## Southern Illinois University Carbondale **OpenSIUC**

[Publications](https://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/psych_pubs) **Department of Psychology** 

3-2-2020

# Frontal volume as a potential source of the comorbidity between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and reading disorders.

Michelle Y Kibby Sarah M Dyer

Sylvia E Lee

Maria Stacy

Follow this and additional works at: [https://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/psych\\_pubs](https://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/psych_pubs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fpsych_pubs%2F32&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

## Recommended Citation

Kibby, Michelle Y, Dyer, Sarah M, Lee, Sylvia E and Stacy, Maria. "Frontal volume as a potential source of the comorbidity between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and reading disorders.." Behavioural brain research 381 (Mar 2020). doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112382.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Psychology at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu)



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Behav Brain Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 March 02.

Published in final edited form as: Behav Brain Res. 2020 March 02; 381: 112382. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112382.

## **Frontal volume as a potential source of the comorbidity between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and reading disorders**

**Michelle Y. Kibby**a, **Sarah M. Dyer**a, **Sylvia E. Lee**a, **Maria Stacy**<sup>a</sup>

aSouthern Illinois University-Carbondale

## **Abstract**

Prefrontal volume reductions commonly are demonstrated in ADHD, but the literature examining prefrontal volume in reading disorders (RD) is scant despite their also having executive functioning (EF) deficits. Furthermore, only a few anatomical studies have examined the frontal lobes in comorbid RD/ADHD, though they have EF deficits similar to RD and ADHD. Hence, we examined frontal gyri volume in children with RD, ADHD, RD/ADHD and controls, as well as their relationship to EF for gyri found to differ between groups. We found right inferior frontal (RIF) volume was smaller in ADHD, and smaller volume was related to worse behavioral regulation. Left superior frontal (LSF) volume was larger in RD than ADHD, and its size was negatively related to basic reading ability. Left middle frontal (LMF) volume was largest in RD/ ADHD overall. Further, its volume was not related to basic reading nor behavioral regulation but was related to worse attentional control, suggesting some specificity in its EF relationship. When examining hypotheses on the etiology of RD/ADHD, RD/ADHD was commensurate with ADHD in RIF volume and both RD and ADHD in LSF volume (being midway between the groups), consistent with the common etiology hypothesis. Nevertheless, they also had an additional gyrus affected: LMF, consistent with the cognitive subtype hypothesis in its specificity to RD/ADHD. The few other frontal aMRI studies on RD/ADHD supported both hypotheses as well. Given this, future research should continue to focus on frontal morphology in its endeavors to find neurobiological contributors to the comorbidity between RD and ADHD.

## **Keywords**

Reading disorders; ADHD; comorbid RD/ADHD; MRI; children; frontal lobe

## **1.0 Introduction**

Reading disorder (RD) and ADHD have a 20–40% comorbidity (Boada, Willcutt, & Pennington, 2012; Shaywitz, Fletcher, & Shaywitz, 1995), which is greater than the base

Corresponding Author: Michelle Kibby, Southern Illinois University-Carbondale, Department of Psychology, LSII, Room 281, Carbondale, IL 62901-6502, mkibby@siu.edu.

**Disclosures**: All authors report no conflicts of interest.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

rate of either disorder. Unfortunately, there is a dearth of research on the neurologic contributors to this comorbidity, despite emerging literature on various genetic and environmental contributors (Gialluisi et al., 2014; Neale et al., 2008; Willcutt et al., 2010; Willcutt et al., 2014). A potential source of the comorbidity may be atypical frontal lobe structure due to the executive functioning (EF) deficits found in both RD and ADHD (Castellanos & Proal, 2012; Langer, Benjamin, Becker, & Gaab, 2019; Moura, Simões, & Pereira, 2015; Nigg, 2005). Although numerous studies have examined frontal lobe structure in ADHD, the literature on the frontal lobes in RD is more sparse. Moreover, few studies have examined the comorbidity of ADHD and RD using structural MRI, and none were found that manually traced the frontal lobes by gyrus. Hence, the primary aim of this project

was to examine frontal lobe gyrus volume as a potential source of the comorbidity between RD and ADHD, and in so doing determine whether our data are consistent with one of two competing hypotheses on the etiology of RD/ADHD: the common etiology hypothesis and the cognitive subtype hypothesis. We also sought to determine frontal-behavioral relationships for the gyri identified as being different between groups.

Within the cognitive/neuropsychological literature there are two commonly cited hypotheses as to the causes of RD/ADHD comorbidity: common etiology and cognitive subtype. The cognitive subtype hypothesis states RD/ADHD may be a unique subtype distinct from RD and ADHD (Rucklidge & Tannock, 2002). For example, poor rapid automatized naming and reaction time were shown to be worse in RD/ADHD than in RD only and ADHD only by these authors. Taking this position, one might expect a frontal region(s) to be specially affected in RD/ADHD versus RD and ADHD. Others suggest RD/ADHD is an additive combination of RD and ADHD (common etiology hypothesis; Willcutt et al., 2001). For example, all three groups- RD, ADHD, and RD/ADHD – have been shown to have processing speed deficits (McGrath et al., 2012). Taking this position, one may expect the frontal regions affected in RD and ADHD to be affected in RD/ADHD, without additional areas being affected in RD/ADHD. As noted above, we examined these positions in relation to our frontal data.

A valuable contribution of this study is its focus on the frontal gyri in 'native space'. Most prior research on RD and ADHD has assessed the frontal lobes in larger blocks when using tracing, or it used automated/semi-automated voxel-based morphometry (VBM)- or parcellation-based methods. VBM findings are affected by the choices made during preprocessing, and the method is more sensitive to motion artifacts than tracing. Furthermore, most automated/semi-automated methods require morphing the scan data into a 'common space'. Given the heterogeneity of the tertiary brain regions across individuals, including prefrontal, it is unknown what effect this morphing process has on the MRI data. Hence, we manually traced each frontal gyrus to determine which gyri are affected in children with ADHD and/or RD. No other comprehensive tracing study of this nature was found in either the RD or ADHD literature. Because the methods used may affect findings, a second aim of this study was to determine how complementary VBM and tracing methods are when analyzing largely the same sample. VBM was used on a subset of this data ( $N = 106$ ) as more MRI scans had to be omitted from it due to motion artifacts (Jagger-Rickels, Kibby, & Constance, 2018). Results will be compared between the two studies in the discussion.

## **1.1 ADHD**

Children with ADHD frequently present with executive dysfunction, likely due to poor frontal-striatal functioning (Casey, Castellanos, Giedd, & Marsh, 1997; Castellanos, 1997). While EF may be spared in some children with ADHD (Castellanos, Sonuga-Barke, Milham, & Tannock, 2006; Kibby & Cohen, 2008; Nigg, Willcutt, Doyle, & Sonuga-Barke, 2005; Willcutt, Doyle, Nigg, Faraone, & Pennington, 2005), a large body of research suggests that children with ADHD typically have impairment in at least one aspect of EF including response inhibition (especially in individuals with hyperactivity/impulsivity), WM, vigilance, and fluency (Barkley, 1997; Castellanos & Proal, 2012; Kibby, 2012; Martinussen, Hayden, Hogg-Johnson, & Tannock, 2005; Martinussen & Tannock, 2006; Nigg, 2005; Shallice et al., 2002; Tucha et al., 2005). Findings on problem-solving/setshifting as measured by the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test are divergent (Houghton et al., 1999; Pineda, 1998; Schmitz et al., 2002; Weyandt, Rice, Linterman, Mitzlaff, & Emert, 1998). Planning may be spared when measured with Tower tasks (Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Sonuga-Barke, Dalen, Daley, & Remington, 2002; Weyandt et al., 1998).

