method uses terms from the follow-

6 ing definition.

Let the *i*th case  $\mathbf{w}_i = (Y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)^T$  where the continuous predictors from  $\mathbf{x}_i$  are denoted by  $u_i$  for  $i = 1, ..., n$ . Apply the covmb2 estimator to the  $u_i$ , and then run the regression method on the m cases  $w_i$  corresponding to the covmb2 set B indices  $i_1, \ldots i_m$ , where  $m \geq n/2$ .

Definition 1. Let the covmb2 set B of at least  $n/2$  cases correspond to the cases with weight  $W_i = 1$ . Then the covmb2 estimator  $(T, C)$  is the sample mean and sample covariance matrix applied to the cases in set B. Hence

$$
T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \boldsymbol{x}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{C} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i (\boldsymbol{x}_i - T) (\boldsymbol{x}_i - T)^T}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i - 1}.
$$

Example 3. Let the clean data (nonoutliers) be  $i\mathbf{1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and 5 while the outliers are j 1 for  $j = 16, 17, 18$ , and 19. Here  $n = 9$  and 1 is  $p \times 1$ . Making a plot of the data for  $p = 2$  may be useful. Then the coordinatewise median  $\text{MED}_0 = \text{MED}(\boldsymbol{W}) = 5$  1. The median Euclidean distance of the data is the Euclidean distance of 5 1 from 1 1 = the Euclidean distance of 5 1 from 9 1. The median ball is the hypersphere centered at the coordinatewise median with radius  $r = \text{MED}(D_i(\text{MED}(\boldsymbol{W}), \boldsymbol{I}_p))$  that tends to contain  $(n + 1)/2$  of the cases if n is odd. Hence the clean data are in the median ball and the outliers are outside of the median ball. The coordinatewise median of the cases with the 5 smallest distances is the coordinatewise median of the clean data:  $\text{MED}_1 = 3$  1. Then the median Euclidean distance of the data from  $\text{MED}_1$  is the Euclidean distance of 3 1 from 1 1 = the Euclidean distance of 3 1 from 5 1. Again the clean cases are the cases with the 5 smallest Euclidean distances. Hence  $\text{MED}_j = 3 \; \mathbf{1}$  for  $j \geq 1$ . For  $j \geq 1$ , if  $\mathbf{x}_i = j \; \mathbf{1}$ , then  $D_i = |j - 3|\sqrt{p}$ . Thus  $D_{(1)} = 0$ ,  $D_{(2)} = D_{(3)} = \sqrt{p}$ , and  $D_{(4)} = D_{(5)} = 2\sqrt{p}$ . Hence  $\text{MED}(D_1, ..., D_n) = D_{(5)} = 2\sqrt{p} = \text{MAD}(D_1, ..., D_n)$  since the median distance of the  $D_i$  from  $D_{(5)}$  is  $2\sqrt{p} - 0 = 2\sqrt{p}$ . Note that the 5 smallest absolute distances  $|D_i - D_{(5)}|$ are 0, 0,  $\sqrt{p}$ ,  $\sqrt{p}$ , and  $2\sqrt{p}$ . Hence  $W_i = 1$  if  $D_i \leq 2\sqrt{p} + 10\sqrt{p} = 12\sqrt{p}$ . The clean

data get weight 1 while the outliers get weight 0 since the smallest distance  $D_i$  for the <sup>7</sup> outliers is the Euclidean distance of 3 1 from 16 1 with a  $D_i = ||16 \mathbf{1} - 3 \mathbf{1}|| = 13\sqrt{p}$ .

Hence the covmb2 estimator  $(T, C)$  is the sample mean and sample covariance matrix of the clean data. Note that the distance for the outliers to get zero weight is proportional to the square root of the dimension  $\sqrt{p}$ .

The covmb2 estimator attempts to give a robust dispersion estimator that reduces the bias by using a big ball about the  $\text{MED}_j$  that will often contain more than half of the cases, instead of a ball that contains "half" of the cases  $((n + 1)/2$  of the cases). The weighting is the default method, but you can also plot the squared Euclidean distances and estimate the number  $m \geq n/2$  of cases with the smallest distances to be used. Olive (2017b) uses a collection of R functions slpack. The slpack function medout makes the plot, and the *slpack* function  $getB$  gives the set B of cases that got weight 1 along with the index indx of the case numbers that got weight 1. The function vecw stacks the columns of the dispersion matrix  $C$  into a vector. Then the elements of the matrix can be plotted.

