Southern Illinois University Carbondale **OpenSIUC**

Research Papers Graduate School

12-2013

Bulldozed: In the Shadow of Progress

Dong Yu mcmagrad@siu.edu

Dong Yu
Southern Illinois University Carbondale, idocumentary@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/gs_rp

Recommended Citation

Yu, Dong and Yu, Dong, "Bulldozed: In the Shadow of Progress" (2013). Research Papers. Paper 476. $http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/gs_rp/476$

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Papers by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

BULLDOZED: IN THE SHADOW OF PROGRESS

Ву

Dong Yu

B.A., Communication University of China, 1995

A Research Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts

College of Mass Communication and Media Arts in the Graduate School Southern Illinois University Carbondale December 2013 Copyright by Dong Yu, 2013 All Rights Reserved

RESEARCH PAPER APPROVAL

BULLDOZED: IN THE SHADOW OF PROGRESS

Ву

Dong Yu

A Research Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Arts

in the field of Media Theory and Research

Approved by:

Janice Thompson, Chair

Graduate School Southern Illinois University Carbondale October 28, 2013 AN ABSTRACT OF THE RESEARCH PAPER OF

Dong Yu, for the Master of Arts degree in Media Theory and Research, presented on

October 28th, 2013, at Southern Illinois University Carbondale.

TITLE: BULLDOZED: IN THE SHADOW OF PROGRESS

MAJOR PROFESSOR: Janice Thompson

China has been the fast growing economy in the human history. Since China

initiated its "Open Door Policy" at the end of 1979, it has been in the fast lane regarding

economic development. Tens of thousands of people have started their own business.

For much of the population, especially in big cities, living standards have been improved

dramatically. Besides the basic necessities, people started to own their own housing

properties. It was also the first time that private property trades were allowed since the

Communism Party took power in 1949.

During the past three decades of reform, many cities have expanded under

large-scale reconstruction. New residential communities, shopping malls, office

buildings and financial centers are replacing the humble stone houses, courtyards and

alleys that characterized old China. In order to acquire land for the new construction

sites, it would often involve evicting people from their homes, demolishing old buildings

and farmhouses, and occupying farmland. All over China people's rights are being

violated during the process of demolition and eviction. The conflicts between the

developer/evictor and the resident/evictee have been intensified due to the lack of due

process, fairness and justice in the procedures. This has led to a raised social anxiety.

In hopes of easing social instability, on March 16, 2007, The China National

People's Congress passed a controversial law to protect private property rights. It was

i

the first law that gives the owner the right to possess, utilize, dispose of and obtain profits from the real property. This landmark law went into effect on October 1st, 2007.

The documentary followed a group of lawyers on their trips to help villagers from Hexi, in ShanDong Provence, to fight for justice. These villagers were facing forced demolition and eviction. The villagers think that the demolition did not follow the right process--through pure arbitrary government behavior, they did not get their fair compensation. It is not a single instance. Illegal demolition occurs so frequently across the country, it has become a serious problem in China.

Western media has portrayed China's glamorous side in its skyrocketing growth and development; however, few have known or noticed the hidden facts, shattered lives, and bitter stories untold in the shadow of these skyscrapers. *Bulldozed: in the Shadow of Progress* reveals that not only people's houses are being demolished, but their rights are also being violated.

This documentary is structured in two parallels. One aspect shows the unlawful demolition and illegal eviction that happened at Hexi Village in Shandong Province. The villagers were unfairly treated during the process of demolition and eviction and experienced extreme frustration. The other aspect tells the story of new generation of lawyers and people who risked their lives fighting for justice. As the story unfolds it reveals a flawed legal system--dysfunctional in protecting people's rights.

During a demolition procedure in the film, when an agreement was not reached between the development company (evictor) and the villagers (evictees), the villagers were harassed by thugs hired by developer and were forced to leave their home. They had no channels to voice their frustration or to seek justice.

The experience of this village was not an isolated incident, but a common scenario encountered by tens of millions of Chinese people. As shown in the film, the process of demolition and eviction is arbitrary and lacks due process. Those villagers relied on the land to make a living, but were not treated fairly regarding compensation, job assistance and settlement for relocation.

The villagers tried every possible way to protect their rights: they organized themselves, elected their representatives, patrolled the village during the night to fight against thugs, made efforts to appeal to both local and higher authorities, pursued help from professional lawyers, and filed their complaints at the court. However, the cases were not accepted—even after numerous attempts. As a result, no resolution was reached. Villagers were frustrated by the fact that they are considered the "underprivileged party" and thus lack protection by law. Some of the victims lost their hope to live, and even went to the extreme of attempting suicide.

On the other hand, the documentary demonstrates the courage, strength and perseverance of three lawyers who fight with those villagers for their rights. They are willing to work on these villagers' cases in spite of frustration and dilemma. The lawyers defending citizens whose rights are being violated by the government are called "Public Interest Lawyers." They often face extreme obstacles in defending their clients, often being harassed by thugs, and detained without legal documents—sometimes even putting their own lives at risk.

In China, lawyers are managed under the authority of the Ministry of Justice. To practice law, lawyers need to have their certifications renewed annually from bar associations. Bar associations remain under the control of judicial authorities, which are

subject ultimately to the Communist Party. The renewal process has been used as a means to subvert the most outspoken lawyers. The government perceives lawyers who work on politically sensitive cases as either a threat to social stability or a potential embarrassment to the Party rule. Any case that mentions government's wrongdoings, or disputes against government policy could be considered a politically sensitive case. Many of those out-spoken lawyers are often harassed by plain-clothed policemen, monitored by secret service, even detained and arrested without legal documents. All three lawyers in this film are under surveillance by the Chinese national security bureau for these very reasons.

The story of forced demolition that took place in Hexi is served as a vehicle to reveal how the legal system in China is operated under the government, the problem of lack of independence of judicial branch, the frustration and ill-treatment people face in fighting for their rights, the strong will and desire people hold for justice, the courage and the perseverance people demonstrate in search of a better future.

The fearlessness of the lawyers and villagers inspired me to work on this project. I believe this documentary is a powerful tool for influencing people. I hope this documentary shows the disappointing reality of law enforcement, spreads the idea of rule of law and calls for greater urgency in reforming legal system in China. Meaningful reform to the legal system in China has lagged behind China's economic reform, which began more than three decades ago.

"China's GDP growth figure is an impenetrable black box. There's no way you can actually get behind the numbers and figure out where they came from." - Economist Patrick Chovanec.

"China's GDP data is supposed to measure economic activity. So if you build an empty airport, that shows up as an increase in economic activity, but it's not really an increase in wealth if nobody uses the airport." -Beijing-based economist Michael Pettis

In 1999, land sales made up just 9% of revenue for local governments. That figure skyrocketed to 64% in 2011.

Now that China's economic growth is slowing down, the central government has ambitious plans to move a quarter of a billion rural Chinese into the cities over the next decade. There is more pressure than ever on local government officials to take people's land by any means necessary.

I hope this documentary will bring awareness to the matter and make a difference.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Above all, my thanks go to the three lawyers, Biao Teng, Shubin Li, Haibo Wei and the villagers in Hexi who allowed me to film their stories.

I want to thank my shooting crew for their great courage and hard work during such a difficult shooting environment.

I would like to thank my advisor Janice Thompson for her continuous support and mentoring, and helping me form the project and encouraging me to reach the finish line.

I want to thank Dr. Tom Johnson, the former Dean at Graduate school of Mass Communication in Southern Illinois University, for my admission to the program.

I also want to thank Dr. Steve Franz(Indiana University) for editing my project write-up and narration.

My thanks also go to Scarlett Wu and Peter Luster who assisted me in translating the project.

I cannot say enough thank you to my dear friend Seth Tsui, who composed the music.

Finally, I want to thank my husband Tiebin Feng for his unconditional support and understanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VI
LIST OF TABLES	VII
CHAPTERS	
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	12
I. Overview	12
II. Historical Review of Democratic Movements in China	17
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	36
CHAPTER 4: FINAL REPORT	41
REFERENCES	46
APPENDICES	47
APPENDIX A. TREATMENT	47
APPENDIX B. BUDGET	51
APPENDIX C. SCRIPT	52
APPENDIX D. ORIGINAL STORY PROPOSAL	95
VITA	103

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Table 1	51
Table 2	52

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

A fast growing economy has brought China much prosperity. Many cities are constructing new buildings, shopping districts, and offices. The cities have expanded to the suburbs as new development and construction are carried out. Acquiring land for new construction sites often involves tearing down old houses and relocating residents to new locations. In general, most people welcome demolition and eviction, since they are offered to move to better homes most of the time. However, dramatic increase in land prices due to new development and construction has caused a sharp dispute between evictors and evictees regarding compensation, job assistance, and compensation settlement. Often, the evictors are state or private companies that have strong ties to the government. The evictors use the strong ties to get around the process of obtaining demolition permits. To make matters worse, the evictees are ordinary people--"the underprivileged group" who suffer a lack of due process, as well as no legal channel to register their complaints. The legal system is under the control of the Communist Party and government, and if a case is against the interest of the government, the legal system often fails ordinary people's cases.

This production portrays events that happened to several families and individuals in Hexi Village of City of Qingdao during demolition and eviction. Hexi Village is a village surrounded by Qingdao City. It is under jurisdiction of Sifang District of Qingdao. Hexi Village was founded around 500 years ago. The majorities of the families in the village are related—sharing the same ancestry--and share the same family name—Yuan. The

village currently has over 900 households, most of which are farmers who grow vegetables for a living.

Beijing was awarded to host the 2008 Olympics Games; as result, Qingdao hosted the sailing competition of the 2008 Olympics Games. To serve the Olympics Games, the government of Qingdao started large-scale reconstruction projects. Hexi Village was one of the major reconstruction projects in Qingdao.

The film begins by informing the audience about the fast growing economy in China, which brought huge economic changes to the people and the society. Following the opening, the film depicts a scene where a bulldozer is demolishing a house. The scene was out of the ordinary: hundreds of people in the scene; many of whom are policemen, and security guards. Heavy machinery, fire trucks, police cars are present as well. Interviews were given to the following characters in the story:

Yuan Bendu: resident of Hexi Village, Representative of families who remained in the village, whose home was demolished.

Yuan Benjun: resident of Hexi Village, Representative of families that remained in the village.

Yuan Deliang & Zhang Meiying: resident of Hexi Village. The couple was arrested for protecting their home by fighting against the eviction company and the police.

Yuan Xinyu & Zheng Fangwu: resident of Hexi Village. The couple was arrested for trying to protect their home. The wife also attempted to commit suicide.

Yuan Yousheng: resident of Hexi Village, Representative of the families that remained in the village.

Teng Biao: Law Professor of China University of Political Science and Law

Wen Haibo: Lawyer

Li Subin: Lawyer

The villagers believed that the forced demolition and eviction carried out by Hexi Industry Company was illegal. In order to be qualified for legal demolition and eviction, the evictor has to follow government regulations, such as a demolition and eviction permit, land usage permit, and financial statement. Although the villagers kept asking to see the permits, Hexi Industrial Company never produced the permits to the villagers. Because these permits were never produced, the villagers believe the demolition and evictions were illegal.

In addition, the evictor needs to negotiate compensation with the evictees. Both sides need to sign agreement regarding the compensation. The fast growing economy caused a dramatic increase in land prices. The villagers believed that they were not being compensated fairly for their land and properties. When carrying out demolition and evictions, the law requires the evictor to put funds in banks to guarantee compensation for the evictees. Most of the villagers are farmers who rely on their land to make living. Once their land is taken away, they face a very real hardship in trying to provide for a living, if they are not offered jobs or adequately compensated.

Another frustration the villagers have is that there is no channel to voice their concerns regarding the demolition and eviction processes. According to regulations, an evictor can apply for permission from the government to proceed with forced evictions if an evictor cannot reach an agreement with villagers. This is exactly what Hexi Industrial Company did. However, villagers have no recourse for preventing forced eviction.

Although the villagers tried to file a lawsuit against the forced demolition, neither the Sifang District Court nor Qingdao City Court accepted their cases.

In order to fight for their rights, villagers elected five representatives. On March 17, 2005, they began to make appeals to local authorities, and even higher authorities in Beijing. However, no resolution was reached--at any level. This film employs interviews with Yuan Bendu, Yuan Benjun, Yuan Deliang, and other villagers to reveal the difficulties the villagers encountered during the demolition and eviction processes. Evicted residents tried to seek justice in the local courts, but the courts refused to hear their cases.

The stories of two arrested couples demonstrated the intense hardship the villagers faced. Yuan Deliang and his wife Zhang Meiying were arrested when they tried to protect their house from forced demolition. After they were taken into custody, the eviction company tore down their house. When their two daughters came home after school, they saw their house was destroyed. The couple was charged with obstruction of public administration.

Another couple, Yuan Xinyu and Zheng Fangwu, was arrested as well. After losing the will to live, Yuan Xinyu, the wife, tried to commit suicide by setting herself in fire. The couple was charged with arson and obstruction of public administration.

This documentary also follows the three lawyers who helped villagers in defending their rights. Public interest lawyers in China face huge obstacles in defending citizens whose rights have been violated by the government. In China, lawyers are under the authority of Ministry of Justice, which oversees lawyers' practice. Bar associations remain under the control of judicial authorities, which are subject ultimately

to the Communist Party. The government perceives lawyers who work on political sensitive cases as threat or potential embarrassment, and has used politically motivated prosecutions to target many of the most outspoken advocates for public good. Any case that mentions wrongdoing by the government, or dispute against government policy could by considered a politically sensitive case. Only a handful of lawyers are willing to take on forced eviction cases because of the threat to themselves and even their families.

The eviction that happened at Sifang is just one example of demolitions and evictions happening in China. The documentary not only reveals that the Chinese legal system needs functional independence, but also portrays those three lawyers as fighters for ordinary people. The public interest lawyers Teng Biao, Li Subin, and Wen Haibo in this production, advocate obtaining substantive results through rational application of the law.

