# Southern Illinois University Carbondale OpenSIUC

Honors Theses

University Honors Program

8-1987



Susan Diane McCuistion Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/uhp\_theses

#### **Recommended** Citation

McCuistion, Susan Diane, "Acid Rain Clean-up Costs" (1987). Honors Theses. Paper 156.

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the University Honors Program at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Honors Theses by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

# Acid Rain Clean-up Costs

 $\hat{\phantom{a}}$ 

submitted by

Susan Howard

University Honors Program Senior Honor Thesis Summer 1987

#### The problem: Emissions and acid rain

A major problem of coal burning electric plants is emissions sulfur dioxide (SO ) and nitrogen oxides (ND ). "In Eastern of North America, fossil fuel combustion is responsible for more than 90 percent of the sulfur and nitrogen oxides (SO and NO ) emitted to the atmosphere" (Johnson, 1986). Most current emissions of sulfur (eleven to fifteen million tons per year in eastern North America) come from coal combustion. Fossil fuels containing sulfur are burned, yielding sulfur oxides into the "Nitrogen oxides are produced from atmospheric atmosohere. during high-temperature combustion of fossil nitrogen fuels...Sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides are converted in the atmosphere to sulfuric and nitric acids,...which are removed from the atmosphere by wet and dry deposition processes" (Johnson, 1986). The wet deposition is often referred to as "acid rain". The results of acid rain are the subject of a fairly new debate, because "in essentially every case in which acid deposition has been suspected to be a factor in causing a change in the environment, an alternative explanation based on other human activities or natural phenomena has been proposed" (Johnson, 1986).

Many disruptions in the ecosystems of lakes and forests have occurred, however, at a heightened rate following the increase in industrial activity in the early 1900's (Johnson, 1986). Tests done on precipitation indicate that precipitation in the eastern United States is more acidic now than in the mid

1950's or 1960's. "Concentrations of sulfate and nitrate in precipitation that are...at least [five times greater] than those in remote areas of the world" have been found in the eastern states (Johnson, 1986). Normal rainfall has a pH of 5.6. however, "sulfur and nitrogen oxides from industrial pollution can increase the acidity of rain several hundred times" (Sargent, 1985). In Baltimore and the surrounding areas, for example, rain with pH as low as 2.9 has been recorded. Tests measuring sulfate inputs and outputs of lakes indicate that "sulfate deposited from the atmosphere is finding its way into surface waters in the Northeast" (Johnson, 1986). The tests also indicate that "trends in stream sulfate are consistent with SD ...emissions" (Johnson, 1986). A study of the trends in Adirondack lakes showed the most rapid changes in the pH of the lakes transpired between 1930 and 1970. "Analysis of the available land-use data...led to the conclusion that the recent rapid declines in lake-water pH are related to increased acid deposition... The three year study [of the Adirondack lakes] shows that there is no longer reasonable doubt that aquatic ecosystems are affected by sulfur emissions. The extent of the adverse effects, however, is not clear" (Johnson, 1986).

Many decreases in fish and tree populations have been blamed on acid rain. In the Chesapeake, where striped bass once flourished, the populations are at an all-time low (Sargent, 1985). High concentrations of acid have been recorded in the bay, and "below [a pH of] 7, striped bass eggs and larvae have difficulty surviving" (Sargent, 1985). In Canada, maple trees

have been dying at a much faster rate than normal. The usual expiration rate of the trees is two percent per year; however, they have been dying at a rate of ten to twenty percent per year for the last several years. Although there may be several contributing factors, researchers are sure acid rain plays a large roll in the death of the maples. The town in which the decline in trees was first noticed "receives 18 to 27 pounds of sulfate deposit from acid rain per acre per year, one of the highest levels of acidic precipitation in the province" (Wallace, 1985).

The first step to reducing acid rain is to decrease emissions of sulfur dioxide into the atmosphere. The method of reduction currently in use is scrubbing. The process of scrubbing treats the smoke given off from the burning of coal with limestone. The smoke emitted is sent through a duct lined with limestone. The limestone then produces a chemical reaction with the sulfur dioxide, and emissions into the atmosphere are lowered.