The function ddplot5 plots the Euclidean distances from the coordinatewise median versus the Euclidean distances from the covmb2 location estimator. Typically the plotted points in this DD plot cluster about the identity line, and outliers appear in the upper right corner of the plot with a gap between the bulk of the data and the outliers. An alternative for outlier detection is to replace C by  $C_d = diag(\hat{\sigma}_{11}, ..., \hat{\sigma}_{pp})$ . For example, use  $\hat{\sigma}_{ii} = C_{ii}$ . See Olive (2017a, ch. 4), Ro et al. (2015) and Tarr et al. (2016) for references.

#### EXAMPLES AND SIMULATIONS



Figure 3.1. Elements of  $C$  for outlier data.

Example 4. This example helps illustrate the effect of outliers on classical methods. The artificial data set had  $n = 50, p = 100$ , and the clean data was iid  $N_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$ . Hence the diagonal elements of the population covariance matrix are 0 and the diagonal elements are 1. Plots of the elements of the sample covariance matrix S and the covmb2 estimator  $C$  are not shown, but were similar to Figure 1. Then the first ten cases were contaminated:  $\boldsymbol{x}_i \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, 100\boldsymbol{I}_p)$  where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (10, 0, ..., 0)^T$ . Figure 3.1 shows that the covmb2 dispersion matrix  $C$  was not much effected by the outliers. The diagonal elements are near 1 and the off diagonal elements are near 0. Figure 3.2 shows that the sample covariance matrix  $S$  was greatly effected by the outliers. Several sample covariances are less than −20 and several sample variances are over 40.

R code to used to produce Figures 3.1 and 3.2 is shown below.

#n = 50, p = 100



Figure 3.2. Elements of the classical covariance matrix  $S$  for outlier data.

```
x<-matrix(rnorm(5000),nrow=50,ncol=100)
out < - medout(x) #no outliers, try ddplot5(x)out <- covmb2(x,msteps=0)
z<-out$cov
plot(diag(z)) #plot the diagonal elements of C
plot(out$center) #plot the elements of T
vecz <- vecw(z)$vecz
plot(vecz)
out <-covmb2(x, m=45)plot(out$center)
plot(diag(out$cov))
#outliers
x[1:10,] \leftarrow 10*x[1:10,]
```
### $x[1:10,1] \leftarrow x[1:10]+10$  10

 $medout(x)$  #The 10 outliers are easily detected in #the plot of the distances from the MED(X). ddplot5(x) #two widely separated clusters of data tem <- getB(x,msteps=0) tem\$indx #all 40 clean cases were used dim(tem\$B) #40 by 100 out<-covmb2(x,msteps=0) z<-out\$cov plot(diag(z)) plot(out\$center)  $vecz \leftarrow vecw(z)$  \$vecz plot(vecz) #plot the elements of C #Figure 1

#examine the sample covariance matrix and mean plot(diag(var(x))) plot(apply(x,2,mean)) #plot elements of xbar zc  $\leftarrow \text{var}(x)$ vecz <- vecw(zc)\$vecz plot(vecz) #plot the elements of S #Figure 2

out<-medout(x) #10 outliers out<-covmb2(x,m=40) plot(out\$center) plot(diag(out\$cov))

The covmb2 estimator can also be used for  $n > p$ . The slpack function mldsim6<sup>11</sup> compares 7 estimators: FCH, RFCH, CMVE, RCMVE, RMVN, covmb2, and MB described in Olive (2017a, ch. 4). Most of these estimators need a nonsingular dispersion matrix, and work best with  $n > 10p$ . The function generates data sets and counts how many times the minimum Mahalanobis distance  $D_i(T, \mathbb{C})$  of the outliers is larger than the maximum distance of the clean data. The simulation suggests that for 40% outliers, the outliers need to be further away from the bulk of the data (covmb2( $k=5$ ) needs a larger value of pm) than for the other six estimators. As the value  $pm$  increases, the distance of the outliers from the clean data increases. The value of  $\gamma < 0.5$ gives the proportion of outliers.

