

Antibacterial Potential of *Clerodendrum inerme* Crude Extracts Against Some Human Pathogenic Bacteria

Abdul Viqar Khan and Athar Ali Khan

*Department of Botany, Faculty of Life Sciences, Aligarh Muslim University,
Aligarh , 202002, India*

Summary

This communication emphasized upon the sensitivity of the crude extracts of *Clerodendrum inerme* (L.) Gaertn. [Verbenaceae] against some of the human pathogenic bacteria. Five plant extracts (Petrol, Benzene, Methanol, Ethyl acetate and Aqueous) under six different concentrations (500mg/ml, 1mg/ml, 2mg/ml, 5mg/ml, 10mg/ml and 15mg/ml) were tested by disk diffusion method. Methanol, Ethyl acetate and Aqueous extracts of the plant showed significant inhibition against fifteen of the eighteen bacteria tested. No earlier report on antibacterial activity of this taxon could be found in literature.

Key words : *Clerodendrum inerme*, sensitivity, inhibition.

Plants have been an integral part of human society since the start of civilization. India is rich in its plants diversity, a number of plants have been documented for their medicinal potential which are in use by the traditional healers, herbals folklorists and in Indian systems of medicine namely, Ayurveda, Unani, Siddha apart from a Homeopathy and Electropathy. These plant species play major role in the health care of the nations population.

Different national and international pharmaceutical companies are utilizing such plant based formulations in treatment of various diseases and disorders world around (Chandel et al., 1997; Singh & Gautam, 1997; Satyavati et al., 1987; Pulliah, 2002; Jain, 1991; Khan et al., 2002; Kirtikar & Basu, 1935)

Many of the plant species have been documented pharmacologically and clinically which are endowed in phytochemicals with marked activity on human pathogenic bacteria. (Anonymous, 1976; Ray & Majumdar, 1976; Khan, 2002; Cox, 1994; Khan et al., 2002; Asolkar et al., 1992; Rastogi & Mehrotra, 1991, 1993; Rastogi, 1998; Perry & Metzger, 1998; Fransworth, 1988).

An attempt was made to study the possible anti bacterial potential of the plant *Clerodendrum inerme* (L.) Gaertn. [Verbenaceae]. It is a straggling shrub, leaves obovate to elliptical oblong, and glabrous. Plant is commonly grown as hedged. Locally the plant is known as *Lanjai*, its leaves are used in chronic pyrexia (Khan, 2002).

Chemical constituents: 3- epicaryoptin, neolignan.

Pharmacology: Alcoholic extract of the plant proved to be hypotensive. While essential oil possess anti

fungal properties(Asolkar et al.,1992; Rastigi & Mehrotra, 1991,1993; Rastogi, 1998).

Materials and methods

Plant material

Clerodendrum inerme (L.) Gaertn. [Verbenaceae], leaves of the plant were collected from the university campus, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh , India.

Preparation of extracts

Crude plant extracts; were prepared following Robinson (1963), the protocol is described below:

- i. Freshly dried and healthy plant material is ground into fine powder in an electric grinder. Powder so obtained is stored in dessicator.
- ii. Five hundred g plant powder is refluxed with 95% methyl alcohol (MeOH) in a round bottom flask on a water bath for 10 hours. Mother liquor (Crude MeOH extract) is filtered out and residual plant material is again refluxed with 95% methyl alcohol for 10 hours. The process is repeated four times to obtain maximum yield of MeOH extract. The extract is evaporated to dryness at 50°C under reduced pressure.
- iii. Dried methanol extract is refluxed with light petrol (60-80°C) for five hours. After filtration, the residual methanol extract is again refluxed with petrol for five hours and filtered. This process is repeated five times. Petrol is evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain petrol soluble extract.
- iv. Petrol insoluble fraction of methanol extract obtained in step (iii) is refluxed with benzene for five hours. Thereafter, it was filtered and refluxed again with benzene for five hours and filtered. The process was repeated five times. Benzene is evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain benzene soluble extract.
- v. Benzene insoluble fraction obtained in step (iv) is refluxed with ethyl acetate for five hours. Thereafter, it is filtered and refluxed again with ethyl acetate for five hours and filtered. The process is repeated five times. Ethyl acetate is evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain ethyl acetate soluble extract.
- vi. Ethyl acetate insoluble fraction obtained in step (v) is refluxed with methyl alcohol (95%) for five hours, filtered and is repeatedly refluxed for five times with methyl alcohol (Methanol). The methanolic soluble fraction is evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain methanolic extract, while methanol insoluble residue is discarded. The steps are graphically presented as a flow chart in Fig. 3B

Preparation of aqueous extract

Shade dried plant material (500 g) is ground to a fine powder, It is poured with distilled water, and left for 72 hours at room temperature. The flask is then refluxed over hot water bath for 10 hours and the mother liquor is filtered. The solute is again added with solvent (distilled water) that is again refluxed and filtered; this process is repeated for 4 times. The filtrate, thus obtained, is evaporated to complete dryness on a water bath. The residue thus obtained is aqueous plant extract.