The bill: Acid Deposition Control Act of 1986, H.R. 4567

Bill H.R. 4567, the "Acid Deposition Control Act of 1986", called for the clean-up of emissions from fossil fuel burning plants. Although the original version of the bill was scrapped, it is still undergoing alterations. According to H.R. 4567, the clean-up process was to be completed in two phases. Phase I of the clean-up was to be realized by January 1, 1993, at which time sulfur dioxide emissions were not to exceed "an annual average rate of 2.0 pounds per million Btu of heat input" (H.R. 4567). A study was to be conducted "to determine the reduction in acid deposition achieved pursuant to phase I requirements" (H.R. 4567). At the end of phase II, the average annual rate of sulfur dioxide was not to exceed 1.2 pounds per million Btu, while nitrogen oxides were not to exceed 0.6 pounds per million Btu, by January 1, 1997. The plants were to be routinely inventoried to test emissions to see that they comply with the standards set. The states were allowed to "provide for compliance with the requirements...through any emission limitations and other requirements which the State deems appropriate" (H.R. 4567). Also. the states were to make sure that there was nm "unnecessarily disproportionate economic effect on electric utility ratepayers in any region of the State or in any utility service area" (H.R. 4567). A subsidy program was to be instituted to protect residential utility customers from "excessive rate increases due to the imposition of sulfur dioxide emission reduction requirements" (H.R. 4567). Subsidies were to

be distributed if the rate increase was the direct result of the enactment of sulfur dioxide emission requirements and the increase in utility rates exceeded ten percent. In order to be eligible to receive the subsidy, the plant must have met three criteria: first, the system the company installed to reduce emissions must have been installed on a

> steam generating unit in order to comply with emission limitations established for that unit under State plan provisions adopted; ...[second,] the steam generating unit [was] specifically designated by the Governor of the State as a unit on which a technological system of continuous emission control [was] to be installed for purposes of meeting such emission limitations,...[and finally,] the construction of the steam generating unit commenced on or before September 18, 1978 (H.R. 4567).

A fee was to be assessed to the electric utilities to raise the funds necessary for the subsidy payments. It was to "vary in proportion to the sulfur dioxide emission rate so that a higher fee [would] be imposed in the case of a higher sulfur dioxide emissions rate" (H.R. 4567). This fee was not to "exceed 1/2 mill per kilowatt hour", and it was to apply only to electric energy generated by the burning of fossil fuels (H.R. 4567). Fenalties were to be assessed for failure to pay the fee. A fund, called the "Acid Deposition Control Fund", was to be established, and investments in public debt securities were to be made with this fund as the Secretary of the Treasury saw fit.

## The procedure: Determining government subsidy

The first step to determining government subsidy monies was to gather results from the Coal Technology Laboratory/Coal Supply Transportation Model (CTL/CSTM). The CTL/CSTM is a computer simulation of coal demand forecasts developed by a group of researchers at Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The country was divided into 44 demand regions, each region was divided into "jobs", and each job was matched with specific plants. "Output from CTL/CSTM for each job indicates a shipment of coal to one or more plants, characterized by the amount of coal shipped, its mine-mouth and transportation costs, its Btu content, and its sulfur content" (Arey et al, 1987). The amount coal to be treated is indicated in the "SUB BTU X 10E12" of The calculated expense of purchasing, installing, column. and operating the advanced technology necessary to reduce emissions is in the "SUB \$ X 10E6" column. Each of these figures, including the region, job, and company matches were obtained from the CTL/CSTM.

Next, a percentage of the coal supplied to each company in a job was found. The total thousand short tons for each job was divided into the sum of thousand short tons supplied to all the plants of a particular company in that job. This percentage is stated in the "% OF SUPPL" column.

The total operating revenue for 1985 for each company was then obtained ("\$ GENERATED" column). Since the CTL/CSTM was in 1980 dollars and the operating revenues were in 1985 dollars, it

was necessary to discount the revenues by dividing by a factor of 1.29, as determined from a price index table. The result is expressed in the column "\$ / 1.29".

bill stated that the companies were expected to raise The their prices at most ten percent to cover the cost of buying, installing, and operating the scrubbers ("10% OR CARRY-OVER"). Using the percentage previously found, the company's portion of money to be contributed to the job was calculated by multiplying the percent figure by the discounted price ("% OF SUB \$"). The difference between the ten percent price raise and the percentage of money the company was expected to raise is in the "10% - SUB 9" column. If the result was positive, the company received no subsidy, since the costs associated with the scrubbers could be covered with a ten percent or less increase in prices. However, the difference was negative, the company received the i i difference from the government in the form of a subsidy ("SUB AMT").