When examining frontal structures in ADHD, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPF) frequently has been shown to be atypical in size versus controls (Castellanos & Proal, 2012; De La Fuente, Xia, Branch, & Li, 2013; Kasparek, Theiner, & Filova, 2015; Makris et al., 2015; Moreno-Alcázar et al., 2016; Ranta et al., 2009; Seidman, 2006, 2011), consistent with the cognitive EF deficits found in this group. Inferior frontal cortex (IF) commonly is implicated also (de Mello et al., 2013; Depue et al., 2010; Jagger-Rickels, Kibby, & Constance, 2018; Kasparek et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2013; Pironti et al., 2014; Sowell et al., 2003; van 't Ent et al., 2007), and size of the right IF may be related to poor response inhibition/behavioral regulation (Aron & Poldrack, 2005; Depue et al., 2010). Orbitofrontal (OF; De La Fuente et al., 2013; Hesslinger et al., 2002; Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Makris et al., 2015; Seidman et al., 2011; van 't Ent et al., 2007), superior frontal (SF) (De La Fuente et al., 2013; Hill et al., 2003; Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Seidman et al., 2006; Seidman et al., 2011), middle frontal (MF) (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Villemonteix et al., 2015) and/or precentral volume (PC) (Carmona et al., 2005; Villemonteix et al., 2015) may be affected as well. When using tracing, Kibby and colleagues (Kibby, Kroese, Krebbs, Hill, & Hynd, 2009) found smaller right pars triangularis length in children with ADHD, and when the total sample was used right anterior ascending ramus length was related to attention problems. Despite frontal findings being common in single studies, only ventromedial/OF findings were supported by a meta-analysis on structural MRI findings in ADHD (Norman et al., 2016, erratum in 2019), and others did not find any frontal atypicalities in their meta-analyses (Frodl & Skokauskas, 2012, Samea et al., 2019). This may be due to single-study results varying as to whether the areas found are larger or smaller in ADHD versus controls, as well as peaks being scattered within the gyri across studies, which likely are related to age of the sample, heterogeneity of the disorder, and/or measurement (e.g., VBM, parcellation) and preprocessing methods used.

#### **1.2 Reading Disorders**

Of the various aspects of EF, WM is the best studied in RD. Deficits in WM are commonly found (Baddeley & Hitch, 1994; Booth, Boyle, & Kelly, 2010; de Jonge & de Jonge, 1996;

Kibby, 2012; Smith-Spark & Fisk, 2007; Swanson, 2006), and verbal WM likely plays a role in the reading process (Kibby, 2009a, 2009b; Kibby & Cohen, 2008; Kibby, Marks, Morgan, & Long, 2004; Swanson & Ashbaker, 2000). Other aspects of EF have not been as well studied. Based upon the limited research conducted, children with RD may have deficits in problem-solving, planning, fluency, set-shifting and organization, but behavioral regulation may be spared (Asbjørnsen, Helland, Obrzut, & Boliek, 2003; Langer et al., 2019; Moura, Simões, & Pereira, 2015; Reiter, Tucha, & Lange, 2005; Smith-Spark, Henry, Messer, Edvardsdottir, & Zi cik, 2016; Willcutt et al., 2001). Hence, their deficit(s) may be specific to cognitive EF.

Most 'whole-brain' MRI studies on RD have found the temporoparietal and/or occipitotemporal structures to be atypical in size, although findings have been disparate due to different measurement/preprocessing techniques, varying operational definitions and severities of RD, and lack of control for age, gender, handedness, SES, and/or IQ (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Pennington, 2009; Richlan, Kronbichler, & Wimmer, 2013; Williams, Juranek, Cirino, & Fletcher, 2018). Less research has been conducted on the frontal lobes specifically despite cognitive EF deficits being found in this population. Of that conducted, the pars triangularis has been implicated when using tracing (Eckert, 2003), and its size correlated with verbal WM, rapid naming, and phonological processing (Eckert, 2003; Kibby et al., 2009). When using automated/semi-automated methods, RD and control groups differ in volume of the left OF and bilateral IF, SF, MF, SMA and/or PC gyri (Brown et al., 2001; Eckert, Berninger, Vaden, Gebregziabher, & Tsu, 2016; Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Jednoróg, Gawron, Marchewka, Heim, & Grabowska, 2014; Krafnick, Flowers, Luetje, Napoliello, & Eden, 2014; Patael et al., 2018; Richlan et al., 2013; Tamboer, Scholte, & Vorst, 2015; Vinckenbosch, Robichon, & Eliez, 2005; Xia, Hoeft, Zhang, & Shu, 2016). Further, PC volume may be related to phonological processing, with left IF being related to basic reading ability and right SF to rapid naming and auditory attention shifting (Jednoróg et al., 2014). Bilateral DLPF may be related to comprehension (Patael et al., 2018). Nevertheless, in large sample studies, only areas outside of the frontal lobes have been found (Eckert et al., 2017; Jednorog et al., 2015). In addition, similar to what was found with ADHD, few regions have been replicated in meta-analyses on structural MRI studies in RD. Regions that have been found were not in the frontal lobes (Linkersdorfer et al., 2012, Richlan, Kronbichler, & Wimmer, 2013), and one study did not find any significant clusters, including non-frontal areas, when controlling for total brain/intracranial volume (Eckert et al., 2016). Reasons for the null frontal findings likely are similar to those mentioned for ADHD.

#### **1.3 Comorbid RD/ADHD**

Research on comorbid RD/ADHD frequently reveals deficits consistent with both disorders. For example, children with RD often have poor phonological processing and verbal WM, whereas children with ADHD often have poor inhibitory control; those with RD/ADHD tend to have both sets of problems (Kibby & Cohen, 2008; Moura et al., 2017; Roodenrys et al., 2001; Rucklidge & Tannock, 2002; Willcutt, Pennington, Olson, Chhabildas, & Hulslander, 2005). Moreover, children with RD/ADHD have been shown to have deficits in WM, setshifting, response inhibition, and interference control (Korkman & Pesonen, 1994; Langer et

al., 2019; Moura et al., 2017; Rucklidge & Tannock, 2002; Willcutt et al., 2010) based upon the limited EF literature available on this group. Furthermore, all three groups, RD, ADHD, and RD/ADHD, have been shown to have deficits on EF tasks when examined within the same study (Langer et al., 2019; Närhi & Ahonen, 1995), consistent with this project's aim of determining whether atypical frontal structure may be a shared contributor. Hence, much of the EF literature on RD/ADHD supports the common etiology hypothesis.

Only three studies were found that addressed the frontal lobes using structural MRI in RD/ ADHD. Kibby and colleagues (2009) demonstrated smaller right pars triangularis size in ADHD irrespective of RD status (it was comparably reduced in RD and RD/ADHD). Using VBM, Jagger-Rickels and colleagues (2018) revealed reductions in right SF, left medial frontal, and left MF gyri in RD/ADHD. Moreover, all three groups, RD, ADHD, and RD/ ADHD, had smaller right SF clusters versus controls in this study, being a potential source of shared etiology. When analyzing cortical thickness, Langer and colleagues (2019) found a reduction in left IF in RD and RD/ADHD. The RD group also had a reduction in left SF. It should be noted that the first author of the current project conducted the pars triangularis study on RD and ADHD using a different database (Kibby et al., 2009). The Jagger-Rickels and colleagues' study (2018) was conducted with a subset of this sample, as noted earlier.

#### **1.4 Purpose and Hypotheses**

Based upon the literature reviewed, poor cognitive EF may be a potential source of shared etiology between ADHD and RD, whereas poor behavioral regulation may be specific to ADHD, contributing to RD and ADHD being separable but overlapping disorders. Thus, the purpose of this study was to determine whether atypical frontal lobe volume may be a potential source of the RD/ADHD comorbidity. Consistent with this, there are multiple, bilateral prefrontal regions that deviate from controls in both RD and ADHD at the singlestudy level, as illustrated above. Through analyzing the scant research available that studied the three groups, RD, ADHD, and RD/ADHD (Jagger-Rickels et al, 2018; Kibby et al., 2009; Langer et al., 2019), it was hypothesized that right SF volume would be affected in both RD and ADHD, whereas right IF volume would be affected in ADHD and left IF volume would be affected in RD. Although Jagger-Rickels and colleagues found left medial and left MF clusters in the RD/ADHD group but not the RD nor ADHD groups, children with RD/ADHD were not expected to have additional frontal gyri affected beyond those affected in RD and in ADHD based upon the EF literature reviewed in total, as the medial and middle frontal clusters found in the Jagger-Rickels et al. study were not large. Hence, it was hypothesized that our data would be consistent with the common etiology hypothesis, finding shared areas but no unique areas to RD/ADHD, based upon the bulk of the neuropsychological literature on this topic. A related purpose of this project was to examine brain-behavioral relationships for gyri found to differ between groups.