Teng Biao, a professional lawyer and a law professor at China University of Political Science and Law, is one of China's most famous lawyers and advocates "rule of law" in China. He graduated from prestigious Peking University with Ph.D. of Law. He is also a director and researcher of the NGO Open Constitutional Initiative (OCI) based in Beijing. OCI focuses on freedom of expression, religious freedom, torture and the death penalty in China. He became famous by taking many sensitive cases as a "rights protection" lawyer. Mr.Teng was recognized as one of the "Top Ten Figures in the Legal System" for 2003 by the Ministry of Justice and China Central TV for his noteworthy contributions in practicing law in China. The same year, he was awarded the Gleitsman Award for Achievement by the Gleitsman Foundation. Also in 2003, Teng Biao, with two

other lawyers, filed a petition to the national legislature seeking to enforce guaranteed personal rights, thereby paying homage to the "rule of law" for all. In 2005, Asia Newsweek recognized Dr. Teng as one of China's top fourteen human rights lawyers and as one of its "Persons of the Year in Asia."

In 2008, Mr. Teng defended the Tibetans who were charge in the March 2008 protests. As a result, authorities refused to renew Teng Biao's lawyer's license later in 2008. He was arrested and released by the government after two days of detention. The National Endowment for Democracy (NED) recognized Teng Biao with the 2008 Democracy Award, for his fearless efforts in promoting advance democratic values and fundamental rights within China. However, he was unable to attend the ceremony because the police confiscated his passport.

Mr. Teng, and other "rights protection" lawyers, represent a new breed of activists in China, who have abandoned the radical approach adopted by the students of the Tiananmen democratic movement of 1989, and have realized political democracy could be achieved only after progress of economic development, personal freedom, and social justice have been improved. In order to obtain the substantive results, they avoid the confrontations used by previous generations of dissidents, and tactically stay within the limits set by the government as they carefully push for reform; they avoid declaring themselves a party or an independent organization, instead they petition the government as concerned individuals asking for "the rule of law."

In the course of portraying pictures of ordinary villagers and a group of lawyers, the documentary presents a broad context of the political, economic and cultural atmosphere of China under a fast growing economy. The documentary reveals the

economic, political, and ideological impacts on China and the Chinese people brought by the economic overhauls of the last thirty years.

Today, China is a fast moving story; there are so many different realities playing simultaneously. The dynamics caused many unforeseen situations to the economy, as well as to the political system. It is hard to make exact predictions or a roadmap for the political future in China. By focusing on what happened in Hexi Village, and the efforts made to reach fairness, the documentary not only reflects the efforts and dilemmas while pushing the government for political reform, but also reveals the subtle role the government played throughout this event. Forced demolition and evictions have been widely reported by websites, blogs, and main stream media, even People's Daily, the voice of the Party. As it has happened during previous political campaigns and democratic movements, there were intervals when the leash on the media was loosened and tightened.

The documentary also provides insight into the legal system in China. The legal system is not transparent in China and has not been revealed much for Western media. Through the eyes of law professionals, such as Mr. Teng and his colleagues, the documentary explores what role public interest lawyers could play in the reform era.

I have studied hundreds of documentaries. The following are few: New York: A Documentary Film by Ric Burns, When the Mountains Tremble by Pamela Yates, The Hunting of the President by Harry Thomason. Some of them are related to China: Gate of Heavenly Peace by Richard Gordon and Carma Hilton, Morning Sun by Carma Hinton and Richard Gordon, and The Tank Man by Antony Thomas. All of them are rich in character, clarity and complexity; and each one is distinguished by its unique style,

rhythm, and structure; most significantly, their successes lay in thorough research and comprehensive understanding of their subjects.

Behavior is affected by one's environment. Thoughts and ideas are influenced and cultivated by past habits. I focused my research on four major democratic movements that happened in China since the Communist Party came to power in 1949. The paper thoroughly reviews the four major democratic movements, The Hundred Flowers Blooming Campaign of 1956, the Democracy Wall Movement of 1978, the April 5th Tiananmen Incident of 1976, and most recently the June 4th Tiananmen Incident of 1989, while tracing the historical background with emphases on the political and social circumstances that led up to each event. Delving into the history of the democratic movements that happened during the second half of last century, looking at the aftermaths of government suppression and the impacts on the Chinese people and society as a whole, I found they provide context and reveals trends for my study.

I believe that filmmaking is about principle and creativity. Due to the nature of the subject, the documentary will deploy a combined approach of analysis and observation. I do not limit myself to any particular style. I will utilize any style as long as the format is proper to the subject and will serve the need of artistic expression.

I intend to have the documentary reach both American and Chinese audiences. I would like to bring the awareness to the American audience to support the ones who are suffering by the system, and to inform the Chinese audience regarding their right to use the law to enact changes.

The documentary intends to provide a multi-perspective response to these questions.

- What kind of realities are people facing every day?
- What kind of dilemmas are they facing under the current political system?
- To what extend have their efforts been affected? What are ordinary citizen's attitudes towards their action?
 - Has there been democratic progress after thirty year economic overhauls?
 - Are Chinese people optimistic or pessimistic about their future?

Through the story happened to the villagers of Hexi Village, and the efforts made by a group of public interest lawyers, the hope is that this documentary will raise the public's awareness of a new generation of activists who devote themselves to improve of democracy and the rule of the law in China.

In the film, I followed the lawyers to Hexi Village. They worked on sensitive cases—helping their clients fight against the government. If a lawyer brings a law suit against the government, he will face great difficulties: he most likely will be under surveillance of the police, which means he may be arrested anytime if the government thinks he has crossed the line; his employer will not support him, and even discourage him not to take such cases to avoid possible trouble for the employer; he also faces the risk of not be able to get the annual renewal of his lawyer's license.

The Chinese legal system does not function the same way as Western legal systems. The Communist Party has absolute control of the legal system, which means the legal system in China is an extension of the Party's control. Checks and balances do not exist. When the government has a certain need, the court system will serve that need. In the documentary, when the villagers at Hexi tried to file law suit against the forced demolition and eviction, the local district court and city middle court refused to

accept their cases. The villagers had to elect representatives to go to Beijing for petitioning and find lawyers in Beijing to help them. The two families that tried to protect their properties were arrested, and were immediately prosecuted.

Through China's Open door policy, China has shown the world its attractiveness, and that has drawn investments from around the world. The result has fostered China's economic development. In the past three decades, China's GDP has grown over tenfold. And China's economy has integrated with the world economy. However, the reforming of China's legal system has not kept pace with the speed of China's economic development. Good legal protection for private property is a safeguard to people who are creating more and more prosperity.

Call for political system reform is the foundation for improving the legal system.

Improving and reforming the Chinese legal system will greatly improve human rights in China.

Nevertheless, the Chinese are making progresses. In the 1970's, Chinese people barely had a channel to voice their concerns; in the 80's, several democratic movements tried to make changes to China's political system, all ran into dead ends. The most significant event was the June 4 Tiananmen event; in the 90's, Chinese started to rethink; now pro-democratic groups in China try to use the existing legal system to protect themselves, and make improvements in both the political and legal systems.

China role in the world has become increasingly more important. The stability of China has a strong impact on the world's economical and political situation. This film is

of public interest, not only for the people who live in China, but also for people who have an interest in China's economical and human rights development.

I plan to setup open houses at showings of my production to public audiences.

Public flyers will be distributed to various locations where bulletin boards are available.

Q & A session will be available for the audiences afterwards.

In addition to open house showings, I plan to summit my production to film festivals.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

I. Overview

Visiting China today, one will find cities are booming, especially big cities such as Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and others in the coastal area. Shiny new skyscrapers are springing up like mushrooms after a spring rain; global chain stores, fast food restaurants, such as McDonald's, Pizza Hut, Starbucks, and Wal-Mart are seen along side homegrown mom and pap stores; luxury cars navigate through bicycles and pedestrians; signs of international corporations, commercial advertisement, and public affair billboards decorate every corner of the vast cities; the streets of the cities buzz with energy; cars, buses, motorcycles and bicycles weave together; venders, passersby, and idlers crowd the sidewalks.

Indeed, China's has been the fastest growing economy in the world. In 2006, China's GDP (Gross Domestic Product) was 2.68 trillion and stood as the fourth largest economy in the world after the United States, Japan, and Germany, according to China Statistics Bureau (Xu, 2007).

In the past three decades, China's economy has changed from a centrally planned economy that was largely closed to international trade to a more market-oriented economy that is a major player in the global market. The restructuring of the economy and resulting efficiency gains have contributed to a more than tenfold increase in GDP since Deng Xiaoping's reform in 1978.

Although the aftermath of the bloody crackdown of the Tiananmen Democracy

Movement on June 4th 1989 caused some interruption in economic development, China

has still maintained an annual output growth at a dazzling rate of 8 to 9 percentage for the past two decades and double-digit growth for the last four years. The actual GDP growth in 2006 is over 10 percent, the fastest speed in the past eleven years (Xu, 2007).

For much of the population, living standards have improved dramatically. People now enjoy more abundance of material wealth than ever before. It is hard for younger generations even to image what life was like during those years when the food supply was rationed to each household During those time, only a meager amount of food was given: half kilogram of sugar, 1 kilogram of pork, 1 half kilogram of eggs per person per month, and there were no other sources of food available on the market. Nowadays people can get pretty much anything they want, from daily necessities to luxury goods, for example: Rolex watches, brand name clothes, the newest models of cell phones and luxury cars. Some predict that China will be the largest market for luxury products in decades to come.

However, by taking a closer look at China's economic miracle, it is not as optimistic as it may appear. Measured on purchasing power parity (PPP) basis, China in 2006 stood as the second-largest economy in the world after the US; but in per capita terms, the country is still within the lower middle-income category despite reaching the record high of 1,000 US dollars in 2004; China ranks at 110th place in the world (CIA, 2006).

The economic growth comes at a cost of leaving the interior provinces and the majority of population behind. Under Deng Xiaoping's policy of "letting some people get rich first," which has been implemented since the reforms started in late 1970s,

economic development has generally been more rapid in coastal provinces than in the interior. There are large disparities in per capita income between coastal and interior regions. Despite China's gleaming new cities, there are 750 million people, more than half of the population, still living in the countryside, 130 million people fall below the international poverty lines (CIA, 2006).

Those left behind, mostly, are farmers who have been left barren and suffered most under both the centrally planned economic system, and market economic reform. Further, the beneficiaries of Deng's policy very often are those well connected with the Party, and the Party officials themselves who are in charge at all levels.

Although to some degree the mix of old and new influences the lives of all Chinese people, the effects vary greatly in different regions. Inequalities between cities and countryside, between coastal and inland regions, either inherited from Mao's revolutionary period or the result of Deng's reform era, have increased dramatically. But of far greater significance are the huge social and economic gaps between rich and poor, between rulers and those ruled. The economic inequalities are transforming into a disaster and raising society unrest.

Compared with economic reform, China's political reform is lagging far behind. Since the crackdown of the Tiananmen Democracy Movement on June 4th 1989, political controls remain tight despite the popular pressures for reform. The aftermath of the suppression of the movement in 1989 inevitably set back the prospects of democratization. The irony was that the Party decried the Movement as a failure of political liberalization rather than dictatorship, using it as yet another excuse to postpone the political reform.

China's paramount leader, Deng Xiaoping, and his successors, Jiang Zemin, who was appointed during the June 4th event, continued to foster and encourage economic development, while leaving China's political structure intact. The notion that free market economic development always leads to democracy is rejected by the Party, instead, the scheme of getting rich without thinking about democracy and human rights is being widely implanted to the public. China has been described as geographically too large for democracy; China's population too large for democracy; Chinese people too poorly educated for democracy (CIA, 2006).

The current President, Hu Jintao, appointed at the 16th Party Congress in 2002, promoted building a harmonious socialist society at the 2006 annual meeting of the Party's Central Committee. A move some analysts said was one of the most decisive shifts in the Party's thinking since Deng Xiaoping accelerated the push for high growth rates in the early 1990's (Kahn, 2006).

The central government declared that a range of social problems affecting social harmony, including the gap between rich and poor, corruption, pollution and access to education and medical care, must be placed on a par with economic growth in party theory and government policy (Kahn, 2006).

The Party has been struggling to find ways to keep its vast bureaucracy and increasingly unruly businesses in line. One of its greatest challenges lies in bringing to heel of the very officials it relies on to implement its orders. Lacking of a detailed strategy to implement the policy, the commitment, like other pervious ones advocated by the Party, would only look good on paper. Leaving the current political system, one

Party rule and the Party above the law, untouched, the Party itself would be the intractable obstacle to achieving its stated aim of defusing social tensions.

Tom Friedman, the author of The World Is Flat, described how he sees China in regard of political reform during his interview with the Science Friday pad cast, "China is like a six line super highway. Cars are going to eighty miles per hour, roads are perfect, the street lights all work, but often in the distance of era, there is a speed bump in the road, and the speed bump is called political reform. When 1.3 billion people going on an eighty mile per hour hit a speed bump, one of two things happens. One is the car jumps up into the air, slumps down; everyone looks around, are you OK? Are you OK? OK, and drives on. The other thing happens is the car hits the bump, jumps into the air, and all the wheels falls off. And we don't know what is going to happen to China in that regard."

II. Historical Review of Democratic Movements in China

- a. Free speech was tolerated twice for brief periods during Mao's ideological remolding era and Deng's economical reform era.
 - 1. The Hundred Flowers Blooming Campaign (1956 -1957)

In 1956, Mao Zedong began to take some of the restrictions off public expression. Mao published his essay entitled "On the Correct Handling of Contradictions among the People" in which he urged those who disagreed with his policies to come forward and offer constructive criticisms and suggestions (Wright, 2001, p. 149).

"Let a hundred flowers bloom and a hundred schools of thought contend" was Mao's slogan. The "Hundred Schools" referred to the so-called Hundred Schools of Thought of the late Zhou dynasty (772-221BC). The Zhou dynasty was an era when different thoughts and philosophic theories originated and thrived. The message implied by Mao's classical motif was that Mao began to feel it was time to take some of the restrictions off public expression.

But the harsh treatment meted out in 1955 to a writer, Hu Feng, demoralized the intelligentsia. Hu Feng was denounced for his calls for academic freedom and his criticizes of the Party. As a result, he was falsely accused as a National Party agent and imprisoned. He then suffered a nervous breakdown in prison (Moise, 1986, p. 143). With the lesson from their companions in mind, the intellectuals were reluctant to speak their minds.