Yields per 1000 g dry material: Petrol \simeq 10.0 g, Benzene \simeq 12.5 g, EtOAc \simeq 8.0 g and MeOH \simeq 13.0 g. aqueous extract material (500 g) (yield \simeq 40.0 g).

500 mg	03	-	06	-	04	00	04	00	00	04	04	-	-	-	00	-	-	05
1 mg	03	-	07	-	05	04	05	05	02	05	06	-	-	-	03	-	-	06
2 mg	06	-	10	-	08	07	09	08	06	09	09	-	-	-	07	-	-	09
5 mg	12	-	14	-	11	10	12	11	09	13	12	-	-	-	12	-	-	11
10 mg	16	-	17	-	16	12	16	16	12	16	16	-	-	-	16	-	-	16
15 mg	19	-	20	-	19	16	21	19	16	19	19	-	-	-	19	-	-	19
ETHYLACETATE																		
500 mg	04	04	04	05	01	03	04	-	04	04	-	04	-	01	03	02	02	03
1 mg	04	05	05	05	02	04	05	-	04	05	-	04	-	02	05	03	03	04
2 mg	08	08	07	08	04	06	09	-	08	07	-	06	-	04	09	06	06	07
5 mg	14	14	12	14	07	10	15	-	10	10	-	09	-	06	14	09	09	10
10 mg	17	16	17	19	09	12	19	-	13	13	-	14	-	09	17	11	11	12
15 mg	21	20	21	21	12	18	21	-	17	18	-	19	-	11	21	13	15	17
METHANOL																		
500 mg	03	04	04	-	-	02	04	05	-	-	03	-	03	-	-	02	02	04
1 mg	04	05	04	02	-	03	05	05	-	02	04	-	04	-	-	02	05	05
2 mg	08	09	08	06	04	06	08	08	-	06	08	-	06	-	04	05	06	07
5 mg	14	15	12	09	07	10	14	14	-	09	11	-	09	-	06	09	09	12
10 mg	17	17	17	13	09	12	17	17	-	12	13	-	12	-	09	11	11	14
15 mg	21	21	20	19	12	16	20	20	-	16	18	-	16	-	11	15	14	16
AQUEOUS																		
500 mg	-	-	-	02	-	-	-	-	-	01	-	01	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 mg	-	03	02	03	-	-	-	-	-	02	-	02	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 mg	05	06	05	06	-	05	03	-	02	05	-	05	-	-	04	-	05	-
5 mg	10	11	10	10	03	07	08	-	05	0810	-	07	-	-	06	-	07	-
10 mg	13	13	13	14	05	10	11	-	08	13	-	09	-	-	08	-	10	-
15 mg	16	17	15	16	08	12	15	-	10		-	11	-	-	11	-	12	-
Chloramphenicol																		
10 mg/disc	18	18	16	-	-	-	16	18	16	-	16	18	-	16	17	19	18	20

Gram Positive Bacteria

1. *Staphylococcus aureus* 2. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25953 3. *Staphylococcus albus* 4. *Streptococcus haemolyticus* Group-A 5. *Streptococcus haemolyticus* Group-B 6. *Streptococcus faecalis* 7. *Bacillus subtilis*.

Gram Negative Bacteria

1. *Escherichia coli* 2. *Edwardsiella tarda* 3. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 4. *Proteus mirabilis* 5. *Proteus vulgaris* 6. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7. *Salmonella typhi* 8. *Shigella boydii* 9. *Shigella dysenteriae* 10. *Shigella flexneri* 11. *Plesiomonas shigelloides*.

^a Values are the mean of replication of three; -, no inhibition.

Discussion

Very interesting facts were recorded during the sensitivity test performed. In case of petrol extract *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most affected bacteria (zone of inhibition 6mm/500mg/ml/disk). Followed by *Shigella dysenteriae* and *Shigella flexneri* (zone of inhibition 5mm/500mg/ml/disk). Benzene extract inhibited the growth of eleven tested bacteria and the maximum inhibition zone was recorded against *Staphylococcus albus* (zone of inhibition 6mm/500mg/ml/disk). Fifteen microorganisms were found sensitive to ethyl acetate fraction and the most affected bacteria were *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Shigella boydii* (zone of inhibition 8mm/500mg/ml/disk/each). While methanolic fraction was found to most effective against *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus subtilis* gram positive and three of the gram negative bacteria (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis* and *Shigella boydii*) (zone of inhibition 7mm/500mg/ml/disk/each).