## **2.0 Materials and Methods**

#### **2.1 Participants**

Participants included 151 children with RD (24), ADHD (63), RD/ADHD (24), and controls (40), ages 8–12 years. They represent a community sample recruited through larger, NIH-

funded projects focused upon the neurobiological contributors to ADHD and RD. Only children with MRI scans were included in this study. The four groups were comparable in age, gender, race/ethnicity, SES (maternal education), handedness, and total brain volume (TBV) ( $ps > .10$ ). They differed in nonverbal IQ [ $F_{3, 147} = 8.67$ ,  $p < .001$ ], such that the clinical groups had a lower mean IQ than controls ( $p_s < .01$ ) but were comparable to each other. Nevertheless, all groups had average intellect overall, and IQ was minimally correlated with the brain variables ( $rs < 0.2$ ,  $ps > 0.10$ ), so it was not used as a covariate. Groups differed in inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, and reading ability where expected  $(ps < .001)$ . As it is a community sample, sample severity is mild. See Table 1 for descriptive data.

#### **2.2 Clinical Diagnosis**

Children were diagnosed by a licensed, clinical-child neuropsychologist. Diagnostic criteria are more thoroughly described in previous studies using this database (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Kibby et al., 2015). In brief, ADHD was diagnosed using DSM-IV criteria, the current edition during data collection. The Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition (BASC-2) (Reynolds & Kamphaus, 2004) questionnaire, Attention Problems and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity scales, were used during the diagnostic process to determine whether symptom severity was above average and symptoms appeared across home and school settings. The ADHD group included 51% with Predominately Inattentive type and 49% with Combined type. The RD/ADHD group included 62% with Predominately Inattentive type and 38% with Combined type. This difference was not significant,  $X^2(2)$  = 1.32,  $p = .51$ . Neither group included children with Predominately Hyperactive/Impulsive type, as this subtype is rare post early childhood (Barkley, 2003).

Children were diagnosed with an RD in basic reading following the guidelines of Pennington (Pennington, 2009). He noted that whether children are classified as poor readers (reading ability below average; IQ is irrelevant provided the child is not intellectually disabled similar to the DMS-5) or identified by way of a discrepancy definition (such as that used by the DSM-IV), both groups share the core deficit in RD: poor phonological processing. Thus, both definitions have merit and should be utilized. Using both definitions aids generalization as well, as some prior research used the discrepancy definition and some used the poor reader definition. For this study, a poor reader was defined as reading a standard deviation below the mean in basic reading. For the discrepancy definition we utilized the regression formula of the State of Washington which controlled for the correlation between the achievement and IQ measures. For both definitions, the child had to be struggling with reading academically. To be diagnosed with RD, a child only had to meet one of the two definitions. Most children with RD met the criteria for both definitions, performing below average in basic reading and having an IQ/achievement discrepancy (58%). Twenty-three percent were poor readers without an IQ discrepancy, and nineteen percent met the discrepancy criteria but had basic reading standard scores at or above 85. Basic reading ability had to be below a 100 standard score to be included in the discrepancy group. Children with RD/ADHD met criteria for both disorders: RD and ADHD.

Controls did not meet criteria for either disorder. Further, all participants had to meet the following criteria. Measured intelligence had to be greater than 79. In addition, children could not have a history of significant perinatal complications (e.g., prematurity, toxemia), medical or neurological disorder that may affect cognition (e.g., prolonged high fever, traumatic brain injury), or severe environmental stressor (e.g., recent parental divorce, child abuse).

#### **2.3 Measures**

All participants within this study underwent a neuropsychological evaluation and a structural MRI scan. Various EF and reading measures were administered as part of the neuropsychological battery. Verbal working memory was assessed with the Children's Memory Scale (CMS) (Cohen, 1997) Sequences subtest. Visual Attention from the NEPSY (Korkman, Kirk, & Kemp, 1998) was used to measure selective attention, and its Off Task Behaviors raw score was used to assess more general attentional control. The Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement, Third Edition (Woodcock, 2001) was used to assess word identification (Letter-Word Identification) and decoding (Word Attack). These measures are described in the Appendix.

#### **2.4 General Procedures**

Parents provided written informed consent, and children provided written informed assent before data were collected. For their participation, parents received a free neuropsychological report on their child, and children received a free T-shirt. The study was approved by SIU's Human Subjects Committee prior to its instigation as well as throughout data collection.

#### **2.5 MRI Protocol and Procedures**

Children underwent a 3D, T1-weighted MRI scan on a Philips Intera 1.5T scanner: TE = 4.6ms,  $TR = 30$ ms, flip angle = 35;  $FOV = 256$  X 256. Images included 200 axial slices, 1.6mm thick, .8mm apart. Children's heads were stabilized during the scan to reduce motion artifact.

After aligning the scan through the three planes and segmenting gray and white matter via Analyze software, each of the following gyri were manually traced using the article by Crespo-Facorro and colleagues (Crespo-Facorro et al., 1999) as a guide: PC, SF, MF, IF, and OF. In brief, the longitudinal fissure and cingulate, central, PC, SF and IF sulci served as boundaries, and gyri were traced throughout their entirety. See Figure 1 for a sample slice. Inter- and intra-rater reliabilities were high ( $rs > .90$ ). Inter-rater reliability was attained through two individuals tracing a gyrus on 10 consecutive MRI scans (each gyrus had two individuals tracing it). Each slice was included in the correlation analysis as opposed to one total volume for the gyrus. Intra-rater used a similar procedure, but with one individual tracing the gyrus at two different times. This procedure was followed for each gyrus, and the co-authors SD, SL, and MS, were the people conducting the tracing.

#### **3.0 Results**

#### **3.1 Group differences**

A 2 (ADHD or not) by 2 (RD or not) MANCOVA was used with TBV as the covariate. The omnibus equations were not significant (RD:  $λ = .94$ ,  $F_{10, 137} = 0.91$ ,  $p = .53$ ,  $np^2 = .06$ ; ADHD:  $\lambda = .92$ ,  $F_{10, 137} = 1.26$ ,  $p = .26$ ,  $np^2 = .08$ ; RD X ADHD:  $\lambda = .92$ ,  $F_{10, 137} = 1.21$ ,  $p$  $= .29$ ,  $\eta p^2 = .08$ ). Nevertheless, sample size was small for the analysis; with the effect sizes found, 194 (based on ADHD ES) - 255 (based on RD ES) children would be needed for a power of .80. Given the large number of variables for the sample size, scant aMRI research on RD/ADHD, and minimal research on the frontal lobes comparing the three clinical groups, the univariate results are presented to guide future research. Many prior studies in this area have had similar problems due to small cell size resulting from the high cost of MRI scans. For the univariate main effects of RD and ADHD, as well as the interaction terms, see Table 2. Here it is noted that right IF is smaller in ADHD versus no-ADHD, and left SF is larger in RD versus no-RD. When reviewing the means, it also is noted that RD had the largest mean on left SF of the four groups and ADHD the smallest, which likely contributed to this variable's univariate significance. Thus, this difference was tested:  $t_{85}$  = 2.25,  $p = .03$ . In terms of the RD X ADHD interaction terms, only left MF was significant at the univariate level. See Figure 2 for a depiction of the four groups' mean left MF volumes to aid in understanding of the interaction.

#### **3.2 Frontal/Behavioral relationships**

To determine whether group differences were supported by brain-behavioral relationships in the total sample, hierarchical regression was used. Because right IF volume was smaller in ADHD at the univariate level, the BASC-2 Attention Problems and Hyperactivity scales were used to determine whether right IF volume was related to the inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity dimensions of ADHD. TBV was entered in the first step, with right IF volume being entered into the second step to predict either Attention Problems or Hyperactivity. The equation predicting Attention Problems was not significant, adjusted  $R^2$ = .01,  $F_{2,147}$  = 1.38,  $p$  = .26, R<sup>2</sup> change = .01, Beta = −.11,  $p$  = .20, but the equation predicting Hyperactivity was significant, adjusted  $R^2 = .04$ ,  $F_{2,147} = 3.69$ ,  $p = .03$ ,  $R^2$  change = .05, Beta =  $-0.23$ ,  $p = 0.007$ . This shows smaller RIF volume is related to greater hyperactivity/impulsivity levels.