The following year Mao took things a stage further, effectively soliciting criticism with a speech on "contradictions" which maintained that the Party had nothing to fear from the "non-antagonistic" complaints of intellectuals. The "non-antagonistic" referred

to contradictions between peoples. The "antagonistic" contradictions between the "people" and their "enemies" were the ones to worry about (Hutchings, 2001, p. 320).

In the spring of 1957, the intellectuals responded. Thousands of intellectuals took Mao at his word and expressed their true opinions on various ideological issues. Many of them criticized the Soviet Union, Mao's impetuous decision in the agricultural collectivization movement in 1956, and even socialism and the Party itself. By Mid-May of 1957, students in Peking University started to put up Big Character Posters, questioning the relevance of politics to intellectual work. They also demanded that the right to free speech should be embraced by law (Moise, 1986, p. 129).

In June of 1957 the tide turned. Mao was shocked at the criticism of individual officials turning into criticism of the whole system. It became apparent to Mao that the demon of Western liberalism was still alive and extremely vociferous. The intellectuals had not been won over by the new regime and drastic steps were needed to ensure that they were. Mao announced that criticisms of socialism and the party would no longer be tolerated, and a distinction upon criticisms was drawn between "fragrant flowers" and "poisonous weeds." Those who had uttered "poisonous weeds" were tracked down. The change from encouraging criticism to punishing it took a matter of weeks. The crackdown came in the form of the Anti-Rightist campaign (Moise, 1986, p. 129).

The Hundred Flowers Campaign precipitated the Anti-Rightist Campaign in summer of 1957. Those who had disappointed Mao were labeled as "Rightist" who endangered socialism. Many of the people who had spoken out were sent to the countryside for backbreaking "reform through agricultural labor" or even worse, were arrested.

Mao implied that every organization employing intellectuals was expected to expose and punish as "rightists" at least five percent of its staff. An investigator who could not find enough rightists to meet this quota would at best have been accused of "lacking vigilance"; more likely would have been denounced as a rightist concealing the crimes of other rightists, and would be severely punished (Hutchings, 2001, p. 320). China lost a great deal of its great intellectuals this way. Either they were executed, or so broken that they might as well have been.

For a time, it seemed that the Communists were willing to grant the promise that socialism and democracy went hand in hand. The events of 1956 -1957 revealed the limitations of the Maoist claim to democracy as well as the restrictions that an entrenched bureaucracy placed on the freedom of thought.

The damage the 'Anti-Rightist Movement' did was by no means limited to the suffering of its direct victims. The 'Anti-Rightist Movement' destroyed any possibility that a harmonious, genuinely cooperative relationship might be established between the Communist Party and China's intellectuals. Intellectuals have never again been asked to speak out in public on any scale.

2. Democracy Wall Movement 1978-1979

On September 9, 1976 Mao Zedong died.

After the death of Mao Zedong, China was in the grip of an identity crisis. The man who had for so long been the infallible god of his people was dead, the Party was left in disarray, and the country plunged into chaos.

The radical faction at the top of the Party, the "Gang of Four," lead by Jiang Qing, Mao's wife, was under arrest within less than a month after Mao's death (Wright, 2001, p. 164). Mao's hand-picked successor, Hua Guofeng, came to occupy the posts of Premier, Chairman of the Communist Party, and Chairman of the Party's Military Affairs commission. He is often described as a restorationist, in that he and his group published an editorial in the People's Daily on February 7th 1977, saying that whatever Mao had said must be obeyed and whatever Mao had decided must be upheld. Hua Guofeng and his group later were referred as the "whatever" faction. Hua may not have been as extreme as the "Gang of Four," but he leaned towards the Left (Hutchings, 2001, p. 320).

The death of Mao Zedong marked the end of the Cultural Revolution – a cataclysmic political campaign launched by Mao. The Cultural Revolution lasted ten years from 1966 to 1976. Mao's desire to emphasize on class distinction and class struggle had reached its culmination. It was about 'cultural' largely in the sense that it sought to destroy much of what existed – family ties, traditional values, religious beliefs, the moral base, the legal system. It was truly 'revolution' for it toppled many of Mao's comrades and destroyed the institutional bases of Communist Party rule. It marked a departure from the norms of civilized behaviors, producing cruelty and oppression on a horrific scale. It featured vast dehumanization, persecution, violence, and desperation. People suffered physically and spiritually. It ended with the deaths of more than one million people, and massive disruptions in the lives of almost all of the urban population (Hutchings, 2001, p. 91).

There is no period in China's long history so complex and contradictory. "Never before in the history of man nor in any other country have people had such a fearful and ridiculous, weird and tragic experience as in the Cultural Revolution," (Lin, 1994, p. 11) said Ba Jin, the famous Chinese writer, who advocated transforming Mao's Memorial Hall in the center of the Tiananmen Square into the Memorial for the Culture Revolution.

The Cultural Revolution turned the country into chaos. Most government operations were paralyzed. The economy was on the verge of a break down. By 1976, 60 percent of the population was living in poverty and the average income was about 15 cents a day (Ross, 1986). Society fell into disinterest and selfishness after ten years of political suppression and terror.

The education system was destroyed. School and college were shut down. Professors and teachers were classified as the most dangerous enemies of the proletariat revolution. Millions of youths were utilized by the political factions struggling at the top of the Party. They were Mao's Red Guard, mobilized to lead the Cultural Revolution and to rebel against everything existed in a civilized society only without questioning Mao. As school children, they were sent to the countryside to expound Maoist doctrine taken from the little red book, the bible of Mao's thoughts, to the peasants and to learn agriculture and humility from the peasants.

After having been exiled for almost ten years during the Culture Revolution, Deng Xiaoping was fighting his way back into power. In July 1977, Deng emerged as vice-premier (Hutchings, 2001, p. 109). By the end of following year, Hua Guofeng was moved aside by Deng Xiaoping (Moise, 1986, p. 190). Deng and other like-minded

individuals were devising an iconoclastic program comprised of economic and social reform.

At the watershed Third Plenum of the Eleventh Party Central Committee in December 1978, Deng and his Right-wing faction were able to establish effective control and committed the Party to a program of fundamental economic modernization, reform and engagement with the outside world (Hutchings, 2001, p. 110).

Change was on the horizon.

Tens of thousands of people who had been slandered, persecuted, and imprisoned for being rightists, counterrevolutionaries, bad elements, antisocial elements, and capitalist were released form prison. Many officials and senior party leaders displaced during the Cultural Revolution were rehabilitated. Thousands of intellectuals and ordinary people sent to the countryside returned to Beijing and other cities. Millions of youths, the former Red Guards, moved in from the countryside to the cities.

In 1977, China's newspapers began to proclaim the need for democracy. The People's Daily, the voice of the Party, declared that if China's socialist bureaucracy remained unchecked by elections and other democratic institutions, it might again run amok and degenerate into feudal fascism. In June, Deng gave an important speech at an army political conference. He told delegates that it would no longer do for China just to "copy straight from Marx, Lenin, and Chairman Mao"; henceforth criteria for truth should be sought in facts rather than in politics (Schell, 1999).

By February 1978, a new constitution had been adopted and Article 45 guaranteed "freedom of speech, correspondence, the press, demonstrations, and the

freedom to strike," as well as what the Chinese came to refer to as the four big freedoms, namely the right of people to "speak out freely, air their views fully, hold great debates, and write big character posters (Schell, 1999)."

These developments contributed to the air of expectation and hope of the late 70s and early 80s. Tyranny seemed to have died with Mao. For a brief time, the general mood in China was one of relief and anticipation. Chinese intellectuals who had lived in a state of perpetual fear were once again emboldened to speak out. "Literature of the wounded," a new genre of writing that recounted the horrors of the Cultural Revolution, began to be flourished and published.

In Beijing, ordinary citizens began voicing long-repressed grievances. Thousands of people began congregating at a wall around a bus station, located near the Xidan Avenue, a main shopping street and few yards west of Zhongnahai, the central government headquarters and the residential compound of the communist top leaders adjacent to Tiananmen Square. The wall, where police allowed big character posters critiquing some aspects of the government's policies, quickly became the center of a new movement calling on China to democratize itself politically.

During the winter of 1978 - 1979, at the wall people spent hours reading, talking, and listening with openness never before seen in China. Later the wall was recognized as the Democracy Wall. People traded political views, delivered speeches on China's future, and put up wall posters criticizing the party and its leadership in a free exchange of ideas that was unprecedented in socialist China. The most famous activist, Wei Jingsheng, warned that China needed not only Four Modernizations, but also a Fifth Modernization, namely, democracy in the political system (Lin, 1994, p. 23).

The Four Modernizations were first called in 1964. These were the Modernization of agriculture, industry, science and technology and national defense. Zhou Enlai, then premier, made them the core of the reform. The Cultural Revolution, started in 1966, was more concerned with politics and ideology than productivity, and ended discussion of the subject. Zhou raised them again in 1975, this time with the help of Deng Xiaoping. Deng made the four modernizations the basic policy of the Party (Hutchings, 2001, p. 150).

Wei Jingshen, a former Red Guard, and by this time the electrician of the Beijing Zoo, calls on the Party to add democratization to the list of "Four Modernizations." Wei's manifesto "Democracy: The Fifth Modernization" was posted on December 5, 1978 on the Beijing Xidan democracy wall. The poster states that the four modernizations could not be achieved without a fifth: democracy. Wei advocates that the only reason we want to achieve modernization is to ensure democracy, freedom, and happiness for the people. Without the fifth modernization, all other modernizations that the leaders advocated then are unachievable (Hutchings, 2001, p. 102).

Wei's idea of democracy was different from those of other activists. He sought a fundamental break with China's current political system and ideology of a kind few others envisioned or thought necessary. Wei Jinseng is honored as the father of Chinese Democracy today (Lin, 1994, p. 25).

This unruly liberated zone of free expression was not closed down by the authorities immediately. The opinions the activists expressed varied, but were at one in their initial support for Deng Xiaoping. Still consolidating his position against his opposition, Deng found his interests well served by having a cadre of such activists in

the streets ready to protest against his opponents. It showed the public was on his side and in favor of reform.

For Deng and his allies, Democracy Wall provided useful ammunition in their campaign against conservative opponents in the Party. Once Deng had consolidated his position, he began to have different attitude. Deng said there would be four modernizations, not five. Deng called a halt to Democracy Wall in March 1979, by the time he had consolidated his position and completed his successful visit to United States. Deng became the first Chinese leader ever to visit United States.

In early 1979, Deng announced his Four Cardinal Principles, parallel guidelines that people would need to keep in mind as they participated in Zhou Enlai's Four Modernizations (industry, agriculture, science and technology, and national defense), of which Deng approved. The Four Cardinal Principles enforce Party rule, the ideological dominance of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong thoughts, proletarian dictatorship and the socialist system. The Four Principles dictated that the Chinese people were not permitted to question socialism, the dictatorship of the proletariat, the Communist party's leadership, or Marxism-Leninism. In other words, China would modernize in just about every way but politically and ideologically. There were limits to China's newfound freedoms and prosperity.

Wei Jingsheng responded by attacking Deng as a dictator. He was promptly arrested along with several other activists. In October 1979, Wei was trialed and sentenced to 15 years in prison for inciting counter-revolution and "leaking state secrets" (Wei, 1999). Wei declined to be represented by a government lawyer and instead spoke in his own defense. "I must point out that freedom of speech is not a wild

demand, but something that is guaranteed in the Constitution in black and white. The tone in which the prosecutor talks about this right shows not only that he is prejudiced in his thinking but that he has forgotten his responsibility to protect the democratic rights of citizens (Wei, 1999)."

As Deng observed after the sentencing of Wei, those who openly oppose the socialist system and Party leadership, obstruct the "unity and stability" of the country, China could never develop if "so-called democrats and dissidents such as Wei and his ilk (Weston & Jensen, 2000)".

On September 10, 1980, at the direction of Deng, the Third Session of the Fifth National People's Congress rescinded the four big freedoms, which had been written into Article 45 of the 1978 Constitution (Weston & Jensen, 2000).

b. The Death of Two Beloved Leaders sparks the Tiananmen Incidents since the Communist Party came to power in 1949

1. April 5 Tiananmen Incident in 1976 --- Public protest in the name of mourning Premier Zhou

Zhou Enlai, then the premier, died of cancer in early January 1976.

Zhou Enlai had been a moderating force behind the darkest days of the Cultural Revolution. The practice Zhou adopted throughout much of his career was to always side with Mao, rarely openly opposed him and then sought to modify the consequences of the Chairman's policies should they prove disastrous (Hutchings, 2001, p. 493). In fact, Zhou, not Mao, was by then the most admired of the Communist leader in China (Wright, 2001, p. 164).

The death of Premier Zhou aroused great sadness among the Chinese people.

Many Chinese people, in their hearts, believe that without him, the Cultural Revolution might well have been much worse.

On April 5, 1976, the Qing Ming festival to commemorate ancestors and to dust graves, millions of people marched to Tiananmen Square to mourn the late premier Zhou (Hutchings, 2001, p. 494). Huge mounds of wreaths along with anonymous poems and articles were placed in the center of Tiananmen Square at the foot of the Monument to the Heroes of the People. Within days, nearly a million people gathered on the square to read those poems and articles, extolling the late premier and attacking Jiang Qing, Mao's wife, and other numbers of the radical fiction, Gang of Four.

The Gang of Four was made scapegoats for most of China's suffering over the previous decade. Everyone knew Mao gave his support to them as he saw fit. Mao was the unnamed fifth man in what was really a gang of five.

In the name of mourning the late premier, the public frustrations with the radical faction erupted like a volcano. The commemoration was a means of criticizing the Gang, the Cultural Revolution, the socialism political system and even Mao himself. In the following days, more and more articles and poems were appearing on the Square, and people were coming to read, copy and pass them around. Mao ordered the removal of these tributes. In response, massive demonstration broke out. Angry crowds burned the police station near Tiananmen Square; force was brought against rioters (Hutchings, 2001, p. 494).

Zhou's death led the moderates into an awkward position over the power struggle against the radicals at the top of the Party. Deng Xiaoping, who had no other

source of support than Zhou, was blamed for the disturbance, and expelled form the Politburo (Wright, 2001, p. 164). The April 5th Tiananmen Incident was labeled as a counter-revolutionary event, and quickly suppressed by force within days.