For data sets with  $p > n$  possible, the function mildsim7 used the Euclidean distances  $D_i(T, I_p)$  and the Mahalanobis distances  $D_i(T, C_d)$  where  $C_d$  is the diagonal matrix with the same diagonal entries as  $C$  where  $(T, C)$  is the covmb2 estimator using j concentration type steps. Dispersion matrices are effected more by outliers than good robust location estimators, so when the outlier proportion is high, it is expected that the Euclidean distances  $D_i(T, I_p)$  will outperform the Mahalanobis distance  $D_i(T, C_d)$ . Again the function counts the number of times the minimum outlier distance is larger than the maximum distance of the clean data.

Both functions used several outlier types. The simulations generated 100 data sets. The clean data had  $x_i \sim N_p(0, diag(1, ..., p))$ . Type 1 had outliers in a tight cluster (near point mass) at the major axis  $(0, ..., 0, pm)^T$ . Type 2 had outliers in a tight cluster at the minor axis  $(pm, 0, ..., 0)^T$ . Type 3 had mean shift outliers  $x_i \sim$  $N_p((pm, ..., pm)^T, diag(1, ..., p)).$  Type 4 changed the pth coordinate of the outliers to pm. Type 5 changed the 1st coordinate of the outliers to pm. (If the outlier  $x_i =$  $(x_{1i},...,x_{pi})^T$ , then  $x_{i1} = pm.$ )

#### OUTLIER TYPE 1 EXAMPLES

$\bf n$	$\, {\bf p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	${\rm FCH}$	RFCH	<b>CMVE</b>	<b>RCMVE</b>	<b>RMVN</b>	covmb2	MB
100	10	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$20\,$	$79\,$	$82\,$	79	$82\,$	81	68	87
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	19	60	$63\,$	60	$63\,$	62	38	86
100	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	119.5	80	$80\,$	80	80	80	100	80
100	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	80	$41\,$	$41\,$	$42\,$	$42\,$	$42\,$	100	$42\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1\,$	$20\,$	76	83	$77\,$	84	$82\,$	86	85
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1$	19	62	$65\,$	62	65	61	56	86
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1$	115	$80\,$	$80\,$	80	$80\,$	80	100	$80\,$
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1\,$	60	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	17	$17\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{93}$	$17\,$
100	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$20\,$	$75\,$	$82\,$	$75\,$	$82\,$	81	88	$83\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$18\,$	$31\,$	$35\,$	$32\,$	$36\,$	$34\,$	$47\,$	80
100	45	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	120	80	80	80	$80\,$	80	100	80
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	60	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	17	$17\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm 91$	$17\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	100	100	100	100	100	$74\,$	100
100	$10\,$	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	18	$84\,$	$72\,$	84	$72\,$	$72\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$85\,$
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	110	$81\,$	$81\,$	$81\,$	$81\,$	81	100	$81\,$
100	45	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	90	68	$68\,$	68	68	68	$\rm 91$	68
100	$10\,$	$0.4\,$	$\,1$	$20\,$	$\rm 92$	$96\,$	$\rm 92$	$96\,$	$96\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	92

Table 4.1. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $1$  (runs = 100)

$\mathbf n$	$\mathbf{p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	covmb2	diag
100	10	0.25	$\overline{0}$	20	86	66
100	50	0.25	$\overline{0}$	65	84	65
100	100	0.25	$\overline{0}$	113	84	43
100	500	0.25	$\overline{0}$	447	92	6
100	10	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	19	81	67
100	50	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	65	90	68
100	100	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	113	91	44
100	500	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	454	86	$\overline{2}$
100	10	0.25	9	19	82	74
100	50	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	64	81	58
100	100	0.25	9	113	85	36
100	500	0.25	9	455	83	3
100	10	0.4	$\overline{0}$	35	81	79
100	50	0.4	$\overline{0}$	92.2	80	79
100	100	0.4	$\overline{0}$	150.2	81	79
100	500	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	550	96	66

Table 4.2. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $1$  (runs =  $100$ )