As basic RD diagnosis was associated with larger left SF volume, WJ-III Letter-Word Identification (LWI) and Word Attack (WA) were used to determine whether left SF volume was related to word identification and decoding in the total sample. TBV was entered in the first step, with left SF volume being entered into the second step to predict either LWI or WA. The equation predicting LWI was significant, adjusted  $R^2 = .08$ ,  $F_{2,148} = 7.50$ ,  $p = .001$ ,  $R^2$  change = .05, Beta = -.25,  $p = .005$ . The equation predicting WA was as well, adjusted  $R^2 = .09$ ,  $F_{2,148} = 7.95$ ,  $p = .001$ ,  $R^2$  change = .05, Beta = -.26,  $p = .004$ . Because verbal WM is related to both basic reading ability and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex functioning based upon prior research and may account for their relationship, Sequences was entered into both regressions in Step 1 along with total brain volume, to determine whether it accounted for the relationship between left SF volume and basic reading ability. This was

the case. For LWI, Sequences was significant (Beta = .60,  $p < .000$ ), but left SF volume no longer was (Beta = -.09,  $p = .24$ ). For WA, Sequences was significant (Beta = .56,  $p < .000$ ), but left SF volume no longer was (Beta =  $-.11$ ,  $p = .16$ ).

Because left MF volume was significant for the RD/ADHD interaction term only (not RD or ADHD main effects), first it was verified that left MF volume was not related to basic reading ability or hyperactivity, as left SF and right IF were, respectively. Neither of these hierarchical equations controlling for total brain volume was significant,  $p_s > 0.10$ . Next Visual Attention and Visual Attention Off Task Behaviors were examined in relation to left MF volume. TBV was entered into the first block, with LMF volume being entered into the second block to predict Visual Attention or its Off Task Behaviors. Left MF volume was not related to Visual Attention (adjusted  $R^2 = -0.004$ ,  $F_{2,139} = 0.74$ ,  $p = .48$ ,  $R^2$  change = .002, Beta = -.05,  $p = .63$ ), but it was related to Off Task Behaviors, adjusted  $R^2 = .09$ ,  $F_{3,138} =$ 5.42,  $p = .001$ ,  $R^2$  change = .07, Beta = .30,  $p = .001$ . The latter equation controlled for age as well, as Off Task Behaviors is measured in raw scores. This analysis suggests larger left MF volume is associated with worse endogenous attention control. To determine whether Off Task Behaviors' relationship with left MF volume was specific, right IF and left SF volumes were examined in relation to the two Visual Attention measures using the same covariates that were used with left MF volume. None of these equations were significant,  $p_s$  $> .10.$ 

## **4.0 Discussion**

The aims of this study were to determine whether frontal morphology may be a potential contributor to the comorbidity between RD and ADHD and to examine corresponding structure-function relationships for gyri that differed between groups. It also sought to determine whether the common etiology or cognitive subtype hypothesis was a better fit with the data. The lack of significant RD X ADHD interaction terms for the two variables suggests children with RD/ADHD have volumes commensurate with ADHD and with RD in terms of right IF and left SF, respectively. Finding shared atypicalities between RD/ADHD and ADHD or RD is consistent with the common etiology hypothesis and our study's hypothesis. Finding shared frontal structure atypicalities between RD or ADHD and RD/ ADHD is commensurate with prior whole-brain research as well (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Langer et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the regions identified differed across studies, with Jagger-Rickels implicating the right SF and Langer and colleagues implicating the left IF as shared areas. In addition, we found an RD X ADHD interaction for left MF at the univariate level; this specificity to RD/ADHD is consistent with the cognitive subtype hypothesis. A rather surprising finding was that left SF was affected in RD and in ADHD but in opposite directions, with RD/ADHD having an intermediate value closer to RD. Thus, left SF may be a potential source of differentiation between RD and ADHD diagnosis. Taken together, this study replicated one area previously shown to be atypical in ADHD: right IF, along with generating two areas for future comorbidity research: left SF and left MF.

Although we did not find left IF to be affected in RD as hypothesized, right IF was smaller in ADHD at the univariate level. These findings are quite similar to what was shown when tracing the pars triangularis using a different database (Kibby et al., 2009), suggesting they

are not dependent upon sample or tracing technique. The finding of smaller right IF in ADHD also is consistent with results from different researchers using other methods (de Mello et al., 2013; Depue et al., 2010; Langer et al., 2019; Lim et al., 2013; Pironti et al., 2014; Sowell et al., 2003; van 't Ent et al., 2007). Furthermore, the current study demonstrated smaller right IF volume is related to worse behavioral regulation, consistent with prior research in this area (Aron & Poldrack, 2005; Depue et al., 2010). Hence, our findings, along with previous work, suggest right IF size is associated with a core symptom of ADHD: hyperactivity/impulsivity. Thus, the right IF region should continue to be examined when investigating neurobiological markers of ADHD.

Our finding of left SF being larger in RD than ADHD is novel. The study using VBM on this data found a cluster in the left SF that was smaller in ADHD than controls (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018), suggesting the current study's finding on ADHD is not spurious. Other studies have demonstrated smaller left SF size in ADHD as well using different methods (Makris et al., 2015; Ranta et al., 2009; Seidman, 2006; Seidman et al., 2011). In contrast, few have found left SF to be affected in RD, except Langer and colleagues (2019) who found it to be smaller when using cortical thickness. When SF is implicated in RD, it is typically right SF (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018; Jednoróg et al., 2014; Patael et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2018). Nevertheless, we found left SF volume to be negatively correlated with both aspects of basic reading ability in the current study, suggesting the RD finding is not spurious. Some suggest SF is affected in RD because of the SMA which is important for response inhibition (Langer et al., 2019). However, it could be due to the DLPF area given our regression results showing verbal WM accounted for the relationship between left SF volume and basic reading ability, as verbal WM is commonly associated with the DLPF region in functional studies (e.g., Narayanan et al., 2005; Smith, Jonides, Marshuetz, & Koeppe, 1998; Veltman, Rombouts & Dolan, 2003). Future research segmenting the SF into posterior and anterior regions is indicated to determine which region(s) is driving this finding and whether the relationship between left SF volume and basic reading ability is mediated by verbal WM. Further research is needed to determine whether the RD versus ADHD difference can be replicated as well. If so, it has important implications for the dissociation between the two disorders given the current debate as to the sources of the comorbidity versus sources of their uniqueness.

The discovery of left MF being larger in RD/ADHD overall based on the RD X ADHD interaction term is a novel finding also. In addition, larger left MF volume was related to worse attention control. This relationship was specific, in the sense that left MF was not related to basic reading ability nor behavioral regulation, like left SF and right IF were, respectively. Also, left SF and right IF were not related to attention control. Worthy of note, the Jagger-Rickels and colleagues study found a small left MF cluster in RD/ADHD but not in RD or ADHD, being unique to RD/ADHD. The opposing sizes (smaller in VBM and larger in tracing) likely are due to the methods used, as the cluster identified with VBM was quite anterior and a small part of the total gyrus, whereas tracing found the gyrus as a whole to be bigger. Taken together, these findings suggest left MF volume may be larger for some children with RD/ADHD versus those with RD or ADHD, and this morphology difference may be related to attentional control. Further, finding a gyrus that is differentially affected in RD/ADHD versus RD and ADHD supports the cognitive subtype hypothesis, as noted

above. Thus, future research should determine whether these findings can be replicated. In so doing it should separate anterior from posterior regions to determine what is driving the left MF findings.

Another interest of this study was to determine how much overlap there is between VBM and tracing in terms of their findings. Similar to what Eckert and colleagues (2005) demonstrated, we believe the two methods are complementary. We found left SF and right IF to be smaller in ADHD with both methods. Left MF was affected in RD/ADHD using both methods, but in opposite directions. Left SF was shown to be affected in RD with tracing only. With VBM it is possible to take a whole brain approach, and many more areas were identified through this method across the cerebrum in the clinical groups. In addition to the VBM findings discussed above, we found a smaller left PC cluster in RD and right MF cluster in ADHD of the frontal areas identified (Jagger-Rickels et al., 2018). Both Jagger and colleagues and Langer and colleagues (2019) found right SF to be affected in all three clinical groups being a potential source of the comorbidity between RD and ADHD, although Langer and colleagues used fMRI to show right SF atypicality. Fewer findings were revealed with tracing in the present study, but this may be related to the larger size of the areas studied (whole gyri as opposed to voxels). Tracing also may be less susceptible to potentially spurious findings given its reduced sensitivity to motion artifact and its use of native space. Hence, continued research using both methods is warranted.

