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Abstract

An $m$-path cover $\Gamma = \{P_{\ell_1}, P_{\ell_2}, \ldots, P_{\ell_r}\}$ of a simple graph $G$ is a set of vertex disjoint paths of $G$, each with $\ell_k \leq m$ vertices, that span $G$. With every $P_\ell$ we associate a weight, $\omega(P_\ell)$, and define the weight of $\Gamma$ to be $\omega(\Gamma) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} \omega(P_{\ell_k})$. The $m$-path cover polynomial of $G$ is then defined as $P_m(G) = \sum_{\Gamma} \omega(\Gamma)$, where the sum is taken over all $m$-path covers $\Gamma$ of $G$. This polynomial is a specialization of the path-cover polynomial of Farrell. We consider the $m$-path cover polynomial of a weighted path $P(m-1,n)$, and find the $(m+1)$-term recurrence that it satisfies. The matrix form of this recurrence yields a formula equating the trace of the recurrence matrix with the $m$-path cover polynomial of a suitably weighted cycle $C(n)$. A directed graph, $T(m)$, the edge-weighted $m$-trellis, is introduced and so a third way to generate the solutions to the above $(m+1)$-term recurrence is presented. We also give a model for general term linear recurrences and time dependent Markov chains.

* Corresponding author.
1 Introduction, \( m \)-path cover polynomial, Notation

Let \( G \) be a graph with no loops or multiple edges, with vertex set \( V(G) \).

First we review some basic concepts to establish notation.

A path \( P_\ell \) in \( G \) is a sequence of distinct vertices \( P_\ell = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell] \) where each pair \((v_i, v_{i+1})\) for \( 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \) is an edge. The length of a path is the number of vertices in it. Thus a path of length 1 is a vertex, and a path of length 2 an edge, and \( P_\ell \) has length \( \ell \). Path \( P_\ell \) begins at vertex \( v_1 \), its first vertex, and ends at vertex \( v_\ell \), its last vertex. The path \([v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell]\) and its reverse \([v_\ell, v_{\ell-1}, \ldots, v_1]\) are considered to be the same path. The set of vertices in \( P_\ell \) is \( V(P_\ell) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell\} \). Two paths \( P_\ell \) and \( P_\ell' \) in \( G \) are disjoint if \( V(P_\ell) \cap V(P_\ell') = \emptyset \). The empty path has 0 vertices. Finally, recall that a subgraph of \( G \) spans \( G \) if it has the same vertex set as \( G \).

Now we introduce the central concept of this paper.

An \( m \)-path \( P_\ell \) has \( \ell \leq m \), i.e., it is a path of length at most \( m \) for some fixed \( m \) with \( 1 \leq m \leq |V(G)| \).

An \( m \)-path cover \( \Gamma = \{P_{\ell_1}, P_{\ell_2}, \ldots, P_{\ell_r}\} \) of \( G \) is a set of pairwise disjoint \( m \)-paths of \( G \) that span \( G \). Thus each \( \ell_k \) satisfies \( 1 \leq \ell_k \leq m \), and every vertex of \( G \) lies in exactly one \( m \)-path, i.e., \( V(G) = \bigcup_{k=1}^r V(P_{\ell_k}) \) is a partition of \( V(G) \).

With every \( m \)-path \( P_\ell \) we associate a weight, \( \omega(P_\ell) \), and then the weight of \( \Gamma \) is \( \omega(\Gamma) = \prod_{k=1}^r \omega(P_{\ell_k}) \).

**Definition 1.1** The \( m \)-path cover polynomial of \( G \), \( \mathbb{P}_m(G) \), is the sum of the weights of all \( m \)-path covers of \( G \), i.e.,
\[
\mathbb{P}_m(G) = \sum_{\Gamma} \omega(\Gamma),
\]
where \( \Gamma \) is an \( m \)-path cover of \( G \).

The path-cover polynomial (or path polynomial) of a graph \( G \) is a specialization of the \( F \)-cover polynomial of Farrell [4] where \( F \) is restricted to be

\[\text{(1.1)}\]
a path, see Farrell [5]. Thus our $m$-path cover polynomial $P_m(G)$ is a further specialization to paths of length $\ell \leq m$. See also Chow [2], and D’Antona and Munarini [3].

It seems that this research is the first direct consideration of the $m$-path cover polynomial of a graph. See McSorley, Feinsilver, and Schott [7] for specialization to the case $m = 2$, where all classical orthogonal polynomials are generated as 2-path cover polynomials of suitably weighted paths. For related work see the theory of weighted linear species, developed in Joyal [6] and Bergeron, Labelle, and Leroux [1]. In particular, Munarini [8] uses the $m$-filtered linear partitions of a linearly ordered set to achieve some similar results, see especially our Sections 7 and 8.

In Section 2 we introduce a weighted path $P(m - 1, n)$, and find the $(m + 1)$-term recurrence that its $m$-path polynomial satisfies. In Section 3 the matrix form of this recurrence is presented and yields a trace formula that, in Section 4, gives the $m$-path cover polynomial of a suitably weighted cycle $C(n)$. Section 5 interprets our results in terms of a model for time-dependent Markov chains. In Section 6 a directed graph, $T(m)$, the edge-weighted $m$-trellis, is introduced and so a third way to generate the solutions to the above recurrence and trace is found. In Section 7 we model general constant coefficient linear recurrences, and we derive various relevant formulas with both algebraic and combinatorial proofs. Finally, in Section 8, we obtain a relevant new integer sequence and relate this sequence to known sequences in the literature.

**Notation** We write $P_m[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell]$, instead of $P_m([v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell])$, for the $m$-cover polynomial of the path $[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell]$; similarly we write $\omega[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell]$ instead of $\omega([v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell])$, etc.

Vertices in $P(m - 1, n)$ (Section 2) and in subpaths of $P(s, n)$ will be labelled $u_i$; vertices in $C(n)$ (Section 4) will be labelled $v_i$; and vertices in $T(m)$ (Section 6) will be labelled $w_i$.

For $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ we use indeterminate $x_{\ell,i}$ as the weight of a path of length $\ell$ in $G$. Throughout the paper $m \geq 1$ is fixed. In all Examples we set
$m = 3$, and many Examples have $n = 4$.

## 2 Weighted path $P(m - 1, n)$

For $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ the path $P(m - 1, n)$ has $m - 1 + n$ vertices \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m-1+n}\}. The first $m - 1$ vertices are weighted with weight 1 and the remaining $n$ vertices are weighted, one by one, with the indeterminates from the set \{x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{1,n}\}. Thus all vertices, i.e., all paths of length $\ell = 1$, in $P(m - 1, n)$ are weighted. For $2 \leq \ell \leq m$ a path of length $\ell$ in $P(m - 1, n)$ is weighted with 0 if its last vertex has weight 1, and with $x_{\ell,i}$ if its last vertex has weight $x_{1,i}$. The path $P(0, 0)$ is the empty path with no vertices.

**Definition 2.1** For $n \geq 1$ let $f_{m,n}$ be the $m$-path cover polynomial of the weighted $P(m - 1, n)$.

Starting conditions are: $f_{m,n} = 1$ for $-(m - 1) \leq n \leq 0$.

As mentioned in Section 1, throughout this paper the path $[u_a, u_{a+1}, \ldots, u_b]$ is a subpath of the weighted $P(m - 1, n)$.