It was Deng Xiaoping, when he came back to power two years later, in 1978, who officially redefined the "counter-revolutionary riot" as a "revolutionary struggle" to mourn the Premier Zhou and oppose the Cultural Revolution (Hutchings, 2001, p. 494).

Though the April 5th event was brief, considering all the years of terrors and the harsh political suppression during Culture Revolution and all the previous political turbulences, it showed how desperate people were at the moment. It exemplified how deep the popular discontent with the Party was, and how courageous people were by risk their life in speaking their minds.

2. The June 4th Incident – Public protest in the name of mourning Party General Secretary Hu sparks large scale student demonstrations in 1989

On April 15th 1989, Hu Yaobang, the former Party General Secretary, died of a heart attack (Hutchings, 2001, p. 207). The death of Hu sparked large-scale student demonstrations and later developed into mass protests joined by people from every walk of life in the summer of 1989.

Hu Yaopang was the only formal leader at the top of the Chinese Communist

Party to encourage significant reform of China's Leninist political system rather than try

to strengthen it or twist it for his own purposes (Hutchings, 2001, p. 206).

Hu's political recovery began in 1977 after spending several years in a labor camp during the Cultural Revolution. As vice-president and later head of the Party's

School in Beijing and later head of the Party's Propaganda Department, he played a major part in prying off the shackles Mao and his followers had imposed on every aspect of people's lives (Hutchings, 2001, p. 207).

Hu Yaobang was elevated to the post of Party General Secretary in 1980 and was perhaps the liberal spirit to have reached the top of the formal Party apparatus. Hu joined the revolution at the age of 13 and took part in the Long March, but he had a different outlook from any of those in the Party of the same pedigree (Hutchings, 2001, p. 207). Although Hu was not prepared to abandon Marxism completely or to see the Party give up its leading role in political life, he wanted to make the government more responsive, representative and subject to legal checks and balances, despite opposition from Deng Xiaoping, China paramount leader, and other revolutionary veterans. This is precisely why Deng and other old guard expelled him; and why his death two years after his expulsion, sparked the Tiananmen Democracy Movement.

The intellectuals in particular welcomed Hu's elevation to the post of Party General Secretary. Hu tacitly encouraged democratic activists during his seven-year period as Party General Secretary from 1980 to 1987. Two of the Democracy Wall activists were invited to his home; it was under his protection and often with his encouragement, the intellectuals raised sensitive issues in the media (Hutchings, 2001, p. 206). These included radical literary and philosophical theories, and perennial political theories and questions, such as democracy, human rights, and the need for institutional limits on the Party's power.

With the new liberalization and openness challenges soon came to the Party's rule, Deng and conservative old guard growled about Western values which they

described as "spiritual pollution" and a broad campaign was launched against it in 1983. Deng himself led the movement against manifestations of Western values (Hutchings, 2001, p. 207). However, Secretary Hu and his allies managed to blunt these offensives and keep the hopes of political reform alive.

The problem of governmental corruption raised its head in the 1980s and developed to a scale not seen since 1949. As the decade progressed many Chinese people came to resent the culture of corruption in the government, and the perks and privileges enjoyed by high-ranking party officials. Record inflation, rampant corruption, and uneven economic development were creating tensions in China by the mid-1980s. Some people realized that the political system in China needed change in order to control the corruption among officials, provide social justice, equal opportunities for people, and to govern over a multiform market economy.

In 1986, the students took demands for political liberalization directly to the streets. Large student demonstrations began at the University of Science and Technology in Hefei, the Capital of Anhui Province. Demonstrations spread to Shanghai, and at least a dozen other major cities ((Hutchings, 2001, p. 207). The students not only had concerns over outdated teaching contents, poor facilities, the lack of funding, but also demanded political changes.

For Deng Xiaoping this had gone too far. Deng and the Party old guard threatened to use force against student demonstrators and urged Secretary Hu to dismiss Fang Lizhi, a scientist and the vice-chancellor at the University of Science and Technology in Herfei, where the unrest broke out.

Hu Yaopang, as General Secretary of the Communist Party, had expressed some sympathy with the views of the students. He refused the Party old guard's demands to suppress the student demonstrators and protected Fang Lizhi from being punished (Hutchings, 2001, p. 207). Fang Lizhi had spoken publicly of the need for thoroughgoing political change and academic freedom. But the protection did not reach far, three years later, Fang Lizhi and other intellectuals then protected by Hu were accused of being the black hands behind large-scale student demonstrations in 1989.

By early January 1987, the protests diminished, but the ire of the old guard had not. Secretary Hu was berated for failing to handle the protests firmly and stamp out liberalism. As a result of favoring political reform, he was pressured into resigning his position in January 1987 (Hutchings, 2001, p. 208).

When the news of Hu's sudden death of a heart attack was announced on April 15th 1989, it provoked an immediate outpouring of public grief. Many students, intellectuals and ordinary people looked upon Hu as a hero and were angered by his expulsion.

In 1989 students at Beijing University had been planning a pro-democracy demonstration to coincide with the seventieth anniversary of the May Fourth movement. Hu's death pushed up their timetable. On the very day of Hu's death, a wreath-bearing ceremony in his honor took place at the campus of Beijing University (Goldman, 1994). On the next day students at Universities all over Beijing put up Big Character Posters commemorating him and criticizing government corruption (Wright, 2001, p. 175).

When wreaths placed in Tiananmen Square in his memory were removed on April 17th, some three thousand students from Beijing University marched into

Tiananmen Square to commemorate the former leader. They complained of Hu's mistreatment and criticized his opponents, and demanded the government reevaluate Hu's achievements, grant freedom of the press, increase funding for education, and review the financial holdings of several high government officials suspected of massive corruption.

Despite the Hu family's open invitation to the funeral, the government announced that on April 22nd, the day of Hu's official funeral, the public would be denied access to Tiananmen Square while the leaders entered the Great Hall of the People for the funeral ceremony. About seventy thousand students and thirty thousand workers occupied the square the night before the ceremony (Goldman, 1994, p. 304). As an expression of mourning for Secretary Hu, people demanded for more democracy, less corruption and dialogues with Party officials.

There was some similarity between the unofficial ceremonies surrounding Hu
Yaopang's death and those held for Zhou Enlai in 1976. On both occasions, people
used the opportunity to criticize the prevailing leadership and to call for political change.

On April 26th an editorial in the People's Daily, the Party's official voice, described the demonstration as a planned conspiracy to overthrow the government. It immediately provoked a large-scale protest (Moise, 1986, p. 219). Even more people poured into streets and Tiananmen Square to demand the reversal of the editorial and recognition of the movement as patriotic. This became the main dispute between the people and the Party during almost seven weeks of protest and it remains the same today.

The protest was propelled by the 70th anniversary of the May Fourth Movement, the birth of modern protest in China, by which the students were inspired. Full of

idealism and energy, the students, first in Beijing, then almost every major institute of higher education in China, took seriously their historical obligation to act as the conscience of the Chinese nation. They boycotted classes and formed new self-governed student unions; pointed out the Party's errors, criticized the wrongdoings of high ranking officials; urged political reform; demanded democracy and the freedoms of speech, publishing, news reporting, and public gathering.

The protest intensified as the students began a huger strike in support of their demands. Tiananmen Square, occupied by students, became a vast encampment.

Everyday, thousands of students from all over the country and Beijing citizens in poured into the Square to show their support.

The student protest rapidly developed into a massive popular movement joined by workers, journalists, teachers, writers, doctors, private businessman, and even officials yearning for political changes. Such spontaneous public support from ordinary citizens for a student demonstration was unheard of during the forty years of the Party's rule. Though their demands were diverse, sometimes unfocused, often naïve, they united in their anger of official corruption and record inflation. Rarely had so many Chinese people from every walk of life demanded changes in such a spontaneous yet concentrated fashion. Never had the Chinese Communist Party faced such a direct challenge to its rule since the Party came to power in October 1949 (Hutchings, 2001, p. 423).

There was a deep split within the Party leadership over how to handle the protests. The then Party General Secretary Zhao Ziyang, Hu's successor, opposed the use of force and praised the student's patriotism in public. As was his predecessor, the

former Secretary Hu, Secretary Zhao was shoved aside by the old guard lead by Deng Xiaoping. On May 18th, the Party decided to introduce martial law in Beijing (Hutchings, 2001, p. 424). The next day, Zhao appeared in the Square with an emotional plea urging the students to go back to their campuses (Moise, 1986, p. 220). This was his last public appearance before he was formally dismissed, disgraced and confined to his house in Beijing until his death.

Under the watch of international media, brought by the historic visit of Soviet leader, Mikhail Gorbachev on May 15th, the Tiananmen Democracy Movement was put down by military force with tanks and armored cars on June 4th, 1989 (Hutchings, 2001, p. 425). Protestors, citizens, and bystanders were killed or wounded as troops in vehicles opened fire while they forced their way towards Tiananmen Square on the night of June 3rd, 1989. By the dawn of June 4th, the army occupied the Square. The remaining students in Square were allowed a coordinated exit.

The government claimed to have put down a "counter-revolutionary rebellion" (Hutchings, 2001, p. 423). In the weeks following the crackdown, virtually all the student leaders and others prominent in the movement who failed to flee the country were arrested and thousands of "rioters" all over China met the same fate. Repression was extended deep into universities, the media and other areas of life from which the democracy movement had sprung. A mood of obedience overshadowed by resentment and fear of retaliation reminiscent of the Cultural Revolution emerged.

The violent suppression of Tiananmen Democracy Movement on June 4th, 1989, cost the lives of hundreds, and crushed the hopes of millions. It set back the cause of genuine modernization, and blocked the road to democratization.

Over the years, a handful of dissidents regularly called on the Party to reexamine the definition of the democracy movement as "counter-revolutionary rebellion" and asked for the rehabilitation of the democratic movement suppressed by the military. Despite the popular pressures, political controls remain tight since the aftermath of the suppression of the movement in 1989. People's political lives were suspended again.

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

My original plan was to shoot a documentary about Mr. Hao Jinsong, a law school graduate student at China University of Political Science, who filed seven lawsuits for protecting public interests against government branches and state-owned industries. His story was widely reported by the media and he was portrayed as a legal warrior. His stories were so significant to me, because the government agencies he fought against are so powerful and seem to be untouchable. They are: State Administration of Taxation, Beijing Subway Transportation Company, Beijing Railway Bureau affiliated to Ministry of Railway Transportations. Of these agencies, the Ministry of Railway Transportations even has its own judicial system, including court and prosecution functions.

I did intense research on his stories, and communicated with him in both writing and telephone calls about his availability before I went back to Beijing to shoot his story. After I arrived at Beijing, I communicated the time commitment I expected from him. We then created the shooting schedule. However, during the shoot it became apparent that Mr. Hao has very strong personality., This created problems with effective and efficient communication--he came up with shooting ideas at the last minute, which made it impossible to schedule with shooting crew and equipment rental.

I realized that this situation would make the project impossible to finish.

Meanwhile, I met Mr. Teng Biao.

Mr. Teng is an activist lawyer and a professor of law at Beijing's China University of Political Science and Law. He has been actively working on cases to raise public

awareness of human-rights issues, the needs for judicial independence, religion freedom and social justice.

The forced demolition and eviction case of Hexi village, and the arrest of the two couples highlighted the typical frustration faced by many people who are being relocated.

The story was more attractive because it was relatively fresh. The villagers were very angry at what Hexi Industrial Company did during the demolition and eviction process. They were frustrated with having no channel to voice their concerns and complaints. They were also not afraid to tell their stories. This provided a good platform for me to conduct my interviews and information collection.

I prepared the following research on Mr. Teng:

Part I: General Information/ Background

- 1. What made you to choose law?
- 2. Would you please describe the process of filing a lawsuit in China?
- 3. Did the cases you dealt with upset you?
- 4. Were there times during the process that you wanted to guit?
- 5. What was the reaction of your friends and parents at the beginning? And afterward?
- 6. Were you surprised that you were reported by the CCTV and other main stream media?
 - 7. To what extent do you think your effort had an impact on changing society?

 Party II: Now
 - 8. What kind of reactions did you received from ordinary people?

- 9. How do you choose case?
- 10. Please describe a typical day in your life.
- 11. Would you tell us a little about current law reform happing in China?
- 12. Did you encounter any obstacles? And what were they?

Part IV: Future

- 13. Where do you see yourself five years from now?
- 14. Aside from filing public interest lawsuits, what other means do you use to raise public awareness?
- 15. The Regulations on Open Government Information is scheduled to take effect May 1, 2008. The Regulations on Open Government Information require that local and national government bodies make information public through web sites, news conferences and other means. That information, sporadically available now, will include administrative rulings, financial accounting, compensation for land use and investigations into environmental and public health issues. To what extend will these regulations affect government performance? Will these regulations provide a basis for the protection of public rights?
- 16. Routinely farmers are pushed off their land, and city dwellers are forced from their homes with little or no compensation to make way for projects like an apartment block, government building or shopping mall. All of these have the potential to be lucrative for local officials and developers. The disputes over property have become the leading cause of social unrest in cities and the countryside. On March 16, China's property law was adopted at China's National People's Congress. The law will come in

effect on October 1st, 2007. Will the property law help reduce the disputes? Are there increasing numbers of people taking part in public protests to fight for property rights?

- 17. As with many laws in China, could the property measure prove difficult to enforce?
- 18. What role might the law play in curbing the problems with rampant corruption, social injustice, government bureaucracy?
 - 19. How important is it to build up public awareness of civil rights?
- 20. Under current policy of "getting rich and not thinking about politics," are you confident in the public's abilit to take part of political life?

The documentary will be using a combination of interview, narration, and footage.

It was easy for me to build trust in my relationship with Mr. Teng, even though they faced great dangers in talking to me about the case details. I followed Mr. Teng and his colleagues to their work and meetings, gathering information related to the cases they were working on. I also planned trips with them to Hexi Village in City of Qingdao, Shandong Province.

When my shooting crew arrived in Hexi village, the villagers felt that they now had a channel to voice their concerns--they believed that we would assist them in having their voices heard. Some of the villagers were still very emotional when I interviewed them about what happened. Once they opened up, they started to complain about what happened and this made them very emotional.