Since a company could appear in more than one job or region, running totals were kept of the positive amount of money the company had left after contributing its portion to a job. Each time the company appeared, the new amount was used until the balance (carry-over amount) was zero. At that time, the company started receiving subsidies from the federal government for its portion of the costs, since a zero balance indicated a company raised its rates the full ten percent, and all monies generated were used.

The revenues for two companies could not be found, so an

average of the revenues for the region was used. These companies were Region 25, Job 16, Hoosier Energy, and Region 32, Job 15, Eastern Iowa Light and Power Company. Also, some companies did not have their operating revenues published, so they were contacted by phone for this figure.

#### Conclusion

Sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides emitted from coal-fired plants are changed into acids in the atmosphere. These acids are released through wet and dry deposition processes. The acidity from rainfall, "acid rain", leads to an increase in the acidity of surface water. Researchers know this increase in acidity can lead to a disruption in the ecosystems of the lakes and streams, but much research still needs to be done on the exact effects of acid rain.

The "Acid Deposition Control Act of 1986", bill H.R. 4567, was established to reduce emissions from coal burning plants. The bill also provided for government subsidies to reduce economic strain on residential customers due to the rate increase necessary to cover the costs of purchasing, installing and operating the advanced technology needed to decrease emissions. Subsidies were provided if the money needed exceeded a ten percent increase in utility rates.

The methods set forth in the bill, along with the Coal Technology Laboratory/Coal Supply Transportation Model, were used to determine the amount of government subsidy necessary for each company to install scrubbers. The amount of subsidy money needed totals \$1.8 billion. 2.5 million million kilowatt hours of all types of electricity were sold by privately, publicly, and cooperatively owned electric companies in 1985. Since the majority (77.8%) of these companies are privately owned, it is safe to assume that the percentage for the steam energy generated

by private utilities (77.5%) was about the same as the steam energy generated by all utilities, since no such figure was available for all utilities. 77.5% of 2.5 million million kilowatt hours results in 1.9 million million kilowatt hours of electricity generated by steam. By taking the ratio between the total subsidy money and total kilowatt hours sold, an amount for the fee to be assessed per kilowatt hour can be found. In this case, a fee of 9/10 mill should be charged. The bill, though, states that the fee is not to exceed 1/2 mill, so nearly 1/2 mill would need to be raised by another method.

### References

- Arey, D., Dziegielewski, B., Crenshaw, J., Parker, G., Drylis, I. (1987). <u>Modeling the impacts of changing coal technology and</u> <u>policy</u>. Coal Technology Laboratory Final Report. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Coal Research Center.
- Department of Commerce. (1987). <u>Statistical abstract of the</u> <u>United States 1987</u>. Washington, D.C.
- Johnson, A.H. (1986). Acid deposition: Trends, relationships, and effects. <u>Environment</u>, E**28**1, 6-10.
- Sargent, B. (1985). Striped bass and acid rain. <u>Oceans</u>, [18], 8-9.
- U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration. (1985). <u>Financial statistics of selected electric utilities</u> <u>1985</u>. Report No. DOE/EIA-0437 (85). Washington, D.C.
- Wallace, B. (1985). Death in the sugar bush. <u>Maclean's</u>, [98], 56-57.

APPENDIX A

.