We now derive our main $(m + 1)$-term recurrence:

**Theorem 2.2** For a fixed $m \geq 1$ and any $n \geq -(m - 1)$,

$$f_{m,n} = x_{1,n}f_{m,n-1} + x_{2,n}f_{m,n-2} + \cdots + x_{m,n}f_{m,n-m} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n}f_{m,n-\ell}. \quad (1)$$

**Proof.** The last vertex $u_{m-1+n}$ of $P(m - 1, n)$ lies in every $m$-path cover of $P(m - 1, n)$. Suppose, in such an $m$-path cover, it is present as the last vertex in an $m$-path of length $\ell$. Then this $m$-path has weight $x_{\ell,n}$ and begins at $u_{m+n-\ell}$. The sum of the weights of all such $m$-path covers is therefore

$$x_{\ell,n}P_m[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m-1+n-\ell}] = x_{\ell,n}f_{m,n-\ell},$$
where \([u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m-1+n-\ell}]\) is a subpath of \(P(m-1, n)\). Now summing over \(\ell\) gives the result. The initial conditions \(f_{m,n} = 1\) for \(-(m-1) \leq n \leq 0\) ensure that this equation holds when \(\ell \geq n\).
Example 2.3  For $m = 3$ the weighted path $P(2, 3)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & \quad 0 & \quad 1 & \quad x_{2,1} & \quad x_{1,1} & \quad x_{2,2} & \quad x_{1,2} & \quad x_{2,3} & \quad x_{1,3} \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\text{u}_1 & \quad \text{u}_2 & \quad \text{u}_3 & \quad \text{u}_4 & \quad \text{u}_5
\end{align*}
$$

The weights of paths of length $\ell = 1$ and 2 (vertices and edges) are shown above the path. Vertex labels and weights of paths of length $\ell = 3$ are shown below the path.

$\ell = 1$:  $\omega[u_1] = \omega[u_2] = 1$, $\omega[u_3] = x_{1,1}$, $\omega[u_4] = x_{1,2}$, $\omega[u_5] = x_{1,3}$,

$\ell = 2$:  $\omega[u_1, u_2] = 0$, $\omega[u_2, u_3] = x_{2,1}$, $\omega[u_3, u_4] = x_{2,2}$, $\omega[u_4, u_5] = x_{2,3}$,

$\ell = 3$:  $\omega[u_1, u_2, u_3] = x_{3,1}$, $\omega[u_2, u_3, u_4] = x_{3,2}$, $\omega[u_3, u_4, u_5] = x_{3,3}$.

All 3-path covers of $P(2, 3)$, and their weights, are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3-path cover</th>
<th>weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{2,1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{1,3}x_{2,2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{1,1}x_{2,3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{2,1}x_{2,3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{3,1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{1,3}x_{3,2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{3,3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$x_{2,3}x_{3,1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet u_3 \bullet u_4 \bullet u_5$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So \( f_{3,3} = x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{2,1} + x_{1,3}x_{2,2} + x_{1,1}x_{2,3} + x_{2,1}x_{2,3} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{3,1} + x_{1,3}x_{3,2} + x_{2,3}x_{3,1} + x_{3,3} \).

**Example 2.4**  
Theorem 2.2 with \( m = 3 \) gives the 4-term recurrence for a fixed \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
f_{3,n} = x_{1,n}f_{3,n-1} + x_{2,n}f_{3,n-2} + x_{3,n}f_{3,n-3}.
\]

Then the starting conditions \( f_{3,-2} = f_{3,-1} = f_{3,0} = 1 \) give,

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{3,1} &= x_{1,1} + x_{2,1} + x_{3,1}, \\
f_{3,2} &= x_{1,1}x_{1,2} + x_{1,2}x_{2,1} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3} + x_{2,2} + x_{3,2}, \\
f_{3,3} &= x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{2,1} + x_{1,3}x_{2,2} + x_{1,1}x_{2,3} \\
&+ x_{2,1}x_{2,3} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{3,1} + x_{1,3}x_{3,2} + x_{2,3}x_{3,1} + x_{3,3}, \\
f_{3,4} &= x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,1} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{3,1} + x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,4} \\
&+ x_{1,1}x_{1,4}x_{2,3} + x_{1,2}x_{2,1}x_{2,4} + x_{1,2}x_{2,4}x_{3,1} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,2} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{3,2} \\
&+ x_{1,4}x_{2,1}x_{2,3} + x_{1,4}x_{2,3}x_{3,1} + x_{1,1}x_{3,4} + x_{1,4}x_{3,3} + x_{2,1}x_{3,4} + x_{2,2}x_{2,4} \nonumber \\
&+ x_{2,4}x_{3,2} + x_{3,1}x_{3,4} \nonumber \\
&\vdots \nonumber
\end{align*}
\]

We check \( f_{3,3} \) from Example 2.3.

**Definition 2.5**  
For \( 0 \leq r \leq m - 1 \) we define \( P(r, n) \) as above for \( P(m-1, n) \), except that we have \( r \) vertices instead of \( m - 1 \) vertices of weight 1 at the beginning of the path. Thus \( P(r, n) \) has \( r + n \) vertices, and is formed from \( P(m-1, n) \) by truncating from the right. All \( m \)-paths in \( P(r, n) \) are weighted as in \( P(m-1, n) \). We let \( P_m(r, n) \) be the \( m \)-path cover polynomial of the weighted \( P(r, n) \). We note that \( f_{m,n} = P_m(m-1, n) \).
Example 2.6  For $m = 3$ and $n = 4$,
\[ P_3(0, 4) = x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4} + x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,4} + x_{1,1}x_{1,4}x_{2,3} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,2} + x_{1,1}x_{3,4} + x_{1,4}x_{3,3} + x_{2,2}x_{2,4}, \]
\[ P_3(1, 4) = x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,4} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,3} + x_{1,1}x_{1,4}x_{2,3} + x_{1,1}x_{2,4} + x_{1,4}x_{2,1}x_{1,3} + x_{1,2}x_{2,1}x_{2,4} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{3,2} + x_{1,1}x_{3,4} + x_{1,4}x_{3,3} + x_{2,1}x_{3,4} + x_{2,2}x_{2,4} + x_{2,4}x_{3,2}, \]
\[ P_3(2, 4) = f_{3,4}, \text{ see Example 2.4}. \]

For a fixed $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$ we define the starting conditions
\[ P_m(r, n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } -(m - 1) \leq n \leq -r - 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } -r \leq n \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{2} \]

We then have the following recurrence; the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, and setting $r = m - 1$ recovers Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.7**  For a fixed $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$ and any $n \geq 1$,
\[ P_m(r, n) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n} P_m(r, n-\ell). \]

We now work with the fundamental solutions to recurrence (1):
For $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $f_{m,n}^{(j)}$ denote the $j$-th fundamental solution to (1). Thus the $f_{m,n}^{(j)}$ obey the recurrence
\[ f_{m,n}^{(j)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n} f_{m,n-\ell}^{(j)}, \tag{3} \]
with starting conditions
\[ f_{m,-(m-1)+k}^{(j)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = m - j, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq m - j, \end{cases} \]
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where \(0 \leq k \leq m - 1\).

We have

\[
f_{m,n} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{m,n}^{(j)}. \tag{4}\]

Our next result expresses \(f_{m,n}^{(j)}\) as the difference of two \(m\)-path cover polynomials. Consistent with (2) we set \(P_m(-1,n) = 0\) for every \(n \geq -(m - 1)\).