A major limitation of this study is sample size. Low power affected our omnibus results for the MANCOVA. Nonetheless, this is not an uncommon limitation in MRI studies. Because of sample size we were unable to analyze subtypes of ADHD, as the RD/ADHD sample would be too small if broken down by subtype. For the same reason, we were unable to analyze subtypes or operational definitions of RD (poor reader versus discrepancy). Hence, further research is warranted in these areas. In addition, this project focused upon RD in basic reading; hence, future research is warranted focused upon children with RD in reading comprehension.

Another limitation is that we had a community sample of mild severity. Additional and/or differing results may be found if a clinic sample were used. Nonetheless, use of a community sample aids in generalization to the population of children with RD and/or ADHD at large, ages 8–12 years. Future research should compare findings using clinic versus community samples.

In conclusion, left SF volume was larger in RD than ADHD and was negatively related to basic reading ability. This relationship was accounted for by verbal WM, which is commensurate with previous research demonstrating verbal WM is related to basic reading ability (Kibby & Cohen, 2008; Kibby, 2009a,b) and the DLPF region is involved with verbal WM (Narayanan et al., 2005; Smith et al., 1998; Veltman et al., 2003). If these findings are replicated, it may help guide early diagnosis in the future. Smaller right IF size was revealed in ADHD (including RD/ADHD) and was correlated with behavioral regulation, being a potential biomarker of ADHD. Finding shared regions between RD/ADHD and ADHD or RD in this study, our VBM study, our 2009 study, and the only other aMRI study found in this area (Langer et al., 2019) is commensurate with the common etiology hypothesis of RD/

ADHD. Nevertheless, we also found larger left MF size was specific to RD/ADHD and was related to attentional control. Further, all but the 2009 study also found various areas unique to RD/ADHD, consistent with the cognitive subtype hypothesis. Hence, RD/ADHD may have both shared and unique contributors from RD and ADHD. Based upon our prior VBM study and Langer and colleagues' research, smaller right SF may be a shared deficit.

As multiple studies have supported the common etiology hypothesis, both neuroimaging and cognitive, current treatment of RD/ADHD should include both the standard treatments for RD (educational interventions) as well as the standard treatments for ADHD (behavioral treatment and psychopharmacology) (Langer et al., 2019). Moreover, treatment should be studied further to determine whether additional or modified treatments for RD/ADHD are needed because of the cognitive subtype hypothesis's support in the neuroimaging literature.

## **Acknowledgements**

Funding for data collection was provided by grants from NIH/NICHD [R03 HD048752, R15 HD065627]. The views expressed in this manuscript may not reflect NIH/NICHD's views. We would like to thank Lucinda Trusty, Sarah Vadnais, and Jacqueline Klaver who contributed to this project in its early stages.

## **Appendix**

Measures Used



#### **References**

- Anderson Vicki A., Anderson Peter, Northam Elisabeth, Jacobs Rani, & Catroppa Cathy. (2001). Development of executive functions through late childhood and adolescence in an Australian sample. Developmental Neuropsychology, 20(1), 385–406. doi:10.1207/S15326942DN2001\_5 [PubMed: 11827095]
- Aron AR, & Poldrack RA (2005). The cognitive neuroscience of response inhibition: relevance for genetic research in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry, 57(11), 1285–1292. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.10.026 [PubMed: 15950000]
- Asbjørnsen Arve E., Helland Turid, Obrzut John E., & Boliek Carol A. (2003). The Role of Dichotic Listening Performance and Tasks of Executive Functions in Reading Impairment: A Discriminant Function Analysis. CHILD NEUROPSYCHOLOGY, 9(4), 277–288. [PubMed: 14972706]
- Baddeley Alan. (2003). Working memory: looking back and looking forward. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 4(10), 829–839. doi:10.1038/nrn1201 [PubMed: 14523382]

- Baddeley Alan, Allen Richard J., & Hitch Graham J. (2010). Investigating the episodic buffer. Psychologica Belgica, 50(3–4), 223–243. doi:10.5334/pb-50-3-4-223
- Baddeley Alan, & Hitch Graham J. (1994). Developments in the concept of working memory. Neuropsychology, 8(4), 485–493. doi:10.1037/0894-4105.8.4.485
- Barkley Russell A. (1997). Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: Constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. Psychol Bull, 121(1), 65–94. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.121.1.65 [PubMed: 9000892]
- Barkley Russell A. (2003). Issues in the diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. Brain and Development, 25(2), 77–83. doi:10.1016/s0387-7604(02)00152-3 [PubMed: 12581803]
- Boada Richard, Willcutt Erik G., & Pennington Bruce F. (2012). Understanding the comorbidity between dyslexia and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Topics in Language Disorders, 32(3), 264–284. doi:10.1097/TLD.0b013e31826203ac

Bookheimer Susan Y. (2000). Methodological issues in pediatric neuroimaging. Mental Retardation & Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews, 6(3), 161–165. doi:10.1002/1098-2779(2000)6:3<161::AID-MRDD2>3.0.CO;2-W [PubMed: 10982492]

Booth Josephine N., Boyle James M. E., & Kelly Steve W. (2010). Do tasks make a difference? Accounting for heterogeneity of performance of children with reading difficulties on tasks of executive function: Findings from a meta-analysis. British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 28(1), 133–176. doi:10.1348/026151009X485432 [PubMed: 20306629]

Brown WE, Eliez S, Menon V, Rumsey JM, White CD, & Reiss AL (2001). Preliminary evidence of widespread morphological variations of the brain in dyslexia. Neurology, 56(6), 781–783. doi:10.1212/WNL.56.6.781 [PubMed: 11274316]

- Carmona S, Vilarroya O, Bielsa A, Trèmols V, Soliva JC, Rovira M, … Bulbena A (2005). Global and regional gray matter reductions in ADHD: A voxel-based morphometric study. Neuroscience Letters, 389(2), 88–93. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.07.020 [PubMed: 16129560]
- Casey BJ, Castellanos F. Xavier, Giedd Jay N., & Marsh Wendy L. (1997). Implication of right frontostriatal circuitry in response inhibition and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 36(3), 374–383. doi:10.1097/00004583-199703000-00016 [PubMed: 9055518]
- Castellanos FX, Sonuga-Barke EJ, Milham MP, & Tannock R (2006). Characterizing cognition in ADHD: beyond executive dysfunction. Trends Cogn Sci, 10(3), 117–123. doi:10.1016/ j.tics.2006.01.011 [PubMed: 16460990]
- Castellanos FX (1997). Toward a pathophysiology of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Clinical Pediatrics, 36(7), 381–393. doi:10.1177/000992289703600702 [PubMed: 9241475]
- Castellanos FX, & Proal Erika. (2012). Large-scale brain systems in ADHD: Beyond the prefrontal– striatal model. Trends Cogn Sci, 16(1), 17–26. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.11.007 [PubMed: 22169776]
- Cohen MJ (1997). Children's Memory Scale. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Cremers Henk, van Marie-José Tol, Roelofs Karin, Aleman Andre, Zitman Frans G., van Buchem Mark A., … van der Wee Nic J. A.. (2011). Extraversion Is Linked to Volume of the Orbitofrontal Cortex and Amygdala. PLoS One, 6(12), 1–6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028421
- Crespo-Facorro B, Kim JJ, Andreasen NC, O'Leary DS, Wiser AK, Bailey JM, … Magnotta VA (1999). Human frontal cortex: an MRI-based parcellation method. Neuroimage, 10(5), 500–519. [PubMed: 10547328]
- D'Esposito Mark, & Postle Bradley R. (2002). The neural basis of working memory storage, rehearsal, and control processes: Evidence from patient and functional magnetic resonance imaging studies In Squire Larry R. & Schacter Daniel L. (Eds.), Neuropsychology of memory., 3rd ed. (pp. 215– 224). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- de Jonge Peter, & de Jonge Peter F. (1996). Working memory, intelligence and reading ability in children. Personality and Individual Differences, 21(6), 1007–1020. doi:10.1016/ S0191-8869(96)00161-4