·

JOB	COMPANY	SUB BTU ×10E12	SUB \$ ×10E6	SUPPL	l \$ GENERATED	¦ (\$/1.29
REG	ON 1		·	,	,	(
15	FUB SERV CO OF NH	37.511	22.896	100	519,739,592	402,898,909
REG	CON 2		-	{	2	, 1
13	MONTAUP ELEC CO			12.9	254,894,233	197, 592, 429
	NEW ENGLAND PWR CO			83.3	1,016,400,076	787,907,036
	UNITED ILLUMINATION	90.722	55.984	3.8	514,936,496	399,175,578
1 /1	UM VAKE WATES & DWS	· ·	•			
7.4	NEW ENGLAND PWR CO		1	1 30.0	1,016,400,076	1 787.907.036
1		34,530	21.309		i shosof ioofoioi i i	
REG	ION 3				I I	
14	NY STATE ELEC & GAS I			55.3	1,051,578,367	815,177,029
	NIAGARA MOHAWK PWR			44.7	2,078,134,522	1,610,956,993
l		140.998	85.941			
155	NTAGARA MOHAWK PWR -	i i		1 1 47 81	: ! 2 078 134 522!	: 1 410 954 993
10 1	ROCHESTER GAS & ELEC			37.2	473,553,467	367,095,711
:		49.845	30.366		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
REG	(nn 4		1	• •		
13	ATLANTIC CITY ELEC			10.9	579,732,674	449,405,174
ł	PUB SERV ELEC & GAS			84.4	3,000,564,466	12,326,018,965
	VINELAND ELEC DEFT			4.7	24,165,813	18,733,188
1		64.314	39.688		1	1
14	JMSTWN BD OF PUB UTL	3.004	1.854	100	14,247,941	11,044,916
15	ATLANTIC CITY ELEC	22.720	14.020	100	579,732,674	449,405,174
PEGI		i i		i i		ł
14	METROPOLITAN EDSN CO	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		8.9	636,746,382	493.601.847
	PENN PWR & LT CO			91.1	1,971,318,234	1,528,153,669
1		308.162	175.760	; I		
REGI		1	8	i i		8
14	DUQUESNE LT CO			7.5	868,815,238	673,500,184
	PENN ELEC CO		l	66.4	768,054,604	1 595,391,166
	PENN PWR CO			5.7	224,696,075	174,183,004
	WEST FENN FWR CO			20.4	833,584,033	646,189,173
		430 <b>.6</b> 39	247.483	i i	l l	1
15	PENN ELEC CO	119.216	68.516	100	768,054,604	595,391,166

REGION/ JOB	10%  (OR CARRY-OVER)	% OF SUB \$	   10% - SUB\$	SUB AMT
REGION 1 15	40,289,891	22,896,000	17,393,891	- 0 -
REGION 2 13	   19,759,243   78,790,704   39,917,558 	7,221,936 46,634,672 2,127,392	12,537,307 32,156,032 37,790,166	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -
1.4	2,074,101 32,156,032	7,841,712 13,467,288	-5,767,611 18,688,744	5,767,611 - 0 -
REGION 3 14	81,517,703 161,095,699	47,525,373 38,415,627	33,992,330 122,680,072	- 0 -
15	122,680,072 36,709,571	19,069,848 11,296,152	103,610,224 25,413,419	- 0 - - 0 -
REGION 4 13	44,940,517 232,601,897 1,873,319	4,325,992 33,496,672 1,865,336	40,614,525 199,105,225 7,983	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -
14	1,104,492	1,854,000	-749,508	749,508
15	40,614,525   	14,020,000	26,594,525	- 0 -
REGION 5 14	49,360,185 152,815,367	15,642,640 160,117,360	33,717,545 -7,301,993	- 0 - 7,301,993
REGION 6 14	67,350,018 59,539,117 17,418,300 64,618,917	18,561,225 164,328,712 14,106,531 50,486,532	48,788,793 -104,789,595 3,311,769 14,132,385	- 0 - 104,789,595 - 0 - - 0 -
15	   59,539,117   	-0-	-68,516,000	68,516,000