What happened to the villagers made me feel sorry for them. I felt obligated to the project and also felt a sense of responsibility to help them and many others that faced similar situations. Moreover, the courage and fearlessness of the lawyers inspired

me to continue working on this project. China is the country where I was born and raised. I am so happy to see that the overall living standard has improved since China started its economic reforms. Meanwhile, I am concerned about the lag of improvement in the social and political systems. These feelings drove me to deeply explore the subjects of my project.

CHAPTER 4

FINAL REPORT

This documentary is about what happened to ordinary people who live in Hexi Village of Sifang District in the City of Qingdao. The village is under the administrative jurisdiction of Sifang District. During the demolition and eviction process, villagers faced forced demolition and eviction if the villagers and evictor were unable to reach an agreement on compensation and relocation packages. The adjudication of forced demolition and eviction was granted by the Sifang District government.

The portrayal of China by Western media has been associated with the glamorous side--showing skyrocketing growth and development--however, few have known or noticed the hidden facts of shattered lives and bitter stories left untold in the shadow of these skyscrapers. Bulldozed: in the Shadow of Progress reveals that not only people's houses are being demolished, but their rights are also being violated.

The film is about 50 minutes in length. It starts with background information about the economic and political transformation since China initiated its "open door" policy. While enjoying a rapidly growing economy and improved living standards, China still faces many challenges. Important issues are the protection of private properties and the protection of people's rights. By exploring what happened to some families in Hexi Village in one of the reconstruction projects in City of Qingdao, this film highlights the conflict between developing the economy and protecting people's basic rights.

This documentary focuses on two perspectives: a process that is detrimental to people's basic rights, and the efforts of a new generation of lawyers to help ordinary people, as well as their desire to improve China's legal system. The film consists of

documents, interviews, and footage. The shooting crew recorded events in two physical locations: Beijing and Qingdao.

Due to the sensitivity of the content in this production, special precautions were taken in shooting the footage. The lawyers were under surveillance by the national security bureau, because they take on cases that are considered politically sensitive. Any case that mentions wrongdoing by the government or dispute against government policy could be deemed as a politically sensitive case. The government perceives lawyers who work on those sensitive cases as either a threat to social stability or a potential embarrassment.

Minimum contact was made with the lawyers because their phones were being monitored by the government. During our shooting in Qingdao, we were accompanied by villagers and I remained in the presence of the lawyers at all times. After the shooting was finished, I dubbed all the footage from the tapes and put them on a hard drive. I wanted to avoid trouble with customs by not taking the original material out of China (there were about 30-40 tapes).

Another "technical" difficulty in the postproduction was taking script from the original footage. Many of the villagers I interviewed speak Mandarin with a heavy accent from Shandong Provence. Although it was easy to understand the meaning of the full interview, it was very hard to take notes word by word. The scripting process took longer than expected, due to local nuances in the villagers' speech. It was important that I figured out exactly what they were trying to convey.

After the scripting was completed, the translation seemed to be a more daunting task. Notions of forced demolition and eviction are new to many Americans. How to use

concise and accurate English to express the events and emotions was very challenging.

To complicate matters, there was a lot of legal jargon used in the interviews. I did my best to convey the essence of the story.

Intensive use of interview was used in the film to convey the truth. Narration was also employed for clarity and transitional purposes. I have some strong feelings and tried to use long shots to express these feelings, but that brought problems in terms of pace of editing. The initial cut was too long, and the story line was too vague. Story telling technique is very important in postproduction.

The film starts with an introduction of China's rapidly growing economy, as well as the preparation of new construction projects for the Olympic Games. The next scene is that of a bulldozer tearing down buildings in Hexi Village. Interviews with villagers reveal what happened that day. It provides the audience with a picture of unfairness-the lack of due process of the forced demolition and eviction, the lack of independence of the legal system, the efforts the villagers made trying to protect themselves, and the strength and courage the lawyers demonstrated in helping the villagers in their law suites.

The documentary brings attention to the unfair treatment the villagers received. It also praises the vision and actions the lawyers took in helping the villagers, as well as their efforts to improve China's legal system.

A large amount of time was given to researching the first shooting subject: Mr. Hao. I collect articles and other publications about him that provided background information about the cases he had worked on. I thought I was well prepared for the shooting. Unfortunately, a few days after we began the project, I found it really difficult

to work with him. His strong personality made communication difficult. Fortunately, I encountered Mr. Teng and other lawyers working on similar cases to promote legal reform and assist ordinary people. This is a lesson I will remember for future work.

The topic of this documentary is not an isolated incident in China. It reveals the conflict between a rapidly growing economy and the stagnation of the legal and social systems. It brings awareness, and calls for the promotion of reforms in China. More research will need to be done in terms of monitoring the improvement of human right, protecting private properties, and advancing reforms of social, political, and legal systems in China. Efforts for improvement will be daunting--support from all parties interested in improving the overall economical and political environment of China will be imperative.

Much has been learned in making this documentary. First of all, one has to have conscience in his/her mind when he/she tries to shoot a film that reflects tough realities. Fearlessly pursuing the goal is as important as using the right tactics to reach the goal. The objective is to finish a production that is meaningful to the audience. Delicate precautions need to be considered in the course of shooting such sensitive topics.

Secondly, I realized the importance of relationship building. This is not an easy task, nor is it a one-time effort. It requires understanding, patience, personal skills, and communication skills. Choosing a shooting subject is very important, as it may lead to sour ending if it is not managed properly. Cooperation is another key element in making a production: the cameraman, lighting, and drivers are integral parts of the crew. Teamwork is critical completing a project.

Third, a good plan is critical. Details need to be reviewed as much as possible. Items such as the shooting schedule, weather, interview questions, post production planning, translations, technical support, and editing techniques are all important. If a single item is overlooked, it can create large problems to the production process.

Story telling in real life is different from using camera and editing. I have gained so much confidence in working with various people with different backgrounds. I am also more fluent in using editing techniques to convey what I want my audience to know. I feel that I have deeper understanding of life by cutting each clip and putting it back together. It is process of rebirth.

REFERENCES

- CIA The World Factbook (2006 Jan). China. Retrieved on Jan 20, 2006, from https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/ch.html
- Goldman, M. (1994). Sowing the Seeds of Democracy in China: Political Reform in the Deng Xiaoping Era (Harvard University Press), 303 304.
- Hutchings, G. (2001) Modern China: A Guide to A Century of Change. (Harvard University Press).
- Kahn, Joesph. (2006, Oct 12). New York Times. China makes commitment to social harmony. Retrieved on Jan 17, 2007, from http://www.nytimes.com/2006/10/12/world/asia/12china.html?_r=2&ex=11758320 00&en=5746486f8ac83b67&ei=5070&oref=slogin&oref=slogin
- Lin, J. (1994) The Opening of the Chinese Mind: Democratic Change in China Since 1978 (Praeger Publishers), 11 25.
- Moise, E. (1986) Modern China: A History. (Longman Group UK Limited).
- Ross, T. (1978) The Future of China: After Mao (New Your: Dell Publishing Co.), 19
- Schell, O. (1999) "Democracy Wall Movement", Ed Orville Schell and David Shambaugh, The China Reader: The Reform Era (Vintage Books), 157.
- Wei, J. (1999). "Democracy: The Fifth Modernization", Ed Orville Schell and David Shambaugh, The China Reader: The Reform Era (Vintage Books), 165.
- Weston, E.T. & Jensen, L.M. (2000) China Beyond The Headlines, 163 166.
- Wright, D. (2001) The History of China. (Greenwood Press), 149 175.
- Xu Binglan, (2007, Jan). China's GDP grows 10.7% in 2006, fastest in 11 years.

 Retrieved on May 10, 2008, from

http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2007-1/26/content_793128.htm



APPENDIX A. TREATMENT

Since China opened it door to a market economy 30 years ago, great changes have taken place. Rapid economic development has improved the China's image, It has improved people's living standards as well. At the same time, there is an urgent need to improve human rights and the legal system. In the process of developing the economy, people's basic rights need to be protected. In China's case, the improvement of the legal system continues to lag behind economic development. This documentary sheds light on villagers who are facing forced eviction from their homes in Sifang District in Qingdao, China. The film also shows the villagers' struggles in fighting unlawful forced demolition and eviction. Another emergent issue is that of the difficulty lawyers have in legal battles in attepts to protect ordinary citizens. This film uses interview, documents, and footage to tell the story of how villagers in Hexi village face forced demolition and eviction, as well as these villagers' efforts to protect their own rights. The documentary also highlights the courage of lawyers in using lawful means to fight for the villagers. Interviews with villagers and lawyers were conducted to portray the damage of forced demolition and eviction, and to depict the lack of due process, the injustice of the legal system, and the current "protect rights" movement in China.

In China today, the conflicts between protecting human rights and developing the national economy have never been so fierce. The protections the social and legal system provides to the citizens do not match the needs of a rapidly growing economy. In the film, while the lawyers are trying to protect villagers' rights, they are frustrated because the legal system in China lacks independence from government control; even

more, lawyers' personal rights are in danger in the process of fighting against the government.

When Beijing was awarded to host the 2008 Olympic Games in 2001, it was decided that Qingdao would host the sailing competition for the 2008 Summer Olympics game. To demonstrate its economic achievements, China began many construction and reconstruction projects. This was also the case for the City of Qingdao, which began a reconstruction project at Hexi village (within the city limits of Qingdao).

The documentary has two main story lines: one is about forced demolition and eviction, and the villagers' fight against it; the second is about the lawyers who helped the villagers to protect their basic rights. The film uses these two story lines to demonstrate that first, the government did not follow proper procedures in carrying out demolitions and evictions, as well as the lack of a channel for citizens to address their concerns; and second, the legal system leans towards protecting the government's interests, rather than interests of ordinary people. The production also highlights the lawyers' courage in helping the villagers.

The documentary begins with shocking footage showing a bulldozer tearing down a house, and many policemen and security guards surrounding the scene.

Villagers were interviewed and told what had happened to them in the process of demolition and eviction. They argued that the Hexi Industrial Company did not follow the right processes to carry out the demolition and eviction; and the Sifang District government verified Hexi Industrial Company's qualifications, and gave permission to the Company to perform the demolition and eviction.

When Hexi Industrial Company and the villagers were unable to reach an agreement on the compensation package for the demolition and eviction. Hexi Industrial Company started the forced demolition and evictions. The Company hired thugs to harass villagers, breaking their windows and doors. The utilities to the villagers were also cut off. The villagers went to Sifang District government, City of Qingdao appeal the forced demolition and eviction decision. But their appeal went unheeded. Then they went to Beijing appealing to the central government agencies. Not surprisingly, the problems were not addressed there either.

In the end, the villagers ran out of recourse and two families tried to protect themselves on their own against the forced demolition and eviction. Unfortunately, the two families were arrested and charged with "obstruction of administrative affairs." The wife in one family was in so much desperation of losing her home, she attempted suicide by setting herself on fire in protest. She was charged with attempted arson.

The forced demolition and eviction happened at Sifang are just one example of the frequent demolitions and evictions happening all over China. Resident outrage is seen as a threat to the stability of society and to the power the Party. Some defense lawyers who represented the ordinary people were prosecuted by the government for political reasons. Even in this environment, Lawyers Teng and Wen took the two cases representing the two families. "...As legal professional, we see in too many cases, law was not followed... we try use cases with public interest, to promote changes to the legal system", said Mr. Teng, a law professor at China University of Political Science and Law.

I lived in China when it still had its door closed to the world. People lived in extreme poverty. There was no law in China's constitution to regionalize private properties. The law did not allow individuals to own properties. I have witnessed the changes that have happened since China adopted its "open door" policy. China's economy has grown dramatically and the living standard has risen. Unfortunately, the legal system has not kept pace with either the economy or the standard of living.

It is my desire that this documentary raise awareness about what is happening in China. The evictees' rights, the process for settling evictees, and legal protection for ordinary people are the main issues I want to address. Legal reform is necessary and urgent for the prolonged prosperity of economic achievement and for bringing peace and safety to people's lives.

APPENDIX B. BUDGET

Table 1

Budget

Pre-Production			Dollars (\$)
	Communication		\$200
	Research		\$100
Production			
	Travel Expense		
		Airfare	\$2,000
		Local Transportation	\$1,000
		Food	\$500
		Hotel	\$600
	Equipment		
		1 Sony Digital Camer (20 Days @	
		100/day)	\$4,000
		Lighting kits	\$1,000
		Tape stock (80 DVCam tapes)	\$600
	Labor		
		Camera man and lighting	\$3,000
		Car Rental	\$1,000
Post Production			
	Archive footage		\$200
	Labor		\$200
	Editing		\$2,000
	Music		\$3,000
Sub-Total			\$19,400
Contingency	@ 5%		\$970
Total Est. Cost			\$20,370

APPENDIX C. SCRIPT

Table 2

Script

00: 00	Narration	China initiated its "Open Door Policy"
Opening		at the end of 1978. Since then, it has
Street Scene		witnessed spectacular growth rates, an
		enormous amount of foreign investment, and
		large trading patterns with numerous
		countries.
		It is producing everything. It's become
		the manufacturing center of the globe as well
		as the fastest growing economy in the world.
		For much of the population, living
		standards have been improved dramatically.
		People enjoy an abundance of the material
		wealthy and own more property than ever.
		Besides basic necessities, luxury goods and
		cash access, people start to own their own
		properties. Ten of thousands of people start
		to own their companies.
		Towering skyscrapers are springing up
		like mushrooms after a spring rain. High-end
		residential communities, gigantic-scale

shopping malls, as well as modern commercial business centers are quickly replacing the humble stone houses, courtyards and alleys that characterized old China.

In 2004, an amendment to the

Constitution stated, "private property obtained legally shall not be violated."

On March 16, 2007, the National People's Congress passed a controversial property law to protect private property rights.

The landmark law went into effect on October 1st, 2007.

All land in China is still considered the property of the government. In general, urban land is owned by the State and rural agricultural land is collectively owned by farmers. The new law does not change the system of land tenure. The land in China belongs to the state and the collectives. It divides property rights into ownership rights, use rights and security rights.

