### **Southern Illinois University Carbondale [OpenSIUC](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fmath_articles%2F120&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[Articles and Preprints](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math_articles?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fmath_articles%2F120&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) **[Department of Mathematics](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fmath_articles%2F120&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)** 

4-2008

# Comment on "The Expectation Of Independent Domination Number Over Random Binary Trees "

John McSorley *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*, jmcsorley@math.siu.edu

Lane Clark *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math\\_articles](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math_articles?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fmath_articles%2F120&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

#### Recommended Citation

McSorley, John and Clark, Lane. "Comment on "The Expectation Of Independent Domination Number Over Random Binary Trees"." *Ars Combinatoria* 87 (Apr 2008): 257-261.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Preprints by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu).

## **Comment on "The Expectation Of Independent Domination Number Over Random Binary Trees"**

L.H. Clark and J.P. McSorley Department of Mathematics Southern Illinois University Carbondale Carbondale, IL 62901-4408

Lee [3] purportedly derives an asymptotic formula for the expected independent domination number of a uniformly random binary tree. We review the derivation in [3] of an asymptotic formula for the expectation using the notation therein, then we point out and correct several errors in the derivation.

The number of binary trees with  $2n + 1$  vertices is

$$
y_{2n+1} = \frac{\binom{2n}{n}}{n+1}
$$

Let  $\mu(2n + 1)$  denote the expected value of the independent domination number of a binary tree chosen uniformly at random. The ordinary generating function for  $\{\mu(2n + 1) y_{2n+1}\}$  is  $M = M(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(2n + 1)$ 1)  $y_{2n+1} x^{2n+1}$ . Then

$$
M(x) = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1 - 4x^2} (1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x^2}) (2 - \sqrt{1 - 4x^2})},
$$

hence,

$$
M_*(u) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(2n+1) y_{2n+1} u^n
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-4u} (1+\sqrt{1-4u}) (2-\sqrt{1-4u})}
$$

*.*

Then

$$
A(u) = \frac{2}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u})(2 - \sqrt{1 - 4u})}
$$

has power series in *u* with radius of convergence  $\rho_1 = 1/4$  which converges absolutely at  $u = 1/4$ , and,

$$
B(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n u^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4u}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-4)^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} u^n
$$

has radius of convergence  $\rho_2 = 1/4$ ,  $b_n > 0$  for all *n*, and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_{n-1}/b_n =$ 1*/*4. At this point the following result in [3] is used.

"To determine the asymptotic behavior of  $\mu(2n+1)/(2n+1)$ , we need the following lemma, which is a slight modification of Theorem 2 in [1]; we omit the proof.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $A(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n u^n$  and  $B(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n u^n$  be power series with radii of convergence  $\rho_1 \geq \rho_2$ , respectively. Suppose that  $A(u)$ converges absolutely at  $u = \rho_1$ . Suppose that  $b_n > 0$  for all *n* and that  $b_{n-1}/b_n$  approaches a limit *b* as  $n \to \infty$ . If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n u^n = A(u) B(u)$ , then  $c_n \sim A(b) b_n$ ."

The author then applies Lemma 5 to  $M_*(u) = A(u) B(u)$  with  $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ 1/4 to find an asymptotic formula for  $\mu(2n+1) y_{2n+1}$ , hence, for  $\mu(2n+1)$ .

Unfortunately Lemma 5, as we will demonstrate, is false in general for any  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 > 0$ : the condition " $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2$ " must be replaced with " $\rho_1 > \rho_2$ " and the condition " $A(b) \neq 0$ " must be added in which case the conditions "  $A(u)$  converges absolutely at  $u = \rho_1$ " and " $b_n > 0$  for all  $n$ " may be omitted. See Bender [1; Theorem 2] for a correct statement and a very brief indication of a proof or see Odlyzko [4; Theorem 7.1] for a correct statement without proof. Consequently, the derivation in [3] of an asymptotic formula for  $\mu(2n+1)$  is not valid.

Counter-examples to Lemma 5 for any  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = r > 0$  are readily found.