JOB	COMPANY	SUB BTU x10E12	SUB \$ ×10E6	I % SUPPL	t \$ GENERATED	   \$/1.29
REG: 14	ION 7 MONONGAHEIA POWER CO OHIO POWER CO VA ELEC & POWER CO	238.208	141.820	34.8 27.8 37.4	499,431,970 1,382,177,877 2,586,288,082	387,156,566 1,071,455,718 2,004,874,482
15	MONONGAHEIA POWER CO	118.203	70.340	100	499,431,970	387,156,566
16	OHIO POWER CO	51.476	30.632	100	1,382,177,877	1,071,455,718
REG: 13	ION 9 APPALACHIAN POWER CO POTOMAC ELEC PWR CO VA ELEC & POWER CO	92.965	53.023	15.2 28.8 56.0	1,323,031,686 1,315,698,672 2,586,288,082	1,025,605,958 1,019,921,451 2,004,874,482
14	VA ELEC & POWER CO	76.174	43.446	100	2,586,288,082	12,004,874,482
REGI 14	ION 10 POTOMAC ELEC PWR CO	204.917	126.032	   100	1,315,698,672	1,017,921,451
15	BALT GAS & ELEC CO	20.154	12.395	,   100	1,301,462,835	1,008,885,918
REG1 14	ION 11 CAROLINA PWR & LT CO DUKE POWER CO S. CARLNA ELEC & GAS	206.022	117.659	32.1 2.5 65.4	1,934,714,578 2,899,661,273 788,699,943	1,499,778,742 2,247,799,436 611,395,305
REGI 14	ON 12 GEORGIA POWER CO	466.337	291.527	100	3,444,298,641	2,669,998,946
15	GEORGIA POWER CO	291.511	182.235	100	3,444,298,641	2,669,998,946
16	GEORGIA POWER CO	9.754	5.563	100	3,444,298,641	2,669,998,946
REGI 15	ON 13 GULF POWER CO	92.963	58.953	100	518,223,638	401,723,750
16	TAMPA ELECTRIC CO	90.321	57.280	100	861,423,787	667,770,378
REGI 14	ON 15 EAST KY RURAL ELEC KENTUCKY POWER CO	148.410	85.587	58.4 41.6	233,168,362 260,417,779	180,750,668 201,874,247

REGION/ JOB	10%    (OR CARRY-OVER)	% OF SUB \$	   10% - SUB\$ ¦	SUB AMT
				يسجب ويغلب فليبع كليب عليب وليبع ليبيه ليبت عليب عصف عليك فكله فلله
REGION 7		10 757 7101	   _10 437 703!	10 477 707
T -+	1 00,710,007 1	30 47,000,000 30 475 040!	-10,007,7007	10,037,703 - 0 -
	1 200 487 448 1	53 040 680	147 444 748!	- 0 -
15	-0-	70,340,000	-70,340,000	70,340,000
16	67,719,612	30,632,000	37,087,612	- 0 -
REGION 9		:		
13	102.560.596	8,059,496	94.501.100	- 0 -
	101.992.145	15,270,624	86.721.521	- 0 -
	147,446,768	29,692,880	117,753,888	- 0 -
14	117,753,888	43,446,000	74,307,888	- 0 -
REGION 10				
14	86,721,521	126,032,000	-39,310,479	39,310,479
15	100,888,592	12,395,000	88,493,592	- 0 -
REGION 11				
14	149,977,874 1	37,768,5391	112,209,335;	- 0 -
	224,779,944	2,941,475	221,838,4691	- 0 -
	61,139,531   	76,948,986	-15,809,455	15,809,455
PERION 12		1		
14	266,999,895	291,527,000	-24,527,105	24,527,105
15	- 0 - 1	182,235,000	-182,235,000	182,235,000
16	-0-	5,563,000	-5,563,000	5,563,000
REGION 13		•	i Î	
15	40,172,375	58,953,000	-18,780,625	18,780,625
16		57,280.0001	: 9,497.038 (	- 0 -
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~
REGION 15				<b></b>
14	· 18,0/0,06/ ( ! 20,197,405 ·	47,782,8081 75 201 1001		01,707,741 15 Ata 747
	i ⊔astevatorie i	1 2 7 £ و 000 و 000 .	· ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۱	10°410°101