**Lemma 2.8** For \(n \geq 1\) and \(1 \leq j \leq m\),

\[
f_{m,n}^{(j)} = P_m(j - 1, n) - P_m(j - 2, n). \tag{5}\]

**Proof.** By induction on \(n\), first consider \(n = 1\). Now \(f_{m,1-\ell}^{(j)} = 1\) when \(\ell = j\) and \(f_{m,1-\ell}^{(j)} = 0\) otherwise. Each \(f_{m,n}^{(j)}\) satisfies equation (3), so \(f_{m,1}^{(j)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,1} f_{m,1-\ell}^{(j)} = x_{j,1}\). Now consider the path \(P(j - 1, 1)\) shown below:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \ldots \quad 1 \quad x_{2,1} \quad x_{1,1} \\
\quad u_1 \quad u_2 \quad \ldots \quad u_{j-1} \quad u_j \quad x_{j,1}
\end{array}
\]

The first vertex \(u_1\) lies in every \(m\)-path cover of \(P(j - 1, 1)\) so, similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have

\[
P_m(j - 1, 1) = \omega[u_1]P_m(j - 2, 1) + \omega[u_1, u_2]P_m(j - 3, 1) + \cdots + \omega[u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j]P_m(j - 2, 1) + \cdots + x_{j,1}.
\]

Thus, from above, \(f_{m,1}^{(j)} = x_{j,1} = P_m(j - 1, 1) - P_m(j - 2, 1), \ i.e., \ depressed \ equation \ (5)\ is \ true \ for \ n = 1.\)
Now we have
\[
f_{m,n+1}^{(j)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n+1} f_{m,n+1-\ell}^{(j)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n+1} \{ P_m(j-1,n+1-\ell) - P_m(j-2,n+1-\ell) \}
\]
\[
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n+1} P_m(j-1,n+1-\ell) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,n+1} P_m(j-2,n+1-\ell)
\]
\[
= P_m(j-1,n+1) - P_m(j-2,n+1),
\]
using equation (3) again at the first line, the induction hypothesis at the second line and Theorem 2.7 at the last line. Hence the induction goes through and equation (5) is true for all \( n \geq 1 \).

\[\text{Example 2.9} \quad \text{Using equation (3) and the starting conditions following (3): For } m = 3 \text{ and } n = 4 \text{ the 3 fundamental solutions to recurrence (1) are,}
\]
\[
f_{3,4}^{(1)} = x_{1,1} x_{1,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} + x_{1,1} x_{1,2} x_{2,4} + x_{1,1} x_{1,4} x_{2,3} + x_{1,3} x_{1,4} x_{2,2}
+ x_{1,1} x_{3,4} + x_{1,4} x_{3,3} + x_{2,2} x_{2,4},
\]
\[
f_{3,4}^{(2)} = x_{1,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} x_{2,1} + x_{1,3} x_{1,4} x_{3,2} + x_{1,4} x_{2,1} x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} x_{2,1} x_{2,4}
+ x_{2,4} x_{3,2} + x_{2,1} x_{3,4},
\]
\[
f_{3,4}^{(3)} = x_{1,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} x_{3,1} + x_{1,4} x_{2,3} x_{3,1} + x_{1,2} x_{2,4} x_{3,1} + x_{3,1} x_{3,4}.
\]

We check equation (4) using Example 2.4,
\[
f_{3,4} = f_{3,4}^{(1)} + f_{3,4}^{(2)} + f_{3,4}^{(3)}.
\]

We also check Lemma 2.8 using \( \mathcal{P}_3(-1,4) = 0 \) and Example 2.6,
\[
f_{3,4}^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_3(0,4) - \mathcal{P}_3(-1,4) = \mathcal{P}_3(0,4),
\]
\[
f_{3,4}^{(2)} = \mathcal{P}_3(1,4) - \mathcal{P}_3(0,4),
\]
\[
f_{3,4}^{(3)} = \mathcal{P}_3(2,4) - \mathcal{P}_3(1,4).
\]
By iteration of such formulas, we have Corollary 2.10; where (ii) is a specialization of (i) with \( r = 0 \).

**Corollary 2.10**

(i) For \( 1 \leq j \leq m \),

\[
P_m(r, n) = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} f_{m,n}^{(j)},
\]

(ii) the first fundamental solution to recurrence (1) is given by

\[f^{(1)}_{m,n} = P_m(0, n) .\]

The following Corollary 2.11 is a useful technical result.

**Corollary 2.11** For \( n \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \),

\[f^{(j)}_{m,n+1-j} = \sum_{\ell=j}^{m} x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} P_m[u_{m+\ell+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] .\]

**Proof.** For \( j = 1 \) from Corollary 2.10(ii) we have \( f^{(1)}_{m,n} = P_m(0, n) \). Now in the weighted path \( P(0, n) \) let vertex \( u_1 \) be covered by a path \( Q_\ell \) of length \( \ell \) where \( 1 \leq \ell \leq m \). Then \( Q_\ell \) begins at vertex \( u_1 \) and ends at vertex \( u_\ell \), which has weight \( x_{1,\ell} \); so \( \omega(Q_\ell) = x_{1,\ell} \). Now in every \( m \)-path cover of \( P(0, n) \) vertex \( u_1 \) must be covered by such a path \( Q_\ell \), so \( f^{(1)}_{m,n} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} x_{\ell,\ell} P_m[u_{\ell+1}, \ldots, u_{n}] \), which is the above formula for \( j = 1 \).

For any \( 2 \leq j \leq m \) the path \([u_{m+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m-1+n}]\) is a subpath of \( P(m-1, n) \). In fact the weighted paths \( P(j-1, n) \) and \([u_{m+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m-1+n}]\) (except for vertex labels) are identical, so \( P_m(j-1, n) = P_m[u_{m+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m-1+n}] \).
From Lemma 2.8 we have

\[ f_{m,n+1-j}^{(j)} = P_m(j - 1, n + 1 - j) - P_m(j - 2, n + 1 - j) \]
\[ = P_m[u_{m+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] - 1 \cdot P_m[u_{m+2-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] \]
\[ = \text{sum of terms of } P_m[u_{m+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] \text{ in which vertex } u_{m+1-j} \text{ is covered by a path whose weight is an indeterminate, as opposed to a path with weight 1.} \]

So let vertex \( u_{m+1-j} \) be covered by a path \( Q_\ell \) of length \( \ell \geq 1 \). Then \( Q_\ell \) begins at vertex \( u_{m+1-j} \) and ends at vertex \( u_{m+\ell-j} \), which has weight \( x_{1,\ell+1-j} \). Hence \( \omega(Q_\ell) = x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} \). Furthermore, because \( Q_\ell \) ends at \( u_{m+\ell-j} \) if \( \ell < j \) then \( m + \ell - j \leq m - 1 \), hence \( w(Q_\ell) = 0 \), a contradiction; so \( \ell \geq j \).

Now, similar to above, the sum of the terms of \( P_m[u_{m+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] \) that contain \( x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} \) is \( x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} P_m[u_{m+\ell+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] \). Finally, summing over the lengths \( \ell \) of all possible paths \( Q_\ell \), namely summing over \( \ell \) with \( j \leq \ell \leq m \), gives the result.

This completes study of the weighted path \( P(m-1,n) \).

### 3 Matrix formulation and Trace

We set-up our \((m+1)\)term recurrence (1) in matrix form.

Let \( X_{m,0} = I_m \) be the \( m \times m \) identity matrix, and for \( n \geq 1 \) let \( X_{m,n} \) be the \( m \times m \) matrix

\[
X_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
x_{m,n} & x_{m-1,n} & x_{m-2,n} & \cdots & x_{1,n}
\end{pmatrix}
\] (6)

Let T denote transpose, and let \( F_{m,n} \) be the vector \( F_{m,n} = (f_{m,n-(m-1)}, \ldots, f_{m,n})^T \).
Then recurrence (1) can be written as:

$$F_{m,n} = X_{m,n} F_{m,n-1},$$

where $F_{m,0} = (f_{m,-(m-1)}, \ldots, f_{m,0})^T = (1, \ldots, 1)^T$. By iterating this equation we have $F_{m,n} = Y_{m,n} F_{m,0}$, where

$$Y_{m,n} = X_{m,n} X_{m,n-1} \cdots X_{m,0} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{m,n-(m-1)} & \cdots & f_{m,n-(m-1)} \\ f_{m,n-(m-2)} & \cdots & f_{m,n-(m-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{m,n-1} & \cdots & f_{m,n-1} \\ f_{m,n} & \cdots & f_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

With $\text{tr}$ denoting trace, we have,

**Lemma 3.1** For $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{tr}(Y_{m,n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{m,n+1-j}^{(j)}.$$

We now apply these results to the weighted cycle $C(n)$.