- De La Fuente Angelica, Xia Shugao, Branch Craig, & Li Xiaobo. (2013). A review of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder from the perspective of brain networks. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00192
- de Mello Claudia Berlim, Rossi Adriana Suzart Ungaretti, Cardoso Thiago da Silva Gusmão, Rivero Thiago Strahler, de Moura Luciana Monteiro, Nogueira Roberto Gomes, … Muszkat Mauro. (2013). Neuroimaging and neuropsychological analyses in a sample of children with ADHD inattentive subtype. Clinical Neuropsychiatry: Journal of Treatment Evaluation, 10(2), 45–54.
- Depue Brendan E., Burgess Gregory C., Bidwell L. Cinnamon, Willcutt Erik G., & Banich Marie T. (2010). Behavioral performance predicts grey matter reductions in the right inferior frontal gyrus in young adults with combined type ADHD. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging Section, 182(3), 231–237. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.01.012
- Eckert MA (2003). Anatomical correlates of dyslexia: frontal and cerebellar findings. Brain, 126(2), 482–494. doi:10.1093/brain/awg026 [PubMed: 12538414]
- Eckert Mark A., Berninger Virginia W., Vaden Kenneth I. Jr., Gebregziabher Mulugeta, & Tsu Loretta. (2016). Gray Matter Features of Reading Disability: A Combined Meta-Analytic and Direct Analysis Approach(1,2,3,4). Eneuro, 3(1). doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0103-15.2015
- Eckert Mark A., Leonard Christiana M., Wilke Marko, Eckert Mathew, Richards Todd, Richards Anne, & Berninger Virginia. (2005). Anatomical Signatures of Dyslexia in Children: Unique Information from Manual and Voxel Based Morphometry Brain Measures. CORTEX, 41(3), 304–315. doi:10.1016/s0010-9452(08)70268-5 [PubMed: 15871596]
- Gialluisi A, Newbury DF, Wilcutt EG, Olson RK, DeFries JC, Brandler WM, … Fisher SE (2014). Genome-wide screening for DNA variants associated with reading and language traits. Genes, Brain & Behavior, 13(7), 686–701. doi:10.1111/gbb.12158
- Gioia GA, Isquith PK, Guy SC, & Kenworthy L (2000). Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Hesslinger B, Tebartz van Elst L, Thiel T, Haegele K, Hennig J, & Ebert D (2002). Frontoorbital volume reductions in adult patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuroscience Letters, 328(3), 319. doi:10.1016/S0304-3940(02)00554-2 [PubMed: 12147334]
- Hill Dina E., Yeo Ronald A., Campbell Richard A., Hart Blaine, Vigil Janet, & Brooks William. (2003). Magnetic resonance imaging correlates of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. Neuropsychology, 17(3), 496–506. doi:10.1037/0894-4105.17.3.496 [PubMed: 12959515]
- Houghton Stephen, Douglas Graham, West John, Whiting Ken, Wall Melvyn, Langsford Shane, … Annemaree Carroll. (1999). Differential patterns of executive function in children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder according to gender and subtype. JOURNAL OF CHILD NEUROLOGY, 14(12), 801–805. doi:10.1177/088307389901401206 [PubMed: 10614567]
- Jagger-Rickels Audreyana C., Kibby Michelle Y., & Constance Jordan M. (2018). Global gray matter morphometry differences between children with reading disability, ADHD, and comorbid reading disability/ADHD. Brain & Language, 185, 54–66. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2018.08.004 [PubMed: 30189332]
- Jednoróg Katarzyna, Gawron Natalia, Marchewka Artur, Heim Stefan, & Grabowska Anna. (2014). Cognitive subtypes of dyslexia are characterized by distinct patterns of grey matter volume. Brain Structure & Function, 219(5), 1697–1707. doi:10.1007/s00429-013-0595-6 [PubMed: 23775490]
- Jurado María Beatriz, & Rosselli Mónica. (2007). The elusive nature of executive functions: A review of our current understanding. Neuropsychol Rev, 17(3), 213–233. doi:10.1007/s11065-007-9040-z [PubMed: 17786559]
- Kaplan E, Fein D, Kramer J. Delis, D., & Morris R (2004). Weschsler Intelligence Scale for Children Fourth Edition Integrated. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Kasparek Tomas, Theiner Pavel, & Filova Alena. (2015). Neurobiology of ADHD from childhood to adulthood: Findings of imaging methods. Journal of Attention Disorders, 19(11), 931–943. doi:10.1177/1087054713505322 [PubMed: 24097847]
- Kibby MY (2009a). Memory functioning in developmental dyslexia: an analysis using two clinical memory measures. Arch Clin Neuropsychol, 24(3), 245–254. doi:10.1093/arclin/acp028 [PubMed: 19549724]

- Kibby MY (2009b). There are multiple contributors to the verbal short-term memory deficit in children with developmental reading disabilities. Child Neuropsychol, 15(5), 485–506. doi:10.1080/09297040902748218 [PubMed: 19255881]
- Kibby MY (2012). Working memory functioning in developmental dyslexia and ADHD In Kalivas G & Petralia SF (Eds.), Short-Term Memory: New Research (pp. 1–36). Hauppauge, NY: Nova.
- Kibby MY, & Cohen MJ (2008). Memory functioning in children with reading disabilities and/or attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a clinical investigation of their working memory and longterm memory functioning. Child Neuropsychol, 14(6), 525–546. doi:10.1080/09297040701821752 [PubMed: 18608219]
- Kibby MY, Kroese JM, Krebbs H, Hill CE, & Hynd GW (2009). The pars triangularis in dyslexia and ADHD: A comprehensive approach. Brain Lang, 111(1), 46–54. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2009.03.001 [PubMed: 19356794]
- Kibby MY, Marks W, Morgan S, & Long CJ (2004). Specific impairment in developmental reading disabilities: A working memory approach. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 37(4), 349–363. doi:10.1177/00222194040370040601 [PubMed: 15493406]
- Kibby Michelle Y., Dyer Sarah M., Vadnais Sarah A., Jagger Audreyana C., Casher Gabriel A., & Stacy Maria. (2015). Visual processing in reading disorders and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and its contribution to basic reading ability. Front Psychol, 6. doi:10.3389/ fpsyg.2015.01635
- Kongs SK, Thompson LL, Iverson GL & Heaton RK. (2000). Wisconsin card sorting test–64 card version Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Korkman M, Kirk U, & Kemp S (1998). A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Krafnick Anthony J., Flowers D. Lynn, Luetje Megan M., Napoliello Eileen M., & Eden Guinevere F. (2014). An Investigation into the Origin of Anatomical Differences in Dyslexia. Journal of Neuroscience, 34(3), 901–908. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2092-13.2013 [PubMed: 24431448]
- Langer Nicolas, Benjamin Christopher, Becker Bryce L. C., & Gaab Nadine. (2019). Comorbidity of reading disabilities and adhd: Structural and functional brain characteristics. Hum Brain Mapp. doi:10.1002/hbm.24552
- Lehto Juhani E., Juujarvi Petri, Kooistra Libbe, & Pulkkinen Lea. (2003). Dimensions of executive functioning: Evidence from children. British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 21(1), 59. doi:10.1348/026151003321164627
- Lim Lena, Marquand Andre, Cubillo Ana A., Smith Anna B., Chantiluke Kaylita, Simmons Andrew, … Rubia Katya. (2013). Disorder-Specific Predictive Classification of Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Relative to Autism Using Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging. PLoS One, 8(5), 1–10. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063660
- Makris Nikos, Liang Lichen, Biederman Joseph, Valera Eve M., Brown Ariel B., Petty Carter, … Seidman Larry J. (2015). Toward defining the neural substrates of ADHD: A controlled structural MRI study in medication-naïve adults. Journal of Attention Disorders, 19(11), 944–953. doi:10.1177/1087054713506041 [PubMed: 24189200]
- Martinussen R, Hayden J, Hogg-Johnson S, & Tannock R (2005). A meta-analysis of working memory impairments in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry, 44(4), 377–384. doi:10.1097/01.chi.0000153228.72591.73 [PubMed: 15782085]
- Martinussen R, & Tannock R (2006). Working memory impairments in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without comorbid language learning disorders. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 28(7), 1073–1094. doi:10.1080/13803390500205700 [PubMed: 16840237]
- McDougall Siné, Hulme Charles, Ellis Andrew, & Monk Andrew. (1994). Learning to read: The role of short-term memory and phonological skills. J Exp Child Psychol, 58(1), 112–133. doi:10.1006/ jecp.1994.1028 [PubMed: 8064216]
- Miyake Akira, Friedman Naomi P., Emerson Michael J., Witzki Alexander H., & Howerter Amy. (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex 'frontal lobe' tasks: A latent variable analysis. Cognitive Psychology, 41(1), 49–100. doi:10.1006/ cogp.1999.0734 [PubMed: 10945922]