JOB	COMPANY	SUB BTU ×10E12	SUB \$ ×10E6	·%    SUPPL	\$ GENERATED	   \$/1.29
REG	ION 16			;		
14	KENTUCKY UTILITIES TENNESSEE VALLEY AUT	41.258	27.456	38.5 61.5	527,288,044 4,547,487	408,750,422 3,525,184
15	BIG RIVERS RURAL ELC HENDERSON MUNIC PWR KENTUCKY UTILITIES	130 423	94 793	88.2    2.9    8.9	236,023,720 21,495,820 527,288,044	182,964,124 16,663,426 408,750,422
16	OWENSBORD MUNIC UTIL	69.732	46.405	   100	47,707,474	36,982,538
						2 1
REG. 14	TUN 17   TENNESSEE VALLEY AUT  	72.147	43.019	100	4,547,487	; ; 3,525,184 ;
REGI	[ON 18		•			• 1 1
14	I TENNESSEE VALLEY AUT	52.753	33.914	100	4,547,487	3,525,184
15	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUT	306.511	197.050	100	4,547,487	' : 3,525,184
REG	ION 19		•	, , 		۰ ۱ ۶
14	ALABAMA POWER CO	167.128	100.242	100	2,414,218,592	1,871,487,280
15	MISSISSIPPI POWER CON TENNESSEE VALLEY AUT			39.51 60.51	446, <b>797,</b> 159 4,547,487	346,354,387 3,525,184
ł		133.411	80.019			
16	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUT	53.218	31.940	100   	4,547,487	3,525,184
REGI	[ON 20			· ·		,   
14	PAINESVILLE ELC & LT			4.1	1,253,989,614	972,084,972
1	OHIO EDISON CO			19.01	1,543,389,684	1,196,426,111
ł		33.787	20.850			
15	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM	82.624	50.987	100     100	1,240,884,849	961,926,240
16	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM	65.556	40.454	100	1,240,884,849	, 961,926,240
REG	ION 21		,	     	1 543 300 404	1 1 11 104 //74 111
	COLMBS & S OHIO ELEC	7.810	4.820	95.41	692,583,578	536,886,495
1						1
16	OHIO EDISON CO   COLMBS & S OHIO ELEC  	87.082	53.738	45.0    55.0  	1,543,389,684 692,583,578	1,196,426,111 536,886,495
ł						1 1

REGION/ JOB	10%  (OR CARRY-OVER)  	% OF SUB \$	10% - SUB\$	SUB AMT
REGION 16 14	40,875,042 352,518	10,570,560 16,885,440	30,304,482; -16,532,922;	- 0 - 16,532,992
15	18,296,412 1,666,343 30,304,482	 76,551,426  2,519,997  7,724,577	  -58,255,014  -853,654  22,579,905 	58,255,014 853,654 - 0 -
16	   3,698,254   	46,405,0001	-42,706,746	42,706,746
REGION 17 14	- 0 -	43,019,000	-43,019,000	43,019,000
REGION 18 14	- 0 - 1	33,914,000	-33,914,000	33,914,000
15	1 - 0 - I	197,050,000	-197,050,000	197,050,000
REGION 19 14	187,148,728	100,242,000	86,906,728	- 0 -
15	34,635,439 - 0 -	31,607,505 48,411,495	3,027,934 -48,411,495;	- 0 - 48,411,495
16	! ! - 0 - !	31,940,000	-31,940,000	31,940,000
REGION 20 14	97,208,497 45,672,305 119,642,611	854,850 16,033,650 3,961,500	96,353,647; 29,638,655; 115,681,111;	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -
15	96,192,624	50,987,000	45,205,624	- 0 -
16	45,205,624	40,454,000	4,751,624	- 0 -
REGION 21 15	   115,681,111   53,688,650 	221,720 4,598,280	115,459,391 49,090,370	- 0 - - 0 -
16	   115,459,391   49,090,370	24,182,100 29,555,900	91,277,291 19,534,470	- 0 -

.

JOB	COMPANY	SUB BTU   ×10E12	SUB \$ ×10E6	I % I SUPPL	\$ GENERATED	   \$/1.29
erei				 !		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	DAYTON POWER & LT CO CINCINNATI GAS & ELC OHIO EDISON CO	286.286	176.411	45.9   22.5   31.6 	694,094,030 927,177,129 1,543,389,684	538,057,388 718,741,960 1,196,426,111
15	CARDINAL OPERATING CINCINNATI GAS & ELC	49.164	30.295	73.7    26.3	189,000,000 927,177,129	146,511,628 718,741,960
16	OHIO EDISON CO OHIO POWER CO OHIO VALLEY ELEC CO	484.391	298.484	11.4 67.5 21.1	1,543,389,684 1,382,177,877 306,926,930	1,196,426,111 1,071,455,718 237,927,853
REGI 13   	ON 23 CONSUMER'S POWER CO DETROIT EDISON CO UPPER PENINSULA PWR	276.985	175.471	66.4 15.4 18.2	1,697,243,094 2,737,020,292 56,689,975	1,315,692,320 2,121,721,156 43,945,717
14	CONSUMER'S POWER CO	18.905	11.976	100	1,697,243,094	1,315,692,320
15 (	DETROIT EDISON CO	192.790	122.132	100	2,737,020,292	2,121,721,156
16 1	DETROIT EDISON CO	2.888	1.844	100	2,737,020,292	2,121,721,156
REGI	ON 24					
14	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC	86.019	55.866	100	180,781,797	140,140,928
15	CENT IL PUB SERV CO ILLINOIS POWER CO SPRINGFLD WTR,LT&PWR	64.312	42.261	55. 22.01 22.91	529,899,783 766,466,944 80,387,731	410,775,026 594,160,422 62,316,071
16	CENT IL PUB SERV CO COMMONWEALTH EDISON ILLINOIS POWER CO SOUTHERN IL PWR COOP	263.214	170.261	15.4 24.8 52.4 7.4	529,899,783 4,964,151,124 766,466,944 35,825,175	410,775,026 3,848,179,165 594,160,422 27,771,453
REGI 14	ON 25 RICHMOND PWR & LT CO	7.936	5.083	   100	29,669,414	22,999,546
15	INDIANA & MICH ELEC INDINAPLS PWR & LT PUB SERV CO OF IND	256.208	164.046	6.41 27.61 66.01	1,059,777,176 442,713,823 974,963,313	821,532,695 343,189,010 755,785,514