**4 Weighted cycle $C(n)$ and Trace**

We introduce the weighted cycle $C(n)$ for $n \geq 1$, shown in Fig. 1. It has $n$ vertices labelled $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $n$ edges.

It is weighted as follows: for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, let $P_\ell$ be a path of length $\ell$ that traverses $C(n)$ clockwise and ends at vertex $v_i$. We define $\omega(P_\ell) = x_{\ell,i}$.

Thus the weighted cycle $C(1)$ is an isolated vertex $v_1$ with weight $\omega(v_1) = x_{1,1}$; and the weighted cycle $C(2)$ has 2 vertices $\{v_1, v_2\}$ with $\omega(v_1) = x_{1,1}$ and $\omega(v_2) = x_{1,2}$, and 2 edges: edge $(v_1, v_2)$ with $\omega(v_1, v_2) = x_{2,2}$, and edge $(v_2, v_1)$ with $\omega(v_2, v_1) = x_{2,1}$.

In Fig. 1 only the weights of paths of lengths $\ell = 1$ and 2 are shown.
Lemma 4.1  For $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$ the following $m$-path cover polynomials, the first which comes from $C(n)$ and the second from $P(m-1,n)$, are equal:

$$\mathbb{P}_m[v_a, \ldots, v_b] = \mathbb{P}_m[u_{m-1+a}, \ldots, u_{m-1+b}].$$

Proof.  Except for vertex labels, the weighted paths $[v_a, \ldots, v_b]$ in $C(n)$ and $[u_{m-1+a}, \ldots, u_{m-1+b}]$ in $P(m-1,n)$ are identical. Hence the result.  

Definition 4.2  For $n \geq 1$ let $C_m(n)$ be the $m$-path cover polynomial of the weighted $C(n)$.

In the following, when necessary, we reduce subscripts on $u$, $v$, and the second subscript on $x$, all modulo $n$. We write $u_{n+t} = u_t$, $v_{n+t} = v_t$, and $x_{\ell, n+t} = x_{\ell, t}$, etc.  

The following Theorem 4.3 is the main result of this section. Recall the matrix $Y_{m,n}$ from equation (7).

Theorem 4.3  For $n \geq 1$,

$$C_m(n) = \text{tr}(Y_{m,n}).$$
Proof. Consider the weighted $C(n)$. Vertex $v_1$ lies in every $m$-path cover of $C(n)$. Suppose, in such an $m$-path cover, it is covered by a path $P_\ell$ of length $\ell$ that begins at $v_{n-p}$ and ends at $v_{n-p+1+\ell}$, for some $p \in \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots, \ell-2\}$. Now $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, i.e., $p + 2 \leq \ell \leq m$. The sum of the weights of all such paths is then

$$\sum_{\ell=p+2}^{m} x_{\ell,n-p+1+\ell} P_m[v_{n-p+\ell}, \ldots, v_{n-p-1}].$$

But $p \in \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots, m-2\}$, so

$$C_m(n) = \sum_{p=-1}^{m-2} \sum_{\ell=p+2}^{m} x_{\ell,n-p+1+\ell} P_m[v_{n-p+\ell}, \ldots, v_{n-p-1}]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=j}^{m} x_{\ell,n+\ell+1-j} P_m[v_{n+\ell+2-j}, \ldots, v_{n+1-j}]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=j}^{m} x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} P_m[u_{n+\ell+1-j}, \ldots, u_{n-j}]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} f^{(j)}_{m,n+1-j}$$

$$= \text{tr}(Y_{m,n}),$$

letting $j = p + 2$ at the second line, and using subscript reduction modulo $n$ and Lemma 4.1 at the third line, then Corollary 2.11 at the fourth line, and Lemma 3.1 at the last line. 

\[\square\]

Example 4.4 For $m = 3$ and $n = 4$ consider the weighted $C(4)$ in Fig 2.

The 3-paths are weighted as follows,

$\ell = 1$: $\omega[v_1] = x_{1,1}$, $\omega[v_2] = x_{1,2}$, $\omega[v_3] = x_{1,3}$, $\omega[v_4] = x_{1,4}$,

$\ell = 2$: $\omega[v_1, v_2] = x_{2,2}$, $\omega[v_2, v_3] = x_{2,3}$, $\omega[v_3, v_4] = x_{2,4}$, $\omega[v_4, v_1] = x_{2,1}$,

$\ell = 3$: $\omega[v_1, v_2, v_3] = x_{3,3}$, $\omega[v_2, v_3, v_4] = x_{3,4}$, $\omega[v_3, v_4, v_1] = x_{3,1}$, $\omega[v_4, v_1, v_2] = x_{3,2}$. 
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By considering all 3-path covers, the 3-path cover polynomial of the weighted $C(4)$ is

$$C_3(4) = x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4} + x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,4} + x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{2,1} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,2}$$
$$+ x_{1,1}x_{1,4}x_{2,3} + x_{1,3}x_{3,2} + x_{1,4}x_{3,3} + x_{1,1}x_{3,4} + x_{1,2}x_{3,1}$$
$$+ x_{2,1}x_{2,3} + x_{2,2}x_{2,4}.$$

Similar to Example 2.9, the recurrence (3) and the starting conditions following (3) give

$$f_{3,4}(1) = x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{1,4} + x_{1,1}x_{1,2}x_{2,4} + x_{1,1}x_{1,4}x_{2,3} + x_{1,3}x_{1,4}x_{2,2}$$
$$+ x_{1,1}x_{3,4} + x_{1,4}x_{3,3} + x_{2,2}x_{2,4},$$
$$f_{3,3}(2) = x_{1,2}x_{1,3}x_{2,1} + x_{1,3}x_{3,2} + x_{2,1}x_{2,3},$$
$$f_{3,2}(3) = x_{1,2}x_{3,1}.$$
Together with the following matrices

\[
Y_{3,4} = X_{3,4}X_{3,3}X_{3,2}X_{3,1}X_{3,0}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
x_{3,4} & x_{2,4} & x_{1,4}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
x_{3,3} & x_{2,3} & x_{1,3}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
x_{3,2} & x_{2,2} & x_{1,2}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
x_{3,1} & x_{2,1} & x_{1,1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

we may check the results from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.3,

\[
C_3(4) = \text{tr}(Y_{3,4}) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} f_{3,5-j}^{(j)} = f_{3,4}^{(1)} + f_{3,3}^{(2)} + f_{3,2}^{(3)}.
\]

## 5 Markov chain interpretation

In this section we consider an interesting special case, where in the matrix formulation of the recurrence we have stochastic matrices. A matrix of the form (6) can be considered a transition matrix for a Markov chain with \(m\) states under the conditions

\[
\sum_j x_{j,n} = 1, \quad x_{j,n} \geq 0, \forall j.
\]

Because the probabilities \(x_{j,n}\) vary with \(n\), these are the transition matrices for a non-homogeneous Markov chain. Note also that, as transition matrices are multiplied from left to right, the process is effectively time-reversed. In fact,

\[
P[\text{jump at time } \nu \text{ from state } m \text{ to state } j] = x_{m-j+1,n-\nu+1}.
\]