#### OUTLIER TYPE 2 EXAMPLES

$\mathbf n$	$\, {\bf p}$	$\gamma$	${\rm steps}$	pm	${\rm FCH}$	RFCH	$\ensuremath{\mathrm{CMVE}}$	$\operatorname{RCMVE}$	<b>RMVN</b>	covmb2	MB
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	18	100	100	100	100	100	$50\,$	100
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	15	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$35\,$	$35\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\,1\,$	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$63.5\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	100	100	$\boldsymbol{0}$	100	100
100	45	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$35.5\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	99	99	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{99}$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1\,$	18	99	99	99	99	99	40	100
100	10	0.25	$\,1$	15	$1\,$	$\mathbf{1}$	$36\,$	$36\,$	$\mathbf 1$	$\boldsymbol{3}$	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.25	$\,1\,$	68	64	64	100	100	64	100	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1$	$35\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{93}$	$\boldsymbol{93}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{93}$
$100\,$	10	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	18	98	98	$\rm 99$	99	98	45	100
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$11\,$	$12\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm 99$
100	45	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	65	$1\,$	$1\,$	100	100	$\mathbf 1$	100	100
100	$45\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	35	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\rm 95$	$\rm 95$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm 95$
100	$10\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$25\,$	100	100	100	100	100	16	100
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\,2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	85
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	50	$100\,$	100	100	100	100	$\boldsymbol{0}$	100
100	45	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	37	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$80\,$	$80\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	80
100	$10\,$	$0.4\,$	$\mathbf 1$	$13\,$	82	$82\,$	$82\,$	$82\,$	82	$\boldsymbol{0}$	100

Table 5.1. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $2 \text{ (runs} = 100)$ 

$\mathbf n$	$\mathbf{p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	covmb2	diag
100	10	0.25	$\overline{0}$	18	90	52
100	50	0.25	$\overline{0}$	62	82	79
100	100	0.25	$\overline{0}$	107.5	81	79
100	500	0.25	$\overline{0}$	435.5	82	78
100	10	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	18	84	51
100	50	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	63	88	79
100	100	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	101.5	81	79
100	500	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	452.5	83	78
100	10	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	18	88	46
100	50	0.25	9	63	82	79
100	100	0.25	9	110.4	83	78
100	500	0.25	9	452.5	82	79
100	10	0.4	$\overline{0}$	29	91	76
100	50	0.4	$\overline{0}$	84.3	81	79
100	100	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	140.1	81	77
100	500	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	506.5	81	78

Table 5.2. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $2$  (runs = 100)

### CHAPTER  $6$  16

#### OUTLIER TYPE 3 EXAMPLES

$\mathbf n$	$\mathbf{p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	${\rm FCH}$	$\operatorname{RFCH}$	$\ensuremath{\mathrm{CMVE}}$	<b>RCMVE</b>	<b>RMVN</b>	covmb2	MB
100	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{7}$	100	100	$\boldsymbol{93}$	93	100	$21\,$	100
100	10	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$4.5\,$	$74\,$	$84\,$	60	69	84	$\boldsymbol{0}$	73
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	32	$86\,$	86	68	68	86	100	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	11	$28\,$	$\sqrt{28}$	44	44	28	96	$77\,$
100	$10\,$	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	$5.5\,$	$\rm 97$	100	$85\,$	88	99	$\,7$	$\rm 97$
$100\,$	10	0.25	$\,1$	$\bf 5$	$90\,$	97	80	86	96	$\,1$	92
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\mathbf{1}$	$32\,$	$84\,$	$84\,$	$75\,$	75	$84\,$	$100\,$	$100\,$
$100\,$	45	0.25	$\,1$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$16\,$	$16\,$	$33\,$	33	16	$\rm 91$	53
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\,6\,$	$99\,$	$100\,$	87	88	100	37	99
100	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	4.3	66	84	$54\,$	67	79	$\boldsymbol{0}$	67
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	33	$90\,$	90	$78\,$	78	90	100	100
100	$45\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\,6\,$	$\,6\,$	29	29	$\,6\,$	95	$51\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$14\,$	100	100	$\rm 91$	91	100	67	100
100	10	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$5.5\,$	66	67	13	13	67	$\boldsymbol{0}$	83
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$32\,$	84	84	79	79	84	100	100
100	$45\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	15	$16\,$	16	${\bf 26}$	${\bf 26}$	16	$\boldsymbol{0}$	97
100	$10\,$	$0.4\,$	$\,1$	13	100	100	$75\,$	$75\,$	100	100	100

Table 6.1. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $3$  (runs = 100)