REGION/ JOB	10% (OR CARRY-OVER)	% OF SUB \$	10% - SUB\$	SUB AMT
REGION 22 14	53,805,739 71,874,196 91,277,291	80,972,649 39,692,475 55,745,876	-27,166,910 32,181,721 35,531,415	27,199,910 - 0 - - 0 -
15	14,651,163 32,181,721	22,327,415 7,967,585	-7,676,252 24,214,136	7,676,272 - 0 -
16	   35,531,415   37,087,612   23,792,785 	34,027,176 201,476,700 62,980,124	1,504,239; -164,389,088; -39,187,339;	- 0 - 164,389,088 39,187,339
REGION 23 13	131,569,232 212,172,116 4,394,572	116,512,744 27,022,534 31,935,722	15,056,488 185,149,582 -27,541,150	- 0 - - 0 - 27,541,150
14	15,056,488	11,976,000	3,080,488	- 0 -
15	185,149,582	122,132,000	63,017,582	- 0 -
16	63,017,582	1,844,000	61,173,582	- 0 -
REGION 24 14	   14,014,093   	55,866,000	-41,851,907	41,851,907
15	41,077,503 59,416,042 6,231,607	23,285,811 9,297,420 9,677,769	17,791,692 50,118,622 -3,466,162	- 0 - - 0 - 3,466,162
16	17,791,692 384,817,917 50,118,622 2,777,145	26,220,194 42,224,728 89,216,764 12,599,314	-8,428,502 342,593,189 -39,098,142 -9,822,169	8,428,502 - 0 - 39,098,142 9,822,169
REGION 25 14	   2,299,955	5,083,000	   -2,783,045	2,783,045
15	   82,153,270     34,318,901   75,578,551	10,498,944 45,276,696 108,270,360	71,654,326; -10,957,795; -32,691,809;	- 0 - 10,957,795 32,691,809

.

JOB	COMPANY	SUB BTU X X10E12	SUB \$ x10E6	SUPPL	¦ \$ GENERATED	¦ \$∕1.29
REG1	ON 25 HOOSIER ENERGY INDIANA & MICH ELEC INDIANA-KENTUCKY ELC NRTHN IND PUB SERV PUB SERV CO OF IND STHN IND GAS & ELEC	400.438	256.394	6.1 8.3 33.1 23.3 15.1 14.1	666,202,460 1,059,777,176 148,781,485 964,647,640 974,963,313 182,842,686	516,436,016 821,532,695 115,334,484 747,788,868 755,785,514 141,738,516
REG: 14	ION 26 DAIRYLAND PWR COOP MADISON GAS & ELEC WISCONSIN ELEC PWR	23.507	14.506	3.9 16.9 79.2	159,799,307 122,802,425 1,086,192,364	123,875,432 95,195,678 842,009,584
15	DAIRYLAND PWR COOP WISCONSIN ELEC PWR	97.119	59.932	23.7	159,799,307 1,086,192,364	123,875,432 842,009,584
16	WISCONSIN PWR & LT	50.903	31.412	100	428,905,010	332,484,504
REGI 14	ION 27 NRTHN STATES PWR CO	7.018	4.686	100	1,305,733,330	1,012,196,379
16	RCHSTR DPT OF PUB UT	0.0961	0.064	100   	27,739,601	21,503,567
REGI 14	ON 32 INTERSTATE POWER CO	0.239	0.160	100	204,925,702	158,857,133
15	EASTRN IOWA LT & PWR INTERSTATE POWER CO IOWA ELEC, LT & PWR	34.190	22.828	9.0 43.2 47.8	187,036,223 204,925,702 291,624,398	144,989,320 158,857,133 226,065,425
16	IOWA STHRN UTIL CO IOWA-IL GAS & ELEC MUSKATINE PWR & WTR	20.696	13,819	60.21 13.31 26.51	97,051,446 272,962,894 68,616,674	75,233,679 211,599,143 53,191,220
REG1 14	ION 33 ASSOC ELEC COOP-MO	180.245	116.668	   100	393,127,479	304,749,984
15	UNION ELECTRIC CO	123.772	80.113	100	1,489,257,005	1,154,462,794