Fix *r >* 0. Let

$$
A(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{r^n (n+1)^2} = B(u)
$$

which have radius of convergence  $r$ . Then  $A(u)$  converges absolutely on the circle of convergence  $|u| = r$  and  $A(r) = \zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ . In addition,

 $b_n = 1/r^n (n+1)^2 > 0$  for all *n* and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_{n-1}/b_n = r$ . Here

$$
A(u) B(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2 (n-k+1)^2} \right\} u^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n u^n.
$$

Further

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+2)^2}{(k+1)^2(n-k+1)^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{n-k+1} \right\}^2
$$

$$
= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)}.
$$

Now  $f(x) = 1/(x + 1)(n - x + 1)$  decreases on [0*, n/2*] and increases on [*n/*2*, n*]. For integer ∆ ∈ [1*, n/*2],

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)}
$$
  
=2  $\sum_{k=0}^{\Delta-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)} + \sum_{k=\Delta}^{n-\Delta} \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)}$   
 $\leq \frac{2\Delta}{n+1} + \frac{n-2\Delta+1}{(\Delta+1)(n-\Delta+1)}$ .

Setting  $\Delta = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ , for example, gives

$$
0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)} \le \frac{2\sqrt{n}+2}{n+1} + \frac{n-2\sqrt{n}+1}{(\sqrt{n}+1)(n-\sqrt{n})} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Consequently,

$$
r^{n} (n+2)^{2} c_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+2)^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}(n-k+1)^{2}}
$$
  
=  $2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)}$   
 $\rightarrow \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

which implies

$$
r^{n}(n+1)^{2} c_{n} = \frac{(n+1)^{2}}{(n+2)^{2}} r^{n}(n+2)^{2} c_{n} \to \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \text{ as } n \to \infty,
$$

$$
c_n \sim 2 A(r) b_n
$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ 

and not

i.e.,

$$
c_n \sim A(r) b_n
$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ 

as claimed in Lemma 5 in  $[3]$  ( $r = b$  here). Further counter-examples are given by

$$
A(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{r^n (n+1)^s} = B(u) \qquad (s - 1 \in \mathbb{P}).
$$

We now give a correct derivation of an asymptotic formula for  $\mu(2n+1)$ . Darboux's Theorem (cf. Odlyzko [4; Theorem 11.7]) evidently does not apply since  $A(u)$  in [3] is not analytic in a neighborhood of  $u = 1/4$  for any branch of  $\sqrt{1-4u}$ . We use a transfer theorem of Flajolet and Odlyzko [2; Theorem 5] (cf. Odlyzko [4; Section 11.1] for definitions, notation and statement of Theorem 11.4).

Consider the closed domain  $\Delta = \Delta(1, \pi/8, 1)$  and the function  $L(u) = 1$ of slow variation at  $\infty$ . Then

$$
M_*\left(\frac{u}{4}\right) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-u} (1+\sqrt{1-u}) (2-\sqrt{1-u})}
$$

is analytic on  $\Delta - \{1\}$  where we take the principal branch of the square root. Consequently,

$$
M_*\left(\frac{u}{4}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u}} = (1-u)^{-1/2} L\left(\frac{1}{1-u}\right)
$$

uniformly as  $u \to 1$  on  $\Delta - \{1\}$ . Then Theorem 11.4 (C) of [4] implies

$$
\frac{\mu(2n+1) y_{2n+1}}{4^n} = [u^n] M_*\left(\frac{u}{4}\right) \sim \frac{n^{-1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} L(n) = \frac{n^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Stirling's Formula implies

$$
\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{n^{-1/2} 4^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} (1 + o(1)) \text{ as } n \to \infty,
$$

hence,

$$
\mu(2n+1) \sim n+1 \sim \frac{2n+1}{2} \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

#### **References**

1. E.A. Bender, Asymptotic Methods in Enumeration, *SIAM Rev.* **16** (1974), 485-515.

2. P. Flajolet and A.M. Odlyzko, Singularity Analysis of Generating Functions, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **3** (1990), 216-240.

3. C. Lee, The Expectation of Independent Domination Number over Random Binary Trees, *Ars Combinatoria* **56** (2000), 201-209.

4. A.M. Odlyzko, Asymptotic Enumeration Methods, in : *Handbook of Combinatorics* V.2, (R.L. Graham, M. Grötshel and L. Lovász Eds.), Elsevier Science B.V., New York, NY, 1995, pps. 1063–1229.