- Moreno-Alcázar Ana, Ramos-Quiroga Josep A., Radua Joaquim, Salavert José, Palomar Gloria, Bosch Rosa, … Pomarol-Clotet Edith. (2016). Brain abnormalities in adults with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder revealed by voxel-based morphometry. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging Section, 254, 41–47. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2016.06.002
- Moura Octávio, Pereira Marcelino, Alfaiate Cláudia, Fernandes Eva, Fernandes Boavida, Nogueira Susana, … Simões Mário R. (2017). Neurocognitive functioning in children with developmental dyslexia and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Multiple deficits and diagnostic accuracy. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 39(3), 296–312. doi:10.1080/13803395.2016.1225007 [PubMed: 27617883]
- Moura Octávio, Simões Mário R., & Pereira Marcelino. (2015). Executive functioning in children with developmental dyslexia. The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 28(Suppl 1), 20–41. doi:10.1080/13854046.2014.964326
- Narayanan NS, Prabhakaran V, Bunge SA, Christoff K, Fine EM, & Gabrieli JD (2005). The role of the prefrontal cortex in the maintenance of verbal working memory: an event-related FMRI analysis. Neuropsychology, 19(2), 223. [PubMed: 15769206]
- Närhi Vesa, & Ahonen Timo. (1995). Reading disability with or without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Do attentional problems make a difference? Developmental Neuropsychology, 11(3), 337–349. doi:10.1080/87565649509540624
- Neale Benjamin M., Sham Pak C., Purcell Shaun, Banaschewski Tobias, Buitelaar Jan, Franke Barbara, … Faraone Stephen V. (2008). Population differences in the International Multi-Centre ADHD Gene Project. Genetic Epidemiology, 32(2), 98–107. [PubMed: 17868146]
- Nigg JT (2005). Neuropsychologic theory and findings in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the state of the field and salient challenges for the coming decade. Biol Psychiatry, 57(11), 1424– 1435. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.011 [PubMed: 15950017]
- Nigg JT, Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, & Sonuga-Barke EJ (2005). Causal heterogeneity in attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: do we need neuropsychologically impaired subtypes? Biol Psychiatry, 57(11), 1224–1230. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.08.025 [PubMed: 15949992]
- Nyberg Lars, Marklund Petter, Persson Jonas, Cabeza Roberto, Forkstam Christian, Petersson Karl Magnus, & Ingvar Martin. (2003). Common prefrontal activations during working memory, episodic memory, and semantic memory. Neuropsychologia, 41(3), 371–377. doi:10.1016/ S0028-3932(02)00168-9 [PubMed: 12457761]
- Ozonoff Sally, & Jensen Jenise. (1999). Specific executive function profiles in three neurodevelopmental disorders. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 29(2), 171–177. doi:10.1023/A:1023052913110 [PubMed: 10382139]
- Patael Smadar Z., Farris Emily A., Black Jessica M., Hancock Roeland, Gabrieli John D. E., Cutting Laurie E., & Hoeft Fumiko. (2018). Brain basis of cognitive resilience: Prefrontal cortex predicts better reading comprehension in relation to decoding. PLoS One, 13(6), 1–22. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0198791
- Pennington Bruce F. (2009). Diagnosing learning disorders: A neuropsychological framework, 2nd ed. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Pineda David. (1998). EXECUTIVE DYSFUNCTIONS IN CHILDREN WITH ATTENTION DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER. International Journal of Neuroscience, 96(3/4), 177. doi:10.3109/00207459808986466 [PubMed: 10069618]
- Pironti Valentino Antonio, Lai Meng-Chuan, Müller Ulrich, Dodds Chris Martin, Suckling John, Bullmore Edward Thomas, & Sahakian Barbara Jacquelyn. (2014). Neuroanatomical abnormalities and cognitive impairments are shared by adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and their unaffected first-degree relatives. Biol Psychiatry, 76(8), 639–647. doi:10.1016/ j.biopsych.2013.09.025 [PubMed: 24199662]
- Ranta Marin E., Crocetti Deana, Clauss Jacqueline A., Kraut Michael A., Mostofsky Stewart H., & Kaufmann Walter E. (2009). Manual MRI parcellation of the frontal lobe. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 172(2), 147–154. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2009.01.006
- Reiter A, Tucha O, & Lange KW (2005). Executive functions in children with dyslexia. Dyslexia, 11(2), 116–131. doi:10.1002/dys.289 [PubMed: 15918370]

 Author ManuscriptAuthor Manuscript

- Reynolds CR, & Kamphaus RW (2004). Behavior Assessment System for Children, Second Edition. San Antonio, TX: AGS/Pearson.
- Richlan Fabio, Kronbichler Martin, & Wimmer Heinz. (2013). Structural abnormalities in the dyslexic brain: A meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies. Hum Brain Mapp, 34(11), 3055– 3065. doi:10.1002/hbm.22127 [PubMed: 22711189]
- Roodenrys Steven, Koloski Natasha, & Grainger Jessica. (2001). Working memory function in attention deficit hyperactivity disordered and reading disabled children. British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 19(3), 325. doi:10.1348/026151001166128
- Rucklidge Julia J., & Tannock Rosemary. (2002). Neuropsychological profiles of adolescents with ADHD: Effects of reading difficulties and gender. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 43(8), 988–1003. doi:10.1111/1469-7610.00227 [PubMed: 12455921]
- Schmitz Marcelo, Cadore Luciana, Paczko Marcelo, Kipper Letícia, Chaves Márcia, Rohde Luis A., … Knijnik Márcia. (2002). Neuropsychological performance in DSM-IV ADHD subtypes: An exploratory study with untreated adolescents. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry / La Revue canadienne de psychiatrie, 47(9), 863–869.
- Seidman LJ (2006). Neuropsychological functioning in people with ADHD across the lifespan. Clin Psychol Rev, 26(4), 466–485. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2006.01.004 [PubMed: 16473440]
- Seidman LJ, Valera EM, & Makris N (2005). Structural brain imaging of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry, 57(11), 1263–1272. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.019 [PubMed: 15949998]
- Seidman LJ, Valera EM, Makris N, Monuteaux MC, Boriel DL, Kelkar K, … Biederman J (2006). Dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex volumetric abnormalities in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder identified by magnetic resonance imaging. Biol Psychiatry, 60(10), 1071–1080. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.04.031 [PubMed: 16876137]
- Seidman LJ, Biederman Joseph, Lichen Liang, Valera Eve M., Monuteaux Michael C., Brown Ariel, … Nikos Makris. (2011). Gray matter alterations in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder identified by voxel based morphometry. Biol Psychiatry, 69(9), 857–866. doi:10.1016/ j.biopsych.2010.09.053 [PubMed: 21183160]
- Shallice Tim, Marzocchi Gian Marco, Coser Silvano, Savio Del, Maria Meuter, Renata F, & Rumiati Raffaella I. (2002). Executive Function Profile of Children With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Developmental Neuropsychology, 21(1), 43–71. doi:10.1207/S15326942DN2101\_3 [PubMed: 12058835]
- Shaywitz Bennett A., Fletcher Jack M., & Shaywitz Sally E. (1995). Defining and classifying learning disabilities and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. JOURNAL OF CHILD NEUROLOGY, 10(Suppl 1), S50–S57. doi:10.1177/08830738950100S111 [PubMed: 7751555]
- Smith EE, Jonides J, Marshuetz C, & Koeppe RA (1998). Components of verbal working memory: evidence from neuroimaging. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 95(3), 876–882.
- Smith-Spark JH, & Fisk JE (2007). Working memory functioning in developmental dyslexia. Memory, 15(1), 34–56. doi:10.1080/09658210601043384 [PubMed: 17479923]
- Smith-Spark James H., Henry Lucy A., Messer David J., Edvardsdottir Elisa, & Zi cik Adam P. (2016). Executive functions in adults with developmental dyslexia. Res Dev Disabil, 53–54, 323– 341. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2016.03.001
- Sonuga-Barke Edmund J. S. (2002). Psychological heterogeneity in AD/HD--A dual pathway model of behaviour and cognition. Behav Brain Res, 130(1–2), 29–36. doi:10.1016/S0166-4328(01)00432-6 [PubMed: 11864715]
- Sonuga-Barke Edmund J. S. (2003). The dual pathway model of AD/HD: an elaboration of neurodevelopmental characteristics. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 27(7), 593–604. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2003.08.005 [PubMed: 14624804]
- Sonuga-Barke Edmund J. S., Dalen Lindy, Daley Dave, & Remington Bob. (2002). Are Planning, Working Memory, and Inhibition Associated With Individual Differences in Preschool ADHD Symptoms? Developmental Neuropsychology, 21(3), 255–272. doi:10.1207/S15326942DN2103\_3 [PubMed: 12233938]
- Sonuga-Barke Edmund J. S., Sergeant Joseph A., Nigg Joel, & Willcutt Erik. (2008). Executive dysfunction and delay aversion in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: nosologic and diagnostic

implications. Child And Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics Of North America, 17(2), 367. doi:10.1016/j.chc.2007.11.008 [PubMed: 18295151]