00:02:47:21	Villager	In the morning of April 27, 2007, I was
Bulldozer tears	Yuan Bendu	standing on the rooftop of my house. Many
down houses.		policemen and city officials came. Some of
Interview on site		them were dressed in uniform, and some
		were not. About five to six hundreds of them
		were here to execute forced demolition. I was
		on the roof of my house guarding the National
		Flag. They announced the forced demolition
		order to me out loud from the ground.
		I asked who gave them the authority to
		execute the forced demolition order. They
		said that they were here on behalf of the
		Sifang District Government. I then asked if
		they had any legal permission. They said they
		did. I said that as long as they thought that
		their action was lawful, I could not fight
		against them. They could ter down my house.
		I am a minority in this situation, a
		citizen with no power. But I don't think their
		action was lawful. I will sue them and fight till
		the end.
		I did not stop them from tearing down
		my
		house poither sould I. So for four of

I am the owner of No.1276 of Hexi
Village. My Name is Yuan Bendu. I am the
Representative of the villagers. My house is
not within the (first) planned demolition area.
They tore down my house purely out of
revenge. Because I petition the authorities to
report their wrong doings. It is not because
that I did not come to an agreement with
them.

This is the only house my family owned. All our belongings were in this house. Before the house was torn down, they wanted to take away all our stuff. I didn't let it happen, everything was stacked there.

I didn't let it happen, everything was stacked there. But at the end, all of our stuff is stolen. We have nothing now.

There are five people in my family. My mother is 78 years old. My son is 12 and my daughter is 20. Without this house, we, a family of five, became homeless.

We have no place to stay. We have no place to put our stuff. We lost our place to

00:04:56:19	Narration	live. We lost our hope in living.
Bench Scene		Hexi Village is surrounded by City of
		Qingdao in Shandong Province. It is a coastal
		city with a population of more than 8 million,
00:05:11:03		and is 342 miles southeast of Beijing.
Hexi Village		Hexi Village has about five hundred
		years of history. The majority of families came
		from the same ancestors and have the same
		family name, which is Yuan. The village
		currently has over 900 households, most of
		which are farmers who make their living by
		growing vegetables. Hexi Village is under the
		jurisdiction of the Sifang District of Qingdao,
		and it is one of the major reconstruction
		projects in Qingdao.
		The demolition of the old houses here
		and the eviction of the villagers began on
		March 12, 2005. Government regulations
		require that developers obtain the proper
		qualifications in order to carry out the
		demolition and eviction procedure.
		To be qualified, the developer has to
		obtain a series of permits from the municipal

		governments. These permits include a
		demolition and eviction permit, a land usage
		permit, and a financial statement. The
		majority of these families have been evicted
		without the proper permits.
		Despite the property laws passed by
		China's central government, some
		developers, aided by their local governments,
		are taking matters into their own hands and
		illegally demolishing the houses of villagers
		who are in their way.
00:06:31:20	Narration	With no relocation agreement yet
Inside Villager's		settled between the villagers and the
Home		development company. The remaining
		families are now facing forced demolition and
		eviction in the near future.
00:07:05:22		We want to ask Hexi Industrial
Interview		company what rights do they have to execute
		forced demolition.
		The Company was not even qualified
		for carrying out the demolition. Five permits
		are required to carry out the demolition.

However, the Company did not provide a single one to prove its qualification. It doesn't have any of those five permits that required for executing demolition. The Demolition Permit and the Land Usage Permit are two key credentials. It is also required to have sufficient funds in the bank to compensate relocation costs, but the Hexi Industrial Company doesn't have a penny for this purpose. None whatsoever.

Sifang District Government first approved Hexi Industrial Company's application without checking its qualification, then submitted its application to City Property Management Bureau, which again without questioning its qualifications, issued the Notice of Forced Demolition and posted it to the public. Although this Notice has the red seal of Sifang District Government, is has no legal stand. It did not follow any legal process and procedure. It is pure arbitrary government behavior.

As common people, there is nothing

we can do about it. It is even harder for me, a disabled person. The Notice of forced demolition is only a piece of paper, but decides the fate of our house. They have been telling us that everything had been planed ahead of time. The demolition had been going on for two years. They claimed the standing households prevented the progress of reconstruction.

00:08:40:15

Interview

Villager

Representative

Yuan Benjun

The tactic that they used to occupy the land is to build a wall surrounding the village, and then block the access to the area, eventually, build the new construction. Hexi Industrial Company has been acted as the executor to carry out forced demolition. It is not qualified to carry out the forced demolition, nor did it go through any certification process. We do not think it is legal.

Hexi Industrial Company is an organization of Hexi Village Commission. Its legal representative, Mr. Liu Lixiao. Mr. Liu is

		the Branch Secretary of the Communist Party
		at Hexi village.
00:09:36:06	Narration	In 2001, China was selected to host
Bird Nest		the 2008 Olympic games; as result, Qingdao
Olympic Game		will host the Olympic sailing competition. To
		serve the Olympic Games, the city
		government of Qingdao started large-scale
		reconstruction projects in the city. In the
		meantime, the land value is rising, and the
		housing prices to rocket to a new high. (This
		has created an irresistible economic incentive
		for developers to take, by any means
		necessary, the land and to develop it for
		profit.
00:10:11:00	Villager	The land price in Qingdao City varies
Interview	Representative	greatly with location. It even can be different
	Yuan Yusheng	by meters. The southernmost side of the city
		is golden zone. The property value extremely
		high. The most expensive housing is over 20k
		RMB (\$3000) per square meter. The price in
		our village area is about 5K RMB (\$800) per
		square meter.
		Since the project is intended for

advancing the city, improving the living condition, we demanded to be relocated back to our village. But they move us one kilometer away from where we live now. A comparable house in our village is worth 500 RMB (\$100) more per square meter. First of all, the demolition itself is unlawful. Second, we are being relocated to a remote area with no compensation. Of course we are not happy about it.

When Hexi Industral Company came to measure the houses for the official record, the house owners were not informed at all. Very often villagers were working in the filed during their visits. Sometime they only estimated the measure outside of the house, and took a figure much less than the actual size of the house. They refused to admit or correct the error. They are also many historical problems. Some families bought their land before the Communist Party came to power in 1949. As their lands were not allocated by the government, if by government, there would be

		new policy to deal with the issue. With these
		lingering unsolved historical issues, with no
		proper compensation, Of course, many
		families are not willing to move at their own
		lost.
00:11:37:27	Attorney Wen	Some water sports for 2008 Olympic
Interview		games will be hosted in Qingdao. Qingdao
		has been working on reconstruction projects
		in the past few years. We started to work on
		the Hexi demolition and eviction case in April
		2007.
		The reconstruction of Hexi Village is
		one of the city development projects. The
		villagers of Hexi thought that in some cases
		the government did not follow the legal
		procedures during the demolition. They
		believed that their civil and legal rights were
		being violated. Therefore, they want to follow
		the proper legal channel to protect their rights.
00:12:22:24	Representative	We think the demolition process and
Interview	Yuan Benjun	procedures are not legitimate. It does not
		follow the Guidelines for Demolition of Urban
		Housing, which is published by municipal

		government of Qingdao.
		My name is Yuan Benjun, and I am an
		ordinary farmer in this village. I am being
		elected as their representative by those
		families who have not moved out. No legal
		documents have ever been shown to the
		public since the demolition started on March
		12, 2005. The demolition was carried out by
		violence and thugs. Villagers were forced to
		move out.
00:13:01:28	Villager	Since I did not agree to move out, in
Interview	Women in red	less than two weeks, one night after midnight,
		around 3:40am, five or six thugs came driving
		a van, they throw bricks at my house. After
		they got their job done, they ran away with
		cheers. They hit my doors and windows with
		large bricks. All windows were broken. I called
		the police right away, but they were reluctant
		to respond. Even I called the police three
		times the police did not show up at all.
00:13:50:15	Representative	We have reported a total of 14 violence
Interview	Yuan Benjun	cases to the police since the demolition
		started. The violence cases included breaking

		windows and doors, intruding into villagers'
		houses, even threatening villagers with big
		axes.
00:14:12:08	88 Years Old Man	It is this door. There were smashed by
		axes. It was on the 8 th of June 2006 around
		three o'clock in the morning.
00:14:28:07	Other villagers	These are marks left by axes.
00:14:33:00	88 Years Old Man	Two wore white and the other two
		wore black.
		It was three o'clock in the morning. I
		was sleeping. I am hard of hearing, but I
		heard loud noise. My wife sat up in bed. "Who
		are smashing the door?" She was scared to
		death.
00:15:06:15	Son of Yuan Shouyi	They beat the windows just like this.
		When they hit this window, I shouted at them
		" What are you doing? Are you crazy? " Then
		they ran away immediately and disappeared
		in the dark.
00:15:26:25	Villager	I was sleeping in this room. The
	Yuan Shouyi	moment when I stepped out and passed that
		porch, they hit me with brick. They hit me on
		both my legs. I fell on my knees. It was in the

		middle of the dark night, a little pass two
		o'clock. The power was cut off! Since then we
		haven't had power.
		Old as I am, how could I live? Nobody
		cares about me. The government has me
		beaten up. You tell me what could I do?
16:11:02	Voice Over of	These people holding the flashing
Night Patrolling	Villager Rep. :	lights are our self-organized guards. Those
	Yuan Bendu	are organized spontaneously by the
		remaining residents to protect ourselves. If no
		one is on look out, someone will drive a
		bulldozer to destroy our houses in the night.
		We have to protect own properties and
		ourselves day and night.
		There are two shifts, for both first and
		second halves of the night. There are more
		than 60 people per shift. The first night shift
		ends at 12 o'clock then the second shift
		comes to take over. One person from each
		household takes part on the watch, with the
		exclusion of the elderly.
		There is nothing else we can do to deal
		with the problems. We have to protect our

		rights.
17:10:00	Villager Rep.	Since the demolition started, electrical
Interview	Yuan Benjun	power and water supply have been cut off.
		After many negotiations, one water tap was
		installed on each street. We have to carry
		water in buckets to our houses. Since water is
		only available on the street, some families
		have to walk a long distant to fetch water.
		One streetlight was installed on each street.
		The power supply to villagers' houses was
		reconnected for a while, but unstable.
		Sometimes we have power, but most of the
		time we don't.
		Public facilities often got damaged.
		Cable TV and telephone were out of service
		all the time.
		In 2004, the villagers wanted to create
		an organization to oversee the demolition.
		However, the Hexi Village Commission did
		not agree with our proposal.
00:18:01:22	Narration	To discourage the villagers from
Truck on village		staying, the road leading towards the village
road		was piled with trash on purpose, turning the

Trash		area into a landfill. When summer came, the
		whole village was full of a foul smell. Public
		facilities were damaged as well. Streetlights
		are broken. Telephone and Cable TV were
		cut frequently.
00:18:24:14	Representative	Since March 12, 2005, Liu, Lixiao, the
Interview	Yuan Bendu	head of the Hexi Company instructed his
		close circle and his relatives to start
		demolition. They destroyed the house as
		soon as the family moved out. The debris
		were scattered all over the places. Roads and
		streets were blocked. The electricity and
		water supply were cut off before the majority
		of villagers moved out. The harsh
		circumstance gave villagers no choices but to
		leave. Over 800 families were forced to move
		out and sign the agreement.
		On March 17, we started to petition to
		local authorities. We went to Sifang District,
		Qingdao municipal government. But we
		received no responses from them. We were
		left no alternative.
		On March 28, 2005, we started our

		journey to petition to higher authorities in
		Beijing.
		If only we knew the difficulty of this
		path. Our bitterness cannot be put into words.
		We went to the State Bureau of Letters
		and Calls, the Ministry of Construction, the
		Ministry of Land and Resources, the
		Communist Party Discipline Commission, and
		the Ministry of Public Safety to report the
		illegal demolition practice. We went to Beijing
		to petition to central authorities a total of 12
		times. We even went to Beijing to petition
		during the sessions of the People's Congress.
00:19:34:00	Attorney Li Subin	How many villagers does this
		represent?
00:19:36:07	Village Rep.	It represented over 600 households of
Voice Over	Yuan Benjun	Hexi Village.
00:19:38:00	Villager Rep.	We went to the Bureau of Construction
Ovice Over	Yuan Bendu	in Shandong Province more than 15 times.
		We received no responses from them. None
		of our problems get resolved.
		On the 28 th of March 2005, we went to

		Beijing to petition. On the 1 st of Apr 2005, we
		went to the state Bureau of Letters. On the 3 rd
		of Apr 2005, we went to the Ministry of
		Construction.
00:20:08:06	Attorney Li Subin	In our Country, petition to higher
Interview		authorities is a way for many people to voice
		their concerns. The petition process is
		relatively simple. An individual can start this
		process without hiring a lawyer. If they file a
		lawsuit instead, they need to hire a lawyer
		and that will increase their cost to protect their
		rights. So, the villagers choose to petition to
		higher authorities first. After the forced
		demolition started, the villagers came to us for
		help.
00:20:40:25	Representative	After we have lawyers helping us, the
Interview	Yuan Yusheng	villagers who did not agree to move out have
		elected me as their representative. My name
		is Yuan Yusheng. I'm an ordinary villager of
		Hexi. I am a farmer and used to raise
		livestock for a living. Since demolition started,
		I live on government welfare. I have no
		income beyond 100 RMB (less than 20