$\mathbf n$	$\, {\bf p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	covmb2	diag
100	10	0.25	$\overline{0}$	6	$55\,$	82
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	8	63	98
100	100	0.25	$\overline{0}$	8	$\overline{5}$	86
100	500	0.25	$\overline{0}$	10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	87
100	10	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	$5.5\,$	$50\,$	86
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{7}$	$50\,$	95
100	100	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	8	49	96
100	500	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	90
100	10	0.25	$\overline{9}$	5.5	60	81
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\overline{9}$	$\overline{7}$	49	96
100	100	0.25	$\overline{9}$	8	61	94
100	500	0.25	9	10	$\mathbf{1}$	92
100	10	0.4	$\overline{0}$	12.7	79	80
100	50	0.4	$\overline{0}$	17	74	89
100	100	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	18	$54\,$	86
100	500	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	20	$\overline{5}$	80

Table 6.2. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $3$  (runs = 100)

#### OUTLIER TYPE 4 EXAMPLES

$\mathbf n$	$\, {\bf p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	${\rm FCH}$	RFCH	<b>CMVE</b>	$\operatorname{RCMVE}$	<b>RMVN</b>	covmb2	MB
100	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$25\,$	$\rm 99$	99	$71\,$	$71\,$	99	100	100
100	10	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	20	$70\,$	87	$44\,$	$53\,$	88	$78\,$	74
100	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	185	81	81	79	79	81	100	92
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$80\,$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\sqrt{3}$	100	14
100	10	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	$21\,$	$80\,$	94	58	68	$\rm 92$	95	83
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	19	67	80	38	$41\,$	$77\,$	69	$73\,$
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1$	180	$81\,$	81	76	$76\,$	$81\,$	100	$\boldsymbol{93}$
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.25	$\,1\,$	$54\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$80\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$21\,$	85	$\boldsymbol{92}$	61	$63\,$	$\boldsymbol{93}$	$98\,$	91
100	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$19\,$	63	$75\,$	$44\,$	$53\,$	$75\,$	$80\,$	69
100	45	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	180	$80\,$	80	78	$78\,$	80	100	93
100	$45\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$55\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\,2$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	88	$\,2$
100	10	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	98	98	64	64	98	82	100
$100\,$	10	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$20\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	86
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	141	79	$79\,$	81	81	81	100	84
100	45	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	85	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\,6\,$	$\,6\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	91	$24\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.4	$\,1$	$35\,$	97	97	63	63	97	100	100

Table 7.1. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $4$  (runs = 100)

$\mathbf n$	$\mathbf{p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	covmb2	diag
100	10	0.25	$\overline{0}$	19	89	54
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\overline{0}$	53	93	24
100	100	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	93	82	11
100	500	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	260	89	10
100	10	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	19	97	73
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\mathbf 1$	52	87	16
100	100	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	84	88	11
100	500	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	270	86	8
100	10	0.25	9	18	86	52
100	$50\,$	0.25	9	$52\,$	88	16
100	100	0.25	9	84	84	9
100	500	0.25	9	270	80	3
100	10	0.4	$\overline{0}$	35	85	76
100	$50\,$	0.4	$\overline{0}$	85	84	64
100	100	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	130	82	52
100	500	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	380	85	35

Table 7.2. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $4$  (runs = 100)