This process is often referred to as a ladder process. From any state \(j\), with \(j < m\), the process jumps with certainty to \(j+1\), thence to \(j+2\), etc., up the
ladder, till it reaches state $m$. At that point it jumps randomly back down the ladder to one of the intermediate states $j$, $1 \leq j < m$, and the procedure repeats. Because all of the matrices are stochastic, the row sums of matrices such as $Y_{m,n}$, see equation (7), will all equal 1. Recall from Section 3 that

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{m,n-m+1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = Y_{m,n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

**Proposition 5.1** *In the stochastic case, all of the path polynomials $f_{m,n}$ evaluate to 1.*

### 5.1 Homogeneous case

In the case of constant coefficients (see equation (6)), sending $x_{\ell,i} \to x_{\ell}$, $\forall i$, we drop the dependence on $n$ and write

$$X_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ x_m & x_{m-1} & x_{m-2} & \cdots & x_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\sum x_j = 1$. Now

$$Y_{m,n} = (X_m)^n$$

is the $n$-step transition matrix. It is easy to see that a row vector (on the left) fixed by $X_m$ is

$$(x_m, x_m + x_{m-1}, \ldots, x_m + x_{m-1} + \cdots + x_2, 1).$$

Furthermore, under the assumption $x_j > 0$, $\forall j$, it is immediate that the chain is irreducible and aperiodic, hence ergodic. That is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Y_{m,n} = \Omega$$
exists and has equal rows, each row proportional to the left-invariant vector indicated above normalized to row sum 1.

**Example 5.2** Take the uniform case \(x_j = 1/m, \ 1 \leq j \leq m\). Then we have the fixed vector \((1, 2, 3, \ldots, m)\) and the limits

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_{m,n}^{(j)} = \frac{2(m - j + 1)}{m(m + 1)}.
\]

Thus, for large \(n\), if we randomly choose an \(m\)-path cover of \(P(m-1, n)\) then the probability that it belongs to the \(j\)-fundamental solution is \(\frac{2(m - j + 1)}{m(m + 1)}\). In particular, the first fundamental solution satisfies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_{m,n}^{(1)} = \frac{2}{m + 1}.
\]

So the \(m\)-path cover polynomial model provides a combinatorial model for non-homogeneous Markov chains. A closely related model, the trellis, is discussed in detail below in Section 6.

## 6  Edge-weighted \(m\)-trellis \(T(m)\)

In this section we deal with the edge-weighted \(m\)-trellis, \(T(m)\), shown in Fig 3, and give another method of generating \(f_{m,n}^{(j)}\) and \(C_m(n)\).

The vertices of \(T(m)\) are labelled \(\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\}\). All edges in \(T(m)\) are directed, with arrows as shown. All circuits in \(T(m)\) are directed, and are traversed in the direction of the arrows. We use \(S\) to denote a directed circuit in \(T(m)\), which we simply call a circuit. A circuit is based at vertex \(w_j\) if it begins and ends at vertex \(w_j\). A circuit may pass through the same vertex more than once. The length of a circuit \(S\) is the number of edges in it.

The weights on the edges of \(T(m)\) are taken from \(\{1, x_{1,d}, \ldots, x_{m,d}\}\) where \(d \geq 1\), as shown. The weight of circuit \(S, w(S)\), is the product of the weights
of all the edges in \( S \). If the edge with weight \( x_{j,d} \) is traversed as the \( k \)-th edge in \( S \), then \( x_{j,k} \) is a factor in \( w(S) \); thus the meaning of \( x_{j,d} \) here is different from that in Sections 2 and 4. We allow empty circuits with length 0.

**Definition 6.1** Let \( T_m(w_j, 0) = 1 \) and, for \( s \geq 1 \), let \( T_m(w_j, s) \) be the sum of the weights of all circuits in \( T(m) \) that are based at vertex \( w_j \) with length \( s \).

**Notation** We use standard multiset notation: \( 1^k = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \ldots \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \), and \( 1^0 \) means no occurrences of 1.

**Theorem 6.2** For \( s \geq 0 \),

\[
T_m(w_1, s) = P_m(0, s). \tag{8}
\]

**Proof.** By strong induction on \( s \). Now \( T_m(w_1, 0) = P_m(0, 0) = 1 \), hence equation (8) is true for \( s = 0 \). We now assume that \( T_m(w_1, s') = P_m(0, s') \) for all \( 0 \leq s' \leq s \). Consider any term in \( T_m(w_1, s + 1) \), it is the weight of some circuit \( S \) in \( T(m) \) based at vertex \( w_1 \) with length \( s + 1 \). Clearly \( S \) ends with a \( k \)-cycle based at vertex \( w_1 \), for some \( k \) with \( 1 \leq k \leq m \). Thus the last edge of \( S \) is \((w_k, w_1)\), with weight \( x_{k,s+1} \), and the previous
$k - 1$ edges are $(w_k, w_{k-1}), (w_{k-1}, w_{k-2}), \ldots, (w_2, w_1)$, each of weight 1. Hence 
$\omega(S) = T_m(w_1, s + 1 - k)1^{k-1}x_{k,s+1}$. Thus

$$T_m(w_1, s + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{k,s+1} T_m(w_1, s + 1 - k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{k,s+1} P_m(0, s + 1 - k) = P_m(0, s + 1),$$

using the strong induction hypothesis and then Theorem 2.7. So the induction goes through and equation (8) is true for all $s \geq 0$. 

Let $T_m^{+c}(w_1, s)$ be the expression obtained when every indeterminate $x_{a,b}$ in $T_m(w_1, s)$ is replaced by $x_{a,b+c}$; similarly for other expressions.

Recall that $[u_m, \ldots, u_{m-1+s}]$ is a subpath of $P(m - 1, n)$ for $s \geq 0$; for $s = 0$ the path $[u_m, u_{m-1}]$ is the empty path $P(0,0)$, and $P_m(0,0) = 1$.

**Corollary 6.3** For $s \geq 0$ and $0 \leq c \leq n - s$,

$$T_m^{+c}(w_1, s) = P_m[u_{m+c}, \ldots, u_{m-1+s+c}].$$

**Proof.** For $s = 0$ we have $T_m^{+c}(w_1, 0) = P_m[u_{m+c}, u_{m-1+c}] = 1$. For $s \geq 1$ then $[u_m, \ldots, u_{m-1+s}]$ is a subpath of $P(m - 1, n)$ so, for every $n \geq s$, we have $P_m(0, s) = P_m[u_m, \ldots, u_{m-1+s}]$. Now, from Theorem 6.2, $T_m(w_1, s) = P_m(0, s)$, so $T_m^{+c}(w_1, s) = P_m^{+c}(0, s) = P_m[u_{m+c}, \ldots, u_{m-1+s+c}]$, as required.

We now connect $T_m(w_j, n)$ and the fundamental solutions of the $(m + 1)$-term recurrence (1).

**Theorem 6.4** For $n \geq 0$,

$$T_m(w_j; n) = f^{(j)}_{m,n+1-j}.$$
Proof. Consider a circuit $S$ in $T(m)$ based at vertex $w_j$ with $n$ edges. Then, for some $0 \leq k \leq m - j$, the first $k$ edges in this circuit are $(w_j, w_{j+1}), (w_{j+1}, w_{j+2}), \ldots, (w_{j+k-1}, w_{j+k})$, followed by edge $(w_{j+k}, w_1)$ ending at vertex $w_1$. These edges contribute $1^k x_{j+k,k+1}$ to $w(S)$. Now, starting at vertex $w_1$, the last $j-1$ edges traversed in $S$ are $(w_1, w_2), (w_2, w_3), \ldots, (w_{j-1}, w_j)$, contributing $1^{j-1}$ to $w(S)$. Hence $\omega(S) = x_{j+k,k+1} T_m^{+(k+1)}(w_1, n - j - k)$.