- Sowell Elizabeth R., Thompson Paul M., Welcome Suzanne E., Henkenius Amy L., Toga Arthur W., & Peterson Bradley S. (2003). Cortical abnormalities in children and adolescents with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. The Lancet, 362(9397), 1699–1707. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(03)14842-8
- Swanson H. Lee. (2006). Working memory and reading disabilities: Both phonological and executive processing deficits are important In Alloway Tracy Packiam & Gathercole Susan E. (Eds.), Working memory and neurodevelopmental disorders. (pp. 59–88). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Swanson H. Lee, & Ashbaker Margaret Howell. (2000). Working memory, short-term memory, speech rate, word recognition and reading comprehension in learning disabled readers: Does the executive system have a role? Intelligence, 28(1), 1–30. doi:10.1016/S0160-2896(99)00025-2
- Tamboer Peter, Scholte H, & Harrie Vorst. (2015). Dyslexia and voxel-based morphometry: correlations between five behavioural measures of dyslexia and gray and white matter volumes. Ann Dyslexia, 65(3), 121–141. doi:10.1007/s11881-015-0102-2 [PubMed: 25908528]
- Tucha Oliver, Mecklinger Lara, Laufkötter Rainer, Kaunzinger Ivo, Paul Geraldine M., Klein Helmfried E., & Lange Klaus W. (2005). Clustering and switching on verbal and figural fluency functions in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 10(3), 231–248. doi:10.1080/13546800444000047 [PubMed: 16571461]
- van 't Ent D, Lehn H, Derks EM, Hudziak JJ, Van Strien NM, Veltman DJ, … Boomsma DI (2007). A structural MRI study in monozygotic twins concordant or discordant for attention/hyperactivity problems: Evidence for genetic and environmental heterogeneity in the developing brain. Neuroimage, 35(3), 1004–1020. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.01.037 [PubMed: 17346990]
- Veltman DJ, Rombouts SA, & Dolan RJ (2003). Maintenance versus manipulation in verbal working memory revisited: an fMRI study. Neuroimage, 18(2), 247–256. [PubMed: 12595179]
- Villemonteix Thomas, Brito De, Stéphane A, Kavec Martin, Balériaux Danielle, Metens Thierry, Slama Hichem, … Massat Isabelle. (2015). Grey matter volumes in treatment naïve vs. chronically treated children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a combined approach. European Neuropsychopharmacology, 25(8), 1118–1127. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.04.015 [PubMed: 25934396]
- Vinckenbosch E, Robichon F, & Eliez S (2005). Gray matter alteration in dyslexia: converging evidence from volumetric and voxel-by-voxel MRI analyses. Neuropsychologia, 43(3), 324–331. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2004.06.023 [PubMed: 15707610]
- Wager Tor D., & Smith Edward E. (2003). Neuroimaging studies of working memory: A metaanalysis. Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience, 3(4), 255–274. doi:10.3758/ CABN.3.4.255
- Weyandt Lisa L., Rice John A., Linterman Ian, Mitzlaff Linda, & Emert Erik. (1998). Neuropsychological performance of a sample of adults with ADHD, Developmental Reading Disorder, and controls. Developmental Neuropsychology, 14(4), 643–656. doi:10.1080/87565649809540734
- Willcutt EG, Betjemann RS, McGrath LM, Chhabildas NA, Olson RK, DeFries JC, & Pennington BF (2010). Etiology and neuropsychology of comorbidity between RD and ADHD: the case for multiple-deficit models. CORTEX, 46(10), 1345–1361. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2010.06.009 [PubMed: 20828676]
- Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Nigg JT, Faraone SV, & Pennington BF (2005). Validity of the executive function theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytic review. Biol Psychiatry, 57(11), 1336–1346. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.006 [PubMed: 15950006]
- Willcutt EG, Chhabildas Nomita, Kinnear Mikaela, DeFries John, Olson Richard, Leopold Daniel, … Pennington Bruce. (2014). The Internal and External Validity of Sluggish Cognitive Tempo and its Relation with DSM-IV ADHD. J Abnorm Child Psychol, 42(1), 21–35. doi:10.1007/ s10802-013-9800-6 [PubMed: 24122408]
- Willcutt EG, Pennington Bruce F., Boada Richard, Ogline Jamie S., Tunick Rachel A., Chhabildas Nomita A., & Olson Richard K. (2001). A comparison of the cognitive deficits in reading

disability and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Abnorm Psychol, 110(1), 157–172. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.110.1.157 [PubMed: 11261391]

- Willcutt EG, Pennington Bruce F., Olson Richard K., Chhabildas Nomita, & Hulslander Jacqueline. (2005). Neuropsychological analyses of comorbidity between reading disability and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: in search of the common deficit. Developmental Neuropsychology, 27(1), 35–78. [PubMed: 15737942]
- Williams Victoria J., Juranek Jenifer, Cirino Paul, & Fletcher Jack M. (2018). Cortical thickness and local gyrification in children with developmental dyslexia. Cereb Cortex, 28(3), 963–973. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhx001 [PubMed: 28108497]
- Woodcock RW, McGrew KS, Mather N, & Schrank F (2001). Woodcock-Johnson-III Tests of Achievement (3rd ed.). Rolling Meadows, IL: Riverside Publishing.
- Xia Zhichao, Hoeft Fumiko, Zhang Linjun, & Shu Hua. (2016). Neuroanatomical anomalies of dyslexia: Disambiguating the effects of disorder, performance, and maturation. Neuropsychologia, 81, 68–78. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.12.003 [PubMed: 26679527]



#### **Figure 1.**

Depiction of manual tracing of the frontal lobe

RSF = Right Superior Frontal; LSF = Left Superior Frontal; RMF = Right Middle Frontal; LMF = Left Middle Frontal; RIF = Right Inferior Frontal; LIF = Left Inferior Frontal; ROF

= Right Orbital Frontal; LOF= Left Orbital Frontal; WM = White Matter

#### Mean Left Middle Frontal Volume by Group



#### **Figure 2.**

Means of left middle frontal gyrus volume are represented by dots, with the 95% confidence interval being represented by the error bars. Means are in  $mm<sup>3</sup>$ .

**Table 1**

Descriptive Data on Participants Descriptive Data on Participants



ANCE A CONTROLLATION CONTROLLATION CONTROLLATION (CONTROLLATION SUPPORTED A SUPPORTED AND A SUPPORTED A SUPPORT OF THE EXAMPLE OF THE Edinburgh was us report Attention Problems scale; Hyperactivity/Imp. was measured with the BASC-2 Parent report Hyperactivity/Impulsivity Scale. The Edinburgh was used to measure handedness in percent right handed. with the BASC-2 Parent Maternal Education was measured using a modified Hollingshead scale, 5 = some college.

a group differs from controls at  $p < .001$  .

Behav Brain Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 March 02.

b group differs from ADHD at  $p < .001$  . c

 group differs from RD at  $p < .001$  .

d group differs from RD at  $p < .01$  **Table 2**

Descriptive Data on Frontal Volumes (mm<sup>3</sup>) and Univariate Findings

Descriptive Data on Frontal Volumes (mm<sup>3</sup>) and Univariate Findings





Author Manuscript

**Author Manuscript** 