		Dollars) per month. Other than that I do not
		have any incomings. I do not have any job
		now.
		I can say that the majority of Hexi
		Villagers, more than 98% welcome the
		demolition and reconstruction. It will improve
		our living conditions. I embrace this idea very
		much. It is a good thing. We can live in new
		high-rise buildings rather than old clay
		houses. We should have no reason not to like
		it. Who would not like to live in a new house?
		However, the demolition needs to follow the
		legal procedure.
		The backyard used to be our vegetable
		patch. Now, it turns into an apartment
		complex. We asked how we could make a
		living? No one gave us an answer. We
		farmers rely on land all year long to make a
		living.
00:21:40:26	Representative	Before the demolition, I used to grow
Interview	Yuan Benjun	vegetables for a living. Our houses were torn
		down, and our farmland was taken away, but
		we didn't receive any compensation for our

		farmland and relocation cost. It has been
		three years since the demolition started. We
		did not receive a single penny for our losses
		in the past three years. From now on, I have
		to go out of town to find temporary works.
00:22:07:17	Representative	I used to work at the mailroom of Hexi
Interview	Yuan Bendu	Industry Company. Although salary was not
		much, I had health insurance and retirement
		plans. Since I started to represent the
		villagers to petition the higher authorities, the
		company fired me and cut off all my benefits.
00:22:23:13	Attorney	When the villagers came to Beijing to
Interview	Wen Haibo	petition to the central authorities, they
		approached Professor Teng Biao at The
		China University of Political Science and Law.
00:22:34:22	Attorney	As a law scholar and professional
Classroom	Teng Biao	attorney, I see too many legal rules that are
interview		not followed at all in real practice, even
		implemented completely opposite. What is
		being done is totally against what is written in
		the law. It is entirely caused by the law
		enforcement officials, the Public Security
		Bureau, the Courts, and the Prosecutors not

		following the legal procedures.
		Due to the limited time and energy, we
		only can focus on few cases. We selected
		those cases that have a meaningful impact on
		the society and the social system. Through
		working on these cases, we hope to bring the
		underlying legal and political issues to the
		public, and as a result, to push the
		improvement of a social system.
00:23:34:26	Representative	We have heard about Mr. Teng.
Interview	Yuan Yusheng	Indeed, he is a very reputable attorney in
		China. All the lawyerss in Qingdao are too
		scared to take our cases. There is no single
		local lawyer willing to represent us since the
		demolition and evictions are driven by the
		government. All the villagers who stay
		unanimously agreed to have Mr. Teng to
		represent us. We are very pleased to have
		Mrs. Ten as our attorney helping us.
00:24:00	Attorney Teng and	Villager: "There are over 70 houses left
Walking in the	villagers	in the village, and about 80 families have not
village		yet signed the agreement. Some houses had
		already been demolished, but no agreements

		were signed yet."
00:24: 33:21	Mother of Yuan	Please save my daughter. Get her out
Dog barking	Xinyu, one of four	of the jail.
Interview	arrested villagers	On that day, I was standing here
		behind this window begging the police.
		"Please do not tear down our house!"
		However, I shot down by the fire hose. I was
		inside my own house. Why did the police bully
		us like that? How could they do this to us?
		How vicious is the Hexi Committee and the
		Xifan District Governmnt! They do whatever
		they want: arresting people, tearing down our
		houses.
		On the day prior to the demolition, My
		daughter tried to commit suicide. I saved her.
		However, she felt so helpless on that day.
		She sat on to the roof of her house. More
		than 600 police and guards came with
		bulldozers, trucks, fire trucks, and police cars.
		The police used fire hose to shot my daughter
		down. They were pulling on her hair to drag
		her down from the roof. My daughter was up
		side down. She looked dead. They pulled on

		her hair to drag her down from the roof. My
		son-in-law was carried away by four men. We
		paid by our own money to build the house.
		What law did they break? She has her child
		and her mother in law. We have to protect our
		house.
00:26:07:25	Bother of Yuan	My sister applied for the land use right
Interview	Xinyu	before building her house. She got the
		approval from the Village Committee to use
		the land for her house. She has paid 1200
		RMB as Land Use and Land Administration
		Fee. In China, it is common to pay first and
		then receive the permit later. After they have
		paid the two fees and while waiting for the
		permits to be issued, the demolition at Hexi
		Village started. The permits were o longer
		issued. Since my sister had not yet received
		the permit for the Land Use Rights, her house
		was announced as illegal construction. My
		sister confronted the police and the city patrol
		for a while. Eventually, She lost hope in living
		and decided to set herself on fire.
		She was shot down by the fire hose

		while trying to set herself on fire. She was first
		charged with arson, and then, a couple days
		later, she was charged with interfering with
		public administration.
00:27:27:00	Attorney Ten	Is Judge Li in the office?
Attorney Teng on		Oh, He is. Good. Thank you.
the phone		
00:27:3:23	Narration	In the first court session of the District
Indictment		Court of Sifang, the lawyers questioned the
		legality of the forced demolition. They argued
		that the Hexi Industrial Company is not
		qualified as evictor to carry out the demolition
		or the forced demolition since it did not obtain
		proper permits. Therefore, the forced
		demolition should not be considered as a
		public administrative affair, and the charge of
		interfering of public administrative affair
		should not stand. If the court acknowledged
		the illegality of the demolition and eviction, the
		charge against the couple would have been
		dissolved immediately. The court avoided
		discussing the legality of demolition and
		eviction process.

28:25:00	Attorney Wen	Their arguments can't stand. Unwilling
Outside Court		to cooperate with the demolition? It is still
House		considered as public affair.
Attorney Ten and		But they did not argue whether the
Wen reading		demolition is legal o not at all.
Verdict		
00:28:59:26	Narration	The court changed the "obstruction of
		public administration" charge into an
		"unwilling to cooperate with police" charge.
		The couple's action of arson did not directly
		hurt the police force; therefore the crime was
		relatively light.
		Lawyer Teng and Lawyer Wen do not
		agree with the charge and decide that he will
		help Yuan Xinyu to appeal. To avoid the
		Court to object the appeal, they need to
		prepare the complaint well.
00:30:05:04	Narration	The appeal requires the defendant's
Teng and Wen		signature. It is about 10:30 in the morning.
walking in the		The lawyers are trying to finish writing the
stree		appeal by noon, and then they will visit Yuan
		Xinyu in the detention center to get her
		signature in the afternoon.

Attorney Ten Preparing appeal		face obstacles in defending citizens whose rights have been violated by the government. (In China, lawyers are under the authority of the Ministry of Justice, which oversees the
Preparing appeal		(In China, lawyers are under the authority of
		the Ministry of Justice, which oversees the
		lawyers' practices.) Lawyers need to have
		their certifications for practicing law renewed
		annually from bar associations. These Bar
		associations remain under the control of
		judicial authorities, which are subject
		ultimately to the Communist Party. The
		government perceives lawyers who work on
		politically sensitive cases as a threat to social
		stability or a potential embarrassment to the
		Party's rule. It uses politically motivated
		prosecutions to target the most outspoken
		advocates for public good.
		Any case mentioning wrong doing by
		the government or disputing government
		policy could be considered a political sensitive
		case. Few lawyers are willing to take on such
		public interest cases.
00:31:10:20 N	Narration	The lawyers are on their way to get

Street Scene		signatures for the 2 nd appeal. The law
		requires that the appeal is to be signed by a
		relative of the defendant before it is filed.
00:31:22:11	Attorney Teng	It needs to wait until the 2 nd trial. The
Talk to the		petition for release on bail has been filed.
defendant 's	Defendant's Brother	"Please tell my sister that everything is
Brother	Attorney Teng	fine. She needs to stay strong and hang in
		there."
		"OK."
00:31:50:06	Brother of Yu	Their hard work changed the court's
Interview	Xinyu, the Suspect	ruling in the appeal.
		The court did not prove the charge of
		obstructing public administrative affair. My
		sister was charged for attempted arson and
		sentenced to jail for 18 months. Her husband,
		Zheng Fangwu, was sentenced to jail for12
		month.
		Although the lawsuit didn't help my
		sister very much, I hope at least it would draw
		some public attention to the rights of people,
		especially from those who enforce the law.
		Lawyer Ten and Lawyer Wen truly care

		about people and fight justice for people. I
		trust them.
00:32:39:14	Lawyer Teng Biao	"This is YuanXinyu's house. That is the
Layer Teng		receipt of the 1200 RMB fees she paid for
Walking in village		land usage fee. She enlarged the receipt and
		nailed it onto the wall to show everyone. "
00:32:56	Narration	March 30, 2007, the Qingdao City Real
Arbitration by		Estate Development Bureau released
Estate		Arbitration. This Arbitration gives the evictor
development and		approval to proceed with forced demolition
management		and eviction. Following this, Sifang District
Bureau of		government notified the villagers who still
Qingdao		remained about the local government's
		decision on the forced demolition and
		eviction.
		The Arbitration stated that the evictee
		can file a complaint to the Qingdao City Real
		Estate Development Bureau within five days
		after receiving the notice if the evictee does
		not agree with the it, and within 3 months, the
		evictee has the right to file a civil suit at the
		local court.
		Within the 5-day time limit, the villagers

		filed the complaint against the Qingdao Hexi
		Industry Company. The Qingdao City Real
		Estate Development Bureau refused to
		accept the complaint without any reason, and
		only told the villagers to file suit at the court.
00:34:02:08	Villager	"Today, I am here to file my complaint.
Attorney Li	Yuan Deliang	It says within 5 days I can file a complaint."
talking with Yuan	Attorney Li	"When did you receive this notice?"
Deliang	Villager Yuan	"I received it on April 5 th after 5 o'clock
		in the evening. But, when I filed the complaint,
		they refused to take it.
		"If nothing is submitted, the house will
		be forcefully demolished after 15 days."
	Villager Yuan	"April 20 th , they will tear down my
		house regardless. My house will be forcefully
		taken down whether we move out or not in 15
		days. I didn't get any answer. Nothing is
		resolved. Fifteen of us came to submit our
		compliant, but none of us got any answer. I
		am here to submit my compliant, but they
		refuse to take it. "
00:31:26	Yuan Deliang	Five or six people came toward my
Yuan Deliang on		house carrying a ladder to enforce the

roof

demolition. They put up the ladder against my wall and climbed up my roof without my permission. To stop them. I poured a bucket of shit towards them. I do not remember what happened after that.

They took me to the police station and kept me for a day there. The next day, August 28th, I was sent to the No. 2 detention center.

On April 27th around 10 o'clock that morning, my wife and I were arrested. We were accused with the crime of interfering with public administration.

There were police, city patrol and many others up to hundreds and thousands.

Some in uniform; some not. They circled around my house.

My wife has been arrested. I was also jailed for a month.

I am released now on bail. They granted the bail petition because I have two young kids. They are still going to school.

They need me to take care of them.

My name is Yuan Deliang. I live in Hexi

		Village. My house is No. 1062.
		I am innocent. I am protecting my own
		property. I have all legal property documents.
		Here is my house used to be. All our
		belongings and furniture are buried
		underneath.
		We lived here for generations. My
		father and fathers' father all lived here for
		hundreds of years. My grandfather passed it
		on my father. My father passed it on me. This
		is all I inherited from my forefathers.
00:37:08:18	Narration	This couple did not know the fate of
Bulldozer tears		their house when they were arrested. A
down house		month later, during his visit, attorney Teng
		Biao relayed the message to them that their
		house had been torn down the day they were
		arrested.
		That day, the two kids came home
		from school, found their parents were
		arrested and their home was destroyed.
00:37:31:24	Elder daughter	Our family used to live in the No. 1062
Interview	Yuan Xuemei	house in Hexi village.
House number		My name is Yuan Xuemei. I am 20

		years old.
		I went to school in that morning as
		usual. My house was there and everything
		was fine. When I came back form school that
		day, my house was gone. The place is flatted.
		There was no one at home. Our neighbors
		told me that our house was demolished by
		force and our parents had been taken away
		by police.
		I was so shocked. Why are they being
		taken away? I couldn't figure out why, what
		kind of crime they would possible commit?
		They both are very mild people who don't
		ever cause any trouble. Why are they being
		arrested?
00:38:21:06	Attorney Teng	Excuse Me? I am Lawyer Teng.
Attorney Teng on		Is Yuan Deliang and Zhang Meiying's
the phone		case transferred to the prosecutor's office
		yet?
		It has not yet transferred.
		OK. That's all. Thanks!
00:38:31:15	Narration	According to Article 69 of Criminal
Attorney Teng		Procedure Law of People's republican of

and Wen walk on		China, if a public security organ finds it
street walking		necessary to arrest a person already
		detained, it shall submit a request to the
		people's procurator's office for approval the
		arrest, within seven days after the detention.
		The time limit for submitting the request for
		approval may be extended to 30 days.
		In practice, lawyers are allowed to
		meet the suspect only once before the court
		session. However, these lawyers want to
		seek another chance to speak to their clients.
		It seems the police office has moved to a new
		location.
00:39:23:11	Attorney Ten	"You accompanied us to visit Yuan
Run into the	Attorney Wen	Deliang, Zhang, last time."
Policeman	Attorney Teng	"It is regarding the demolition case of
		Hexi Village."
		"You accompanied us to visit Yuan
		Deliang at No. 2 detention center last time."
	Attorney Wen	"It was at No. 2 detention center."
	The Policemen	"You have visited once, right? Since
		you have visited once, You can't visit again. "
	Attorney Teng	"We can not meet Zhang Meiying one

		more time."
		"The case has not been transferred to
	The policemen	the Prosecutor's office yet. Is the arrest
		approved?
	Attorney Wen	"Not yet. Zhang Meiying has been
		approved the arrest."
	The policemen	" Um, the case has not been
		transferred to the prosecutor's office yet."
		" You two can not visit Zhang Meiying
	Attorney Teng	today.
		You have visited once, You can't visit
		again."
		"I just moved here. I don't know any
		numbers."
		"Can we get your office number by any
		chance? We went to the former location. The
		office building is remodeling."
00:40:28:21	Narration	On April 16th, 2007, three families that
Court building		were evicted from their homes filed their
		complaint at the People's Court of Sifang
		District. Until now, the court has not even
		notified these families weather their complaint
		would be accepted or rejected even though