Thus
\[ T_m(w_j, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-j} x_{j+k,k+1} T_m^{+(k+1)}(w_1, n - j - k) \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell=j}^{m} x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} T_m^{+(\ell+1-j)}(w_1, n - \ell) \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell=j}^{m} x_{\ell,\ell+1-j} \mathbb{F}_m[u_{m+\ell+1-j}, \ldots, u_{m+n-j}] \]
\[ = f(\ell) \]
putting $\ell = j + k$ at the second line, then using Corollary 6.3 with $c = \ell + 1 - j$ and $s = n - \ell$ at the third line, finally using Corollary 2.11 at the last line.

Example 6.5 Consider $T(3)$, the edge-weighted 3-trellis, see Fig. 4.

![Figure 4: Edge-weighted 3-trellis T(3) (a)](image)

(a) $T_3(w_2, 5) = \text{sum of weights of circuits of } T(3) \text{ based at } w_2 \text{ with length 5}$
\[ = x_{2,1} x_{1,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} 1 + x_{2,1} x_{1,2} 1 x_{2,4} 1 + x_{2,1} 1 x_{3,4} 1 \]
\[ + x_{2,1} 1 x_{2,3} x_{1,4} 1 + 1 x_{3,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} 1 + 1 x_{3,2} 1 x_{2,4} 1 = f_{3,4}^{(2)} \]
as in Example 2.9.

(b) \( T_3(w, 6) \) = sum of weights of circuits based at \( w \) with length 6.

We observe that the first edge in such a circuit is edge \((w, w_1)\) of weight \( x_{3,1} \), hence \( x_{3,1} \) is a factor of every term in \( T_3(w, 6) = f_{3,4}^{(3)} \), consistent with Example 2.9 again.

Finally, we bring the results from Lemma 3.1 and Theorems 4.3 and 6.4 together in the following Theorem 6.6.

**Theorem 6.6** For \( 1 \leq n \leq m \),

\[
C_m(n) = \text{tr}(Y_{m,n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_m(w_j, n).
\]

\[\begin{align*}
T_3(w_1, 4) &= x_{1,1} x_{1,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} + x_{1,1} x_{1,2} 1 x_{2,4} + x_{1,1} 1 x_{2,3} x_{1,4} + x_{1,1} 1 \cdot 1 x_{3,4} \\
&\quad + 1 x_{2,2} x_{1,3} x_{1,4} + 1 x_{2,2} 1 x_{2,4} + 1 \cdot 1 x_{3,3} x_{1,4} = f_{3,4}^{(1)}, \\
T_3(w_2, 4) &= x_{2,1} x_{1,2} x_{1,3} 1 + x_{2,1} 1 x_{2,3} 1 + 1 x_{3,2} x_{1,3} 1 = f_{3,3}^{(2)}, \\
T_3(w_3, 4) &= x_{3,1} x_{1,2} 1 \cdot 1 = f_{3,2}^{(3)},
\end{align*}\]

which are consistent with the above definitions and results, and with Example 4.4.

### 7 Homogeneous case, \( x_{\ell,i} \rightarrow x_{\ell} \)

In this section, we consider the case of constant coefficients, i.e., where the indeterminates \( x_{\ell,i} \) are independent of \( i \).

**Notation** We use * to modify a path or expression or matrix in which weights or indeterminates \( x_{\ell,i} \) are replaced with \( x_{\ell} \).

First we review some known properties of \( m \)-path polynomials using standard techniques. Then we show how our model recovers these results combinatorially.
7.1 Constant coefficient recurrences

This subsection mainly establishes notation and recalls basic results of interest.

Consider the recurrence

\[ y_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_{n-i} \]  

We begin with the first fundamental solution. The following is standard and readily derived via geometric series and multinomial expansion.

**Proposition 7.1** We have the generating function and formula

\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i t^i} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m} \left( s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_m \right) x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} \cdots x_m^{s_m} t^n \]

giving the (first) fundamental solution, \( h_n \), to the recurrence, i.e., with initial values \( h_i = 0 \), \( -(m-1) \leq i < 0 \), \( h_0 = 1 \).

The matrix \( X_m \) takes the form, cf. Section 5.1,

\[
X_m = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
x_m & x_{m-1} & x_{m-2} & \cdots & x_1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

so that \( \det(I - tX_m) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i t^i \). Define the \((r+1)^{st}\) fundamental solution to recurrence (9) to be the one with initial conditions

\[
y_i = 0, \quad \text{for } -(m-1) \leq i \leq 0, \quad i \neq -r \\
y_{-r} = 1,
\]
and denote this fundamental solution by \( h_n^{(r+1)} \), with \( h_n = h_n^{(1)} \). Then the entries in the bottom row of \((X_m)^n\) are exactly the values

\[
((X_m)^n)_{(m,j)} = h_n^{(m-j+1)}.
\]

In general,

\[
((X_m)^n)_{(i,j)} = h_{n-m+i}^{(m-j+1)}.
\]  

(10)

The fundamental solutions for \( r > 0 \) can be expressed in terms of the first fundamental solution as follows.

**Proposition 7.2** The \((r + 1)\)st fundamental solution to the recurrence (9) is given by

\[
h_n^{(r+1)} = h_{n+r} - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} h_{n+k} x_{r-k},
\]

where \( h_n \) denotes the first fundamental solution.

**Proof.** We will illustrate for \( r \leq 2 \) that shows how the general case works. We have

\[
\begin{align*}
h_n^{(1)} &= h_n, \\
h_n^{(2)} &= h_{n+1} - x_1 h_n, \\
h_n^{(3)} &= h_{n+2} - x_1 h_{n+1} - x_2 h_n.
\end{align*}
\]

For \( r = 1 \), we obtain 0 for nonpositive \( n \), except for \( n = -1 \), as required. Similarly, for \( r = 2 \), for nonpositive \( n \) we obtain 1 precisely for \( n = -2 \), otherwise we get 0. Note that the subtractions are necessary to cancel off terms when \( 0 \geq n > -r \). Since the coefficients are independent of \( n \), these are indeed solutions to the recurrence. Thus the result. \( \blacksquare \)

Now for the trace,

**Proposition 7.3** The trace of \((X_m)^n\) is given by

\[
\text{tr}(X_m)^n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} j h_{n-j} x_j.
\]
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**Proof.** From (10), we have, using the above Proposition 7.2,

\[
\text{tr}(X_m)^n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{n-m+i}^{(m-i+1)} \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} h_{n-i}^{(i+1)} \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ h_n - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} h_{n-i+k} x_{i-k} \right] \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ h_n - \sum_{j=1}^{i} h_{n-j} x_j \right] \\
= m h_n - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} h_{n-j} x_j \\
\text{(next, interchanging the order of summation)} \\
= m h_n - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=j}^{m-1} h_{n-j} x_j \\
= m h_n - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m - j) h_{n-j} x_j \\
= m \left[ h_n - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} h_{n-j} x_j \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j h_{n-j} x_j \\
= \sum_{j=1}^{m} j h_{n-j} x_j \quad \text{(by the recurrence for \{h_n\}).}
\]

\[ \blacksquare \]

**Remark 7.4** These are a variation on Newton’s Identities relating power sum symmetric functions and elementary symmetric functions. Here, the homogeneous symmetric functions, \(h_n\), play a rôle as well.
7.2 Combinatorial proofs

We now show how these formulas may be derived combinatorially by our model with the specialization \(x_{\ell,i} \rightarrow x_\ell\). The weighted path \(P^*(2,3)\) looks like

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & x_2 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1 \\
\hline
u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
x_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
x_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
x_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

**Notation.** Consistent with the above, we use \(h\) or \(H\) to represent expressions in which we have replaced \(x_{\ell,i}\) with \(x_\ell\). Thus \(H_m(r, n) = P^*_m(r, n)\), for \(0 \leq r \leq m - 1\), see Definition 2.5 of weighted path \(P(r, n)\).