### $\begin{tabular}{c} \bf CHAPTER 8 \\ \bf \end{tabular}$

#### OUTLIER TYPE 5 EXAMPLES

$\mathbf n$	$\, {\bf p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	${\rm FCH}$	RFCH	$\ensuremath{\mathrm{CMVE}}$	$\operatorname{RCMVE}$	<b>RMVN</b>	covmb2	MB
100	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$15\,$	100	100	$\boldsymbol{99}$	$\rm 99$	100	$\,4\,$	100
$100\,$	10	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\,7$	$77\,$	$\boldsymbol{93}$	62	73	93	$\boldsymbol{0}$	78
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	150	96	96	76	76	$96\,$	100	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$27\,$	$44\,$	$44\,$	$43\,$	43	$44\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$90\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\mathbf 1$	$15\,$	100	100	$\boldsymbol{99}$	$\rm 99$	100	$\sqrt{3}$	100
$100\,$	10	0.25	$\mathbf 1$	$\bf 7$	68	90	38	$52\,$	$\rm 91$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$70\,$
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1$	$77\,$	$80\,$	80	$54\,$	$54\,$	$80\,$	100	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\,1\,$	$26\,$	$27\,$	$27\,$	$44\,$	$44\,$	$27\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	81
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	100	100	$84\,$	84	100	$\boldsymbol{0}$	100
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\,7$	$76\,$	$\rm 95$	61	73	100	100	100
$100\,$	$45\,$	$0.25\,$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	85	85	85	$61\,$	61	$85\,$	100	100
100	45	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	$30\,$	38	38	$\rm 45$	$45\,$	38	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm 92$
$100\,$	$10\,$	$0.4\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	25	100	100	86	86	100	19	100
100	10	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{9}$	71	71	$14\,$	14	$71\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$85\,$
$100\,$	$45\,$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$90\,$	81	81	61	61	$81\,$	100	100
100	45	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$30\,$	$22\,$	$22\,$	16	$16\,$	$22\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$90\,$
$100\,$	$10\,$	0.4	$\mathbf 1$	$25\,$	100	100	85	85	100	20	100

Table 8.1. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $5$  (runs = 100)

$\mathbf n$	$\mathbf{p}$	$\gamma$	steps	pm	covmb2	diag
100	10	0.25	$\overline{0}$	17	92	32
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\overline{0}$	49	89	25
100	100	0.25	$\overline{0}$	80	88	29
100	500	0.25	$\boldsymbol{0}$	251	89	$\overline{4}$
100	$10\,$	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	17	91	29
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\mathbf{1}$	50	88	21
100	100	0.25	$\mathbf 1$	82	91	15
100	500	0.25	$\mathbf 1$	269	85	$\sqrt{4}$
100	10	0.25	9	17	92	45
100	$50\,$	0.25	$\boldsymbol{9}$	50	87	17
100	100	0.25	9	85	91	13
100	500	0.25	9	270	80	$\overline{2}$
100	10	0.4	$\overline{0}$	$27\,$	92	50
100	50	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	75	90	51
100	100	0.4	$\overline{0}$	119	89	52
100	500	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	360	89	37

Table 8.2. Number of Times All Outlier Distances > Clean Distances, otype= $5$  (runs = 100)

## 22 CHAPTER 9 **CONCLUSION**

For my simulations, the number of generated outlier datasets was one hundred. For the mldsim6 function, we want to have two kinds of output: first, we want just one or few counts over 80. To obtain this output, want to make the value of pm smaller. Second, we want just one or few results under 80. To obtain this output, we want to make the value of pm larger. Based on the results, as the the value of p is changing, the value of pm is also changing. The value of gamma does affect the output. For the mldsim7 function, we want to have one or few counts greater than 80. Based on the output, as the value of p is increasing, the value of pm is also increasing. The value of gamma does not effect the whole outputs. For these two functions, they have same specific pattern that we can track. We can detect the outliers by using these two functions.

The simulations were done in R. See R Core Team  $(2016)$ . The collection of R functions slpack, available from (http://lagrange.math.siu.edu/Olive/slpack.txt), has some useful functions. The functions  $m$ ldsim6 and  $m$ ldsim7 were used to do the simulation.

### 23 REFERENCES

- [1] Olive, D.J. (2017a), Robust Multivariate Analysis, Springer, New York, NY, to appear.
- [2] Olive, D.J. (2017b), Prediction and Statistical Learning, online course notes, see (http://lagrange.math.siu.edu/Olive/slearnbk.htm).
- [3] R Core Team (2016), "R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing," R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, (www.Rproject.org).
- [4] Ro, K., Zou, C., Wang, W., and Yin, G. (2015), "Outlier Detection for High– Dimensional Data," Biometrika, 102, 589-599.
- [5] Tarr, G., Müller, S., and Weber, N.C. (2016), "Robust Estimation of Precision Matrices Under Cellwise Contamination," Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 93, 404-420.

#### VITA

#### Graduate School Southern Illinois University

Handong Wang

wanghandong1992@gmail.com

Southern Illinois University Carbondale Bachelor of Arts, Mathematics, May 2015

Research Paper Title: Outlier Detection for High Dimensional Data

Major Professor: Dr. David J. Olive