	Women in white	the legal time limit has long passed.
		"According to the law, they should
		register our case within 7 days, but they didn't
		do it."
	Women in red	"If they continue to ignore us, we would
		have to go to a higher court.'
		The first time we came here was April
	Women in white	16 th . Since then we have come here three
		times."
		"This is the third time we come here.
		They won't see us since it is the 8 th day."
		"They won't let us in for the last two
		times. Even today they still didn't let us in nor
		would they register our case."
00:41:14:21	Narration	According to Article 42 of
Teng and Wen		Administrative Procedure Law of the People's
filed the case at		Republic of China, when a people's court
Sifang District		receives a bill of complaint, it shall, upon
Court		examination, file a case within seven days or
		decide to reject the complaint.
		On April 24, the 3 families filed their
		complaint at the Intermediate People's court

		of Qingdao. Seven days later, the court judge
		Mr. Yang verbally informed the attorney that
		the court has decided to not accept their
		complaint.
00:41:59:15	Villager	Sifang District and the department of
Tea Scene		Justice called on a communist party meeting
		today.
		It was announced that any activities to
		protest the demolition wouldn't be allowed.
		Anyone who protests the demolition
	Attorney Teng	will be arrested.
	Villager	Today the party meeting took place?
		It was also said that, if taken away this
	Attorney Teng	time, the punishment would be much harsher.
	Villager	Let's not go to extreme. Let's fight our
	Attorney Teng	rights leally.
	Villager	They broke the law for not registering
		our case.
		Yes, it's obvious.
		We have pursued the case with the city
		congress.
		They said that they would look into the
		case, but instead, they just kicked the ball

		around.
		The city kicked it to the district; the
		district said the same thing to us.
		Until today, we haven't seen any
		progress beyond empty promises.
		When they come to your house to
		persuade you saying that "just go ahead to
		sign the paper." The lawyers from Beijing
		can't help it either. You can't even get the
		case registered.
		It is no use to fight. Nothing you can do
		about it. The case cannot even get registered
		at court. Nothing you can do about it. If you
		don't sign, once the time window is passed,
		the terms will no longer be valid; you wouldn't
		even be eligible for whatever house offered
		now."
		But when we asked them for an official
		contract, they couldn't offer us one.
00:43:33:28	Narration	According to Article 10 of the Supreme
Attorney Teng		People's Court's Interim Regulation on Filing
and Wen filed the		Legal Cases, the court should register the
case at district		complaint and the evidence it received, and

court.		also give a receipt to the plaintiff. To avoid
		leaving proof of not following legal
		regulations, both the District Court of Sifang
		and Intermediate Court of Qingdao refused to
		issue receipts to the three families after
		receiving the complaints.
		So far, the families of Hexi village have
		run into a dead end in using the legal system
		to seek justice.
		Ironically, the Sifang District Courts
		showed unusually high efficiency by
		delivering a guilty verdict in just a few weeks
		to the villagers arrested during forced
		demolition and eviction.
00:44:27:05	Attorney Teng,	"Court hearing is at 9:20. It starts in
Outside the		about 30 minutes."
detention center		
00:41:31:09	Defendant,	"I am here today to attend the court
	Yuan Deliang	hearing. My wife and I are charged with Crime
		of Interfering with Public Administration."
00:44:43:05	Daughter of	I have not seen my mom for two
Interview	defendant	months. I hope it's all gonna be fine at the
	Yuang Xuemei	end. Both of my parents will be released and

		go home.
44:54:25	Narration	The Court secession was hold at the
		detention center instead of the Court House.
		Only Five people are allowed to companying
		the defendant, which include the defendant's
		lawyer and family numbers.
45:24:26	Villager	My name is Liu Genmei. I am 57 years
Interview	Liu Genmei	old. I moved here 34 years ago to get married
		and have lived here since. My house is
		No.1319. I have both Property Ownership
		Certificate and Land Usa Certificate. I asked
		them for legal documents, saying once
		notarized, I could move, but they didn't
		answer my request. This tent was just built
		yesterday. The next day, it rained heavily. All
		my belongings were inside and got wet. On
		June 29 th , it was very hot, around 11:30
		o'clock, and my house was taken down. I built
		this tent afterwards.
46:19:12	Villager	Our family has lived here for more than
Interview	Yuan Shaoxing	four generations. My house is No. 815. My
		house was demolished by force on June 29 th ,
		2007. My name is Yuan Shaoxing. I was

standing right here, surrounded by lots of city patrollers. They blocked me from getting close to my house. My wife was inside the house. I saw two people dragged her out. She was too tiny to fight back. It didn't take them much to drag her out.

They didn't let me move. Five or six city patrollers surrounded me and pushed me away from my house. Bulldozers came from this direction, tore down our house straight through. My house was built in 1980 when I got married. It only got approved for we were military dependents. Otherwise, we couldn't have been eligible for a piece to build a house. I said to them you are robbing! I have all the legal papers. What rights do you have to take my property away?" My pain was beyond words at the time. I even thought about ending my life. All of sudden, I became homeless. It was not easy for my parents to build this house, at that time we were very poor. We borrowed the money from our relatives and friends to build it. They wrote off

		4 square meters from the actual size of my
		house. I have talked to them many times
		about it. They knew and admit the mistake but
		refused to correct it. After my house was
		demolished, I had nowhere to live. I went to
		the village Party Secretary Liu Lixiao and
		asked him "Would you want me to live on the
		street?
48:07:02	Widow	My house is No. 1169 in Hexi Village.
Interview		My house was the first one that got
Out side of		demolished on the 29th. I have both
detention center		Certificates for Property Ownership and Land
		Usage" but they still demolished my house. I
		am only a widow. What can I do? How could
		a society possibly allow such things
		happening? They made the announcement,
		saying that it was ordered by government that
		the demolition must be done. This demolition
		was supported by local government. Liu
		Lixiao and Liu Hualiang were both present at
		the demolition site. I Shouted at them. As a
		female what can I say? All my belongings are
		moved to a house arranged by them. It is on

		single room. The house was built only a
		month ago. It is very humid, not livable at all,
		so I don't live there now and stay with friends
		at the moment. We need to pay house rent;
49:20:08	Narration	we need to eat. We don't have enough money
		to get by. Both of my children are
		unemployed, jobless.
49:34:25	Villager	On June 29, 2007, forced demolition
Interview	Yuan Benyi	was carried out on the last seven houses in
Flag, tent, goats		Hexi village.
		My nameais Yuan Benyi. I live in this
		village. I'm 75 years old. I have lived here
		since I was born. My ancestors had probably
		lived here for hundreds and even thousands
		of years. Now I built a tent here, sleep here at
		night too. At night, I have to watch out for
		thugs that might be coming back. There were
		in total over 2700 acres of land in the village.
		Now they sold them all. The west side was
		sold. The north side was the land we used to
		live on, the farmland. T was sold as well. All
		turned to houses. The west side has already

	been built with houses, but many are still not sold.
Narration	Updates

APPENDIX D. ORIGINAL STORY PROPOSAL



Mr. Hao Jinsong

Hao Jinsong, a law school graduate student at China University of Political Science and Law, drew a lot of public attention recently in China.

Over the past two years, Hao Jinsong has filed seven lawsuits for public interest against government branches and state-owned industries. They include the China Taxation Bureau, Beijing Subway Transportation Company, Beijing Railway Bureau affiliated to China Railway Bureau. His story was widely reported by the media and he was portrayed as a legal warrior.

Since August 2004, he has filed seven lawsuits. Among them four were against railway authorities for not providing him official receipts when he made purchases, dined on the train and had his ticket refunded at railway station; two were against the state owned subway company for not building public restrooms in the newly opened subway system; and one was against the Administration of Taxation for not carrying out its duty.

Four lawsuits against railway authorities:

Case No.1

On September 16, 2004, Hao Jinsong had his meal at the dining car while traveling at train T109 from Beijing to Shanghai. Hao asked for the receipt after paying, but was told that there were none provided on board. Thus Hao Jinsong sued Beijing Railway Bureau, under which train T109 was supervised. He asked for both receipts and apologies from railway authorities.

On November 7th, Beijing Railway Transportation Court opened the case. There, Beijing Railway Bureau presented receipts and the head of the dining car and two of his

staff showed up as witnesses. They claimed that Hao did not exchange his ticket for an official receipt. The judges came to the conclusion that there was not enough evidence to prove that Hao himself had asked for an official receipt after paying for his meal, thus rejected his petition. Hao did not accept the verdict and filed a following appeal to The Intermediate Railway Transportation Court. On May 4, 2005, this court held the original verdict, and reject his appeal.

Case No. 2

After losing the above lawsuit, he then sued Shijiazhuang Railway Bureau affiliated to Beijing Railway Bureau and Taiyuan Railway Bureau with the same accusation. He claimed that he traveled respectively on train K702 from Taiyuan to Beijing on December 8, 2002 and on train T519 from Beijing to Baoding on May 22, 2005. On both trains, he bought fruits and soft drinks, paying 8 Yuan and 6 Yuan respectively. After paying, he asked for receipts, but was told that the trains could not provide any. With Hao's insistence, train attendants wrote him notes with stamps as substitutes for receipts. The notes indicated how much Hao had paid for each item.

Hao Jinsong showed the unofficial receipts to the court as evidence to Beijing Railway Transportation Court. He asked for the official receipts and apologies. Standing for the accused parties, Beijing Railway Transportation Court reject Hao's case, and indicated that it should be handled by Taiyuan and Shijiazhuang Railway Transportation Court, located in the cities where the trains started.

Case No.3

On February 5, 2005, Hao Jinsong traveled on train N257 from Beijing West Station to Taiyuan, and bought fruits, souvenir cards, and socks, paying a total of 60 Yuan. When asking for receipts, he got the same reply. By insisting, he received three written notes as substitutes for receipts. On February 17, Hao made the same case for the third time bringing Beijing Railway Bureau to Railway Transportation Court for the third time.

Case No.4

On November 13, 2004, Hao returned his ticket at the Beijing Railway station, but he got no official receipts for the fee imposed on the return. He received only an unofficial receipt printed by the Railway Service without bearing the national taxation stamp. He asked for the official one, but was told that like other railway stations everywhere in China, Beijing Railway stations do not offer official receipts when refunding the train tickets. Hao then brought Beijing Railway Bureau to Beijing Eastern District Court, in which district the station is located.

He made the exact same case for the fourth time by asking for official receipts and written apologies. This case was transferred to Railway Transportation Court due to jurisdiction.

Out of four lawsuits against China State Railway authorities, one is ruled in favor of Hao. Even though only a minimal amount of money was asked for compensation in all of his cases, in some case even less than a dollar, Hao considered himself as a

winner. "Every time I sat in court across from the defendant of a government ministry and argued aggressively for the public interest, I am already a winner," said Hao.

Hao's victory over the Ministry of Railroads didn't come without a tough fight. The railway system in China is one of the largest monopoly sectors and has its own police, court and attorney office. The lawsuits against the Ministry of Railroads have to be first judged in special Rail Transportation Courts, which are not only under the supervision of the Ministry of Railroads, but also are paid by the Railroads for its operation costs and salaries.

The Rail Transportation court quickly ruled the first trial against Hao and a subsequent appeal still needs to be reviewed by a higher railway transportation court. As expected he lost his second appeal, but he persisted in filing his third appeal.

In order to put the railway authorities under more pressure, Hao made complaints to the State Administration of Taxation and the Beijing Dongcheng Tax Bureau for the tax evasion practiced by railway system. He argued that the tax were avoided by failing to provide official receipts for on board purchase. When he did not receive reply within the timeframe required by law, he sued both the State Administration of Taxation and the Beijing tax bureau for dereliction of duty. Meanwhile, his lawsuits against Railway Authorities were still under way.

Case No. 5

On May 18, 2004, Hao submitted his complaints to National Taxation Complain Center for not being offered proper receipt after purchases while traveling by trains. Within the timeframe required by law, he did not get any reply from the Center. On August 8, he filed a lawsuit to Beijing First Intermediate Court against National Taxation Bureau for dereliction of duty. This case is presently in process.

In addition to filing lawsuits, Hao delivered a proposal to the National People's Congress after losing his second appeal at Higher Railway Transportation Court.

In the proposal Hao demanded the Congress examine the unconstitutional nature of the rail transportation courts. By citing the clause in the constitution that courts should be independent, he argued that due to the nature of the rail transportation courts, it is unlikely for those plaintiffs suing the railway authorities to have fair trails. Therefore the courts should be abolished. He raised the question of the chances for such courts to rule in favor of passengers who are against the rail authorities since the courts rely heavily on the railway industry for every penny of their expenses.

Hao's lawsuits were widely reported, generating much public attention. In June, 2005, Hao won one of his four cases against state railway authorities for failing to provide proper receipts for purchase made on board.

Since March 1, 2005, railway passengers can obtain receipts printed by the State Administration of Taxation for their purchase on board. This ends a long-standing practice under which the Railway Ministry had avoided paying taxes.

On March 15, the China Consumer Journal named Hao Jinsong as one of ten consumer rights-defending heroes of the year 2005.

Since Chinese tax bureaus depend on official receipts to assess the profits of companies. It ends a long-held privilege whereby the Ministry of Railways had been able to avoid strict taxation of its income.

How much money will the ministry lose as a result of Hao's victory? Ministry statistics showed that the railroads carried more than 4.5 billion passengers from 2000 to May 2004. If each passenger spent 1 Yuan (about 12 U.S. cents) during the journey, then the railroad made more than 4.5 billion Yuan of taxable sales. This means a minimum of 225 million Yuan of tax from 2000 to May 2004. Even though the railway may have paid a lump-sum tax to the government coffers by special arrangements, tax officials admit that the railway industry has traditionally been under taxed.

Hao explained that he only thought about the significance of protecting the nation's taxation system later. The absence of tax receipts meant the railway sector did not have to pay taxes for onboard retail.

Some observers in Beijing speculate the central government's desire to curtail the power of the Railway Ministry played a crucial role in this extraordinary story of an ordinary individual against a powerful government institution.

But Hao disagrees. He thinks he showed the public that the law can be used to effectively protect citizen's rights. Whether he could achieve success immediately is not Hao's top concern. He stresses more the building up of public confidence in the ability of an individual to push the government into moving forward.

As a law professional, Hao has a wider goal aiming to defend not just consumer rights, but also civil rights as well. He has been pursuing his goal through the knowledge of the law, and the courage of a human being.

Hao has set up a Web site to offer legal advice to people, but he resisted the idea of operating an online forum for legal issues. He is concerned about the radical

views among the public which may exceed the tolerance of the government and lead to the closure of the website.

Hao Jinsong represents a new breed of activists in China. They are intellectual people using ingenious strategies to explore the existing space for citizen to participate in public life. In order to obtain the substantive results, they carefully avoid the confrontational stance adopted by political dissidents. Instead, they pick their fights skillfully.

VITA

Graduate School Southern Illinois University

Dong Yu

idocumentary@gmail.com

Communication University of China Bachelor of Art in Journalism and Media Production May 1995

Special Honors and Awards: During my study at SIUC, I was awarded RT Department Scholarship Awards,
Best in Craft in Editing,
Best Individual Segment,
Silver Dome Award and
Midwest America Emmy Award.

Research Paper Title:

Bulldozed: In the Shadow of Progress

Major Professor: Janice Thompson

Publications: John Foppe: Condition Alt: News 26:46