7.2.1 First fundamental solution

Proposition 7.1 is readily seen from the weighting of path \(P^*(m - 1, n)\). For the first fundamental solution, there are no vertices with weight 1, and no edges weighted 0. The first vertex has weight \(x_1\), and so on. In an \(m\)-path cover the exponent \(s_\ell\) is the number of paths of length \(\ell\), for each \(1 \leq \ell \leq m\), and the multinomial coefficient counts the number of \(m\)-path covers obtained from any fixed set of \(m\)-paths. So this model gives a visual interpretation to the analytic formula.
7.2.2 Higher fundamental solutions

Start with

**Lemma 7.5** For a fixed $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq m - 1$ and any $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{H}_m(r, n) - \mathcal{H}_m(r - 1, n) = \sum_{\ell=r+1}^{m} x_\ell \mathcal{H}_m(0, n + r - \ell).$$

**Proof.** For $r \geq 1$, consider the weighted path $P^*(r, n)$. The first vertex $u_1$ must lie in every $m$-path cover of this path, say on a path $Q_\ell$ of length $\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, starting at $u_1$. If $\ell = 1$ then $\omega(Q_1) = \omega(u_1) = 1$, and the sum of all such $m$-path covers is thus $1 \cdot \mathcal{H}_m(r - 1, n)$. If $2 \leq \ell \leq r$ then $Q_\ell$ finishes at vertex $u_\ell$ where $\omega(u_\ell) = 1$, so $\omega(Q_\ell) = 0$. And if $r + 1 \leq \ell \leq m$ then $Q_\ell$ finishes at vertex $u_\ell$ where $\omega(u_\ell) = x_1$ and so $\omega(Q_\ell) = x_\ell$, and the sum of all such $m$-path covers is $x_\ell \mathcal{H}_m(0, n + r - \ell)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_m(r, n) = \mathcal{H}_m(r - 1, n) + \sum_{\ell=r+1}^{m} x_\ell \mathcal{H}_m(0, n + r - \ell)$, and so the result.

Now for a combinatorial proof of Proposition 7.2.

**Theorem 7.6** For the fundamental solutions to the recurrence for the homogeneous path polynomials, we have

$$h_n^{(r+1)} = h_{n+r} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_\ell h_{n+r-\ell}.$$ 

**Proof.** By our definitions and Corollary 2.10(ii) we have $h_n = f_m^{(1)} = P_m^*(0, n) = \mathcal{H}_m(0, n)$. And, from Lemmas 2.8 and 7.5, we have

$$h_n^{(r+1)} = \mathcal{H}_m(r, n) - \mathcal{H}_m(r - 1, n) = \sum_{\ell=r+1}^{m} x_\ell h_{n+r-\ell}. \tag{11}$$
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Now
\[ h_{n+r} = \mathcal{H}_m(0, n + r) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell} h_{n+r-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell} h_{n+r-\ell} + \sum_{\ell=r+1}^{m} x_{\ell} h_{n+r-\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} x_{\ell} h_{n+r-\ell} + h^{(r+1)}_n, \]

where, at the second line, we note that in every \( m \)-path cover of the weighted path \( P^*(0, n + r) \) vertex \( u_{n+r} \) must lie on a path \( Q_{\ell} \) of length \( \ell \) and weight \( x_{\ell} \) where \( 1 \leq \ell \leq m \), and at the last line we use equation (11). This gives the result.

\[ \square \]

### 7.2.3 Trace formula

We now give a combinatorial derivation of the trace formula, Proposition 7.3.

First let \( \mathcal{T}_m(n) \) be the sum of the weights of all circuits of length \( n \) in \( T^*(m) \), the \( m \)-trellis with edge-weights \( x_{\ell,i} \) replaced by \( x_{\ell} \), i.e.,

\[ \mathcal{T}_m(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{T}_m^*(w_j, n), \]

see Section 6.

**Theorem 7.7** For any \( n \geq 1 \),

\[ \text{tr}(X_m)^n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} j x_j h_{n-j}. \]

**Proof.** We recall that the indeterminates in any term of \( \mathcal{T}_m(n) \) are initially ordered according to the edges traversed in the corresponding circuit, see Example 6.7. Let \( X = x_j x_{\ell_1} x_{\ell_2} \cdots x_{\ell_r} \) be a typical ordered term in \( \mathcal{T}_m(n) \)
with all 1’s removed and with first indeterminate $x_j$. We first show that term $\mathcal{X}$ occurs $j$ times in $T_m(n)$.

When there are two successive indeterminates $x_{\ell}$ and $x_{\ell'}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ then, in the corresponding circuit, the edges traversed are: first $(w_{\ell}, w_1)$ of weight $x_{\ell}$, followed by the $\ell'-1$ edges $(w_1, w_2), (w_2, w_3), \ldots, (w_{\ell'-1}, w_{\ell'})$ each of weight 1, then finishing with the edge $(w_{\ell'}, w_1)$ of weight $x_{\ell'}$. Hence pair $x_{\ell}x_{\ell'}$ becomes $x_{\ell}^1x_{\ell'}$ when the indeterminates are considered as weights on edges in a circuit in $T^*(m)$.

Now, because the first indeterminate in $\mathcal{X}$ is $x_j$, any circuit corresponding to $\mathcal{X}$ must be based at vertex $w_{j'}$ for some $j' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}$. Hence $\mathcal{X}$ will appear in $T_m(n)$ as
\[
1^{j-j'}x_j1^{\ell_1-1}x_{\ell_1}1^{\ell_2-1}x_{\ell_2}1^{\ell_3-1} \cdots 1^{\ell_{\ell}-1}x_{\ell_{\ell}}1^{j'-1},
\]
for each $j' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}$ in $T_m(n)$. There are $j$ such $j'$, so there are $j$ occurrences of term $\mathcal{X}$ in $T_m(n)$.

Now consider an occurrence of $\mathcal{X}$ in which $j' = j$, namely,
\[
x_j1^{\ell_1-1}x_{\ell_1}1^{\ell_2-1}x_{\ell_2}1^{\ell_3-1} \cdots 1^{\ell_{\ell}-1}x_{\ell_{\ell}}1^{j-1}.
\]
So,
\[
\frac{\mathcal{X}}{x_j1^{j-1}} = 1^{\ell_1-1}x_{\ell_1}1^{\ell_2-1}x_{\ell_2}1^{\ell_3-1} \cdots 1^{\ell_{\ell}-1}x_{\ell_{\ell}} = Z, \quad \text{say.}
\]
Then the sequence of edges traversed in $T^*(m)$ corresponding to $Z$ begins at $w_1$ and ends at $w_1$, and so is a circuit based at $w_1$, with length $n-1-(j-1) = n-j$. Thus $Z \in T_m^*(w_1, n-j)$. Conversely given any $Z \in T_m^*(w_1, n-j)$ then $x_jZ 1^{j-1}$ is an occurrence of term $\mathcal{X}$ starting with $1^0$ and ending with $1^{j-1}$. Thus \[
\sum_{j'=j}^{j} \frac{\mathcal{X}}{x_j} = T_m^*(w_1, n-j), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j'=j}^{j} \mathcal{X} = x_j T_m^*(w_1, n-j).
\]

Now we can partition the weighted circuits of $T^*(m)$ of length $n$ by their first indeterminate $x_j$, (ignoring the edges of weight 1 preceding this first indeterminate). That is, we can partition the terms of $T_m(n)$ by their first
indeterminate \( x_j \). So, using the above arguments we have,

\[
T_m(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} jx_j T_m^*(w_1, n - j).
\]