From the results it is clear that leaves of *Clerodendrum inerme* are effective

in controlling bacterial pathogens, particularly gram positive bacteria. In these investigations it becomes certain that most effective crude extract was ethyl acetate for which maximum zone of inhibition was recorded. Followed by methanol fraction that also inhibited the growth of fifteen tested human pathogens. While petrol and benzene extracts as compared to the methanol showed weak anti microbial action. This action may be synergistic and not due to the efficacy of one single substance. It was also noticed that methanol, ethyl acetate and aqueous extracts showed antibacterial activity against both types of pathogens (Fig. 1). The above results revealed that plant extracts could be effective antibiotics. Both in controlling gram positive and gram-negative human pathogens. The results also confirm the utility of plant as a wound-healing agent.

Acknowledgement

Thanks are due to *Department of Science and Technology SERC Division, New Delhi* for financial support to the author *Dr Abdul Vigar Khan*. Authors are also grateful to the *Chairman, Prof. Ainul Haq Khan, Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh* for his cooperation and providing space and other facilities.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Anonymous (1976) *Indian material medica*, Vol.1, pp.283-284, Population Prakashan, New Delhi, India.
2. Asolker LV, Kakkar KK & Chakra OJ. (1992) *Second supplement to glossary of Indian medicinal plants with active principles*, part 1(A-K). XIVII+414, Pub. & Inf. Div.(CSIR), New Delhi.
3. Bauer AW, Kirby WMM & Sherris T. (1996) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standard single disc method. *American Journal of clinical pathology* **45**,493
4. Colle JA & Marr W. (1989) *Cultivation of Bacteria*. In Mackie & Mc Cartney: Practical microbiology 13thed. pp.121-140, Churchill livingston, USA.
5. Cox PA. (1994) *The ethnobotanical approach to drug discovery: strengths and limitations*. In, ethnobotany and the search for the new drugs. pp. 25-36, John Wiley & Sons. England.
6. Cruickshank R. (1968) *Medical Microbiology : A guide to diagnosis and control of infection*, pp.888, Edinburgh and London : E & S Livingston Ltd.
7. Fransworth NR. (1988) *Sereening plants for new medicines* . Wilson EO(ed.). Biodiversity, pp.83-97, National Academy Press, Washington.
8. Jain SK. (1991) *Dictionary of Indian Folk medicine and ethnobotany*, pp.XII+311, Deep publication, N.Delhi.
9. Khan A.V. & Khan A.A. (2003) herbal abortifacients used by folk people of some districts of Western Uttar Pradesh (India). *Journal of Natural Remedies* 3(1), 41- 44.
10. Khan AV, Parveen G,. Alam MM & Singh VK. (2002) Ethnomedicinal uses of Neem in rural areas of Uttar Pradesh, India. *Ethnomed & Pharmacog. II Rec. Prog. In Med. Plants* pp. 7, 319-326, (Sci. Tech. Pub. USA).
11. Khan AV, Alam MM & Singh VK. (2002). Ethnomedicinal uses of *Citrullus colocynthis* (L.) Schard. In rural areas of Aligarh District of Uttar Pradesh, India *Ethnomed. & Pharmacog. II. Rec. Prog in Med. Plants. 7*, 383-388, (Sci. Tech. Pub. USA).

12. Khan AV. (2002) *Ethnobotanical studies on plants with medicinal and anti-bacterial properties* pp. 1-293. (Thesis). Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.
13. Kirtikar KR & Basu BD. (1935) *Indian Medicinal Plants*. Vol 3, pp. 1841. Allahabad. Lalit Mohan Publication.
14. Mueller JH & Hinton J. (1941) A protein free medium for primary isolation of the gonococcus and meningococcus proceedings of society of exp. *Biology and Medicine* 48, 330-333.
15. Perry LM & Metzger J. (1998) *Medicinal plants of east and south Asia*, attributed properties and uses. Massachusetts and London: MIT press Cambridge.
16. Rastogi RP & Mehrotra BN. (1991) *Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants*, Vol. III 1: 497, CSIR Publication, India.
17. Rastogi RP & Mehrotra BN. (1993) *Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants*, Vol. IV 1: 497 CSIR Publication, India.
18. Rastogi RP .(1998) *Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants*, Vol. 1, 497, CSIR Publication, India.
19. Ray PG & Majumdar SK. (1976) Antimicrobial activity of some Indian Plants. *Economic Botany* 30(4), 317-329.
20. Satyavati. GV, Gupta AK & Tanabu N. (1987) *Medicinal plants of India* Vol.2 pp. XI+557, CSIR Publication, Indian Council of Medical Research, Cambridge printing worker, N. Delhi.
21. Singh SH & Gautam M. (1993) Bioresources of Med. & Aro. Plants of India. Their conservation and related issues, *Kurukshetra* 56(3) 9-13.