Furthermore, \( T_m^*(w_1, n - j) = P_m^*(0, n - j) = f_m^{(1)*} = h_{n-j} \), the first equality is Theorem 6.2 and the second is Corollary 2.10(ii), and the third is by definition of \( h_n \). So finally,

\[
\text{tr}(X_m^n) = T_m(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} jx_j T_m^*(w_1, n - j) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} jx_j h_{n-j}.
\]

**Example 7.8** See Examples 4.4 and 6.7. Here \( m = 3 \) and \( n = 4 \).

\[
\text{tr}(X_3^4) = T_3(4) = x_1^4 + 4x_1^2x_2 + 4x_1x_3 + 2x_2^2
\]
\[
= x_1(x_1^3 + 2x_1x_2 + x_3) + 2x_2(x_1^2 + x_2) + 3x_3(x_1)
\]
\[
= x_1(f_3^{(1)*}) + 2x_2(f_3^{(1)*}) + 3x_3(f_3^{(1)*})
\]
\[
= x_1 h_3 + 2x_2 h_2 + 3x_3 h_1,
\]

where, at line 2, we have rearranged the terms according to their first indeterminate \( x_j \), using Example 6.7, and combined like terms.

**Remark 7.9** From Theorem 6.6, and our definitions of matrices \( Y_{m,n} \) and \( X_m \) from Sections 3 and 5.1 respectively, we have the following equalities:

\[
C_m^*(n) = \text{tr}(Y_{m,n}^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_m^*(w_j, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}(Y_{m,n}^*) = \text{tr}(X_m^n).
\]

Thus, from Theorem 7.7,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} T_m^*(w_j, n) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} jx_j T_m^*(w_1, n - j).
\]
8 Sequences, $x_{\ell,i} \to 1$

In Section 7 we specialized by replacing weights $x_{\ell,i}$ with $x_\ell$. In this section we specialize further by replacing all weights $x_{\ell,i}$ with 1. We denote this operation by $\#$. We then use these $\#$ matrices to count $m$-path covers of the path and cycle.

Recall matrix $X_{m,n}$ from equation (6), we define matrix $Z_m$:

$$Z_m = X_m^\# = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Similarly, let $c_m(n) = C_m^\#(n)$ be the expression $C_m(n)$ evaluated when all $x_{\ell,i} = 1$. So $Y_{m,n}^\# = Z_m^n$, and $c_m(n) = \text{tr}(Y_{m,n}^\#) = \text{tr}(Z_m^n)$. Thus $c_m(n)$ counts the number of $m$-path covers of the weighted $C(n)$, or of an arbitrary $n$-cycle. (Cf., Corollary 11.1, Section 8, Farrell [5].)

**Theorem 8.1** For $1 \leq n \leq m$, we have $c_m(n) = 2^n - 1$.

**Proof.** Let $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and let $C[n]$ denote the cycle whose vertices are the elements of $[n]$ arranged clockwise in a circle. Now $n \leq m$ so any path cover of $C[n]$ will be an $m$-path cover. We show that the number of path covers of $C[n]$ is $2^n - 1$:

Given a subset $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ of $[n]$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$ we define a path cover $[i_1, i_1+1, \ldots, i_2-1], [i_2, i_2+1, \ldots, i_3-1], \ldots, [i_k, i_k+1, \ldots, i_1-1]$ of $C[n]$. Conversely, given a path cover $[i_1, i_1+1, \ldots, i_2-1], [i_2, i_2+1, \ldots, i_3-1], \ldots, [i_k, i_k+1, \ldots, i_1-1]$ of $C[n]$ we take the first vertex from each path to form a subset $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}$ of $[n]$, and then rearrange its elements to form a subset of $[n]$ with increasing elements. These two operations illustrate a bijection from the set of non-empty subsets of $[n]$ to the set of $m$-path covers of $C[n]$. Hence $c_m(n) = 2^n - 1$. 


From recurrence (1), Lemma 3.1 and Theorems 4.3 and 8.1: for \( n \geq m + 1 \) we see that \( c_m(n) \) obeys the \( m \)-anacci recurrence,

\[
c_m(n) = c_m(n - 1) + c_m(n - 2) + \cdots + c_m(n - m) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} c_m(n - \ell),
\]

with starting conditions \( c_m(n) = 2^n - 1 \) for \( 1 \leq n \leq m \).

In the square array below \( c_m(n) \) is the \((n, m)\) entry, for \( n, m \geq 1 \). Column \( m \) is determined by the above \( m \)-anacci recurrence. We observe that the \((m, m)\) main diagonal entry is \( c_m(m) = 2^m - 1 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n \backslash m )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consider the triangle, in \textbf{bold}, where \( c_m(n) \) is the \((n, m)\) entry for all \( n \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq m \leq n \), it counts the number of \( m \)-path covers of a cycle with \( n \) vertices. We have entered the sequence obtained from reading this triangle row-by-row to the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [9]; it is sequence A185722.

Each of the 10 columns of the above square array appears as a sequence in [9]; \textit{e.g.}, the second column \((m = 2)\) gives sequence A000204, and the third column \((m = 3)\) gives A001644, etc.. Thus we have a new combinatorial interpretation for each of these sequences, and a connection between them.

A closely related sequence is A126198 (replace ‘\textit{k}’ by ‘\textit{m}’ in its description): Let \( T(n, m) \) be the \((n, m)\) entry of the triangle corresponding to
A126198, then $T(n,m)$ counts the number of compositions of integer $n$ into parts of size $\leq m$. Now consider $n$ vertices arranged in a path. A composition of $n$ into parts of size $\leq m$ corresponds naturally to an $m$-path cover of this path with $n$ vertices by identifying a part of size $\ell$ in the composition with a path of length $\ell$ in the corresponding $m$-path cover. This correspondence can also be reversed. Thus in our terminology, $T(n,m)$ is the number of $m$-path covers of a path with $n$ vertices; and, from Corollary 2.10(ii) and our operation $\#$, we have $T(n,m) = f_{m,n}^{(1)\#} = P_m^\#(0,n)$. The $(m,m)$ main diagonal entry in this triangle is $T(m,m) = 2^m - 1$, (as is well-known, there are $2^{m-1}$ compositions of $m$), and column $m$ of this triangle is determined by the $m$-anacci recurrence,

$$T(n,m) = T(n-1,m) + T(n-2,m) + \cdots + T(n-m,m) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} T(n-\ell,m),$$

for $n \geq m + 1$, with starting conditions $T(n,m) = 2^{n-1}$ for $1 \leq n \leq m$.

The $(n,m)$ entry in our triangle, $c_{m}(n)$, counts the number of $m$-path covers of a cycle with $n$ vertices. We have starting conditions $c_{m}(n) = 2^{n-1}$ as opposed to $T(n,m) = 2^{n-1}$ above, for $1 \leq n \leq m$.

Furthermore, from above and the definition of matrix $Y_{m,n}$ from equation (7), we have $T(n,m) = f_{m,n}^{(1)\#} = \text{the (m,m) entry of matrix } Y_{m,n}^\# = Z_m^n$. Thus both

$$c_{m}(n) = \text{tr}(Z_m^n) \quad \text{and} \quad T(n,m) = (Z_m^n)_{(m,m)},$$

can be obtained from matrix $Z_m^n$. This gives a new derivation of $T(n,m)$, and so of sequence A126198.

**Example 8.2** $m = 3$ and $n = 4$.

$$Z_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_3^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix},$$
gives

$$c_3(4) = \text{tr}(Z_3^4) = 11 \quad \text{and} \quad T(4,3) = (Z_3^4)_{(3,3)} = 7,$$

see Examples 4.4 and 6.7, and 2.6.
Acknowledgement We thank the referee for bringing to our attention various relevant papers.

References