REGION/ JOB	10% (OR CARRY-OVER)	% OF SUB \$	10% - SUB\$	SUB AMT
REGION 25 16	51,643,602 71,654,326 11,533,448 74,778,887 - 0 - 14,173,852	15,640,034 21,280,702 84,866,414 59,739,802 38,715,494 36,151,554	36,003,568 50,373,624 -73,332,966 15,039,085 -38,715,494 -21,977,702	- 0 - - 0 - 73,332,966 - 0 - 38,715,494 21,977,702
REGION 26 14	12,387,543 9,519,548 84,200,958	565,734 2,451,514 11,488,752	11,821,809 7,068,054 72,712,206	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -
15	11,821,809 72,712,206	14,203,884 45,728,116	-2,382,075 26,984,090	2,382,075 - 0 -
16	33,248,450	31,412,000	1,836,450	- 0 -
REGION 27 14	   101,219,638   	4,686,000	96,533,638	- 0 -
16	2,150,357   	64,0001	2,086,357	- 0 -
REGION 32 14	15,885,713	160,000	15,725,713	- 0 -
15	14,498,932 15,725,713 22,606,543	2,054,520 9,861,696 10,911,784	12,444,412 5,864,017 11,694,759	- 0 - - 0 - - 0 -
16	7,523,368 21,159,914 5,319,122	8,319,038 1,837,927 3,662,035	-795,670; 19,321,987; 1,657,087;	795,670 - 0 - - 0 -
REGION 33 14	   30,474,998	   116,668,000	-86,193,002;	86,193,002
15	115,446,279   	80,113,000	35,333,279;	- 0 -

1		SUB BTU	SUB \$ 1	% (	\$	
JOBI	COMPANY (	×10E12 ¦	x10E6 ¦	SUPPLI	GENERATED I	\$/1.29
REGI	ON 33 ;	:	ł	:	1	
16	ASSOC ELEC COOP-MO (	1	ł	35.61	393,127,4791	304,749,984
ł	CTRL ELC PWR COOP-MO:	;	ł	2.81	33,057,9631	25,626,328
ł	COLUMBIA WTR & LT CO!	ł	1	0.71	34,092,9351	26,428,632
ł	EMPIRE DIST ELEC CO	ł	ł	8.91	126,429,8101	98,007,605
ł	INDEPNDNCE PWR & LT	1	1	2.31	37,120,3591	28,775,472
ł	KANSAS CITY PWR & LT(	ł	ł	15.6	583,112,6391	452,025,302
1	CTY UTIL OF SPRNGFLD:	ł	ł	4.7:	74,222,0001	57,536,434
1	ST JOSEPH LT & PWR	ł	1	1.01	68,005,6681	52,717,572
1	UNION ELECTRIC CO	1	1	28.41	1,489,257,0051	1,154,462,794
ţ	1	221.3071	143.247;	ł	ł	•
1	1	:	ł	ł	1	

REGION/	1	10%	ł	ļ	1	
JOB	; (C	R CARRY-OVER	)	% OF SUB \$ ;	10% - SUB\$	SUB AMT
	- !					
REGION 33	;		ł	1	!	
16	1	- O -	1	50,995,932:	-50,995,9321	50,995,932
	1	2,562,633	ł	4,010,916;	-1,448,2831	1,448,283
	ł	2,642,863	1	1,002,7291	1,640,1341	- Ö -
	1	9,800,761	ł	12,748,9831	-2,948,2221	2,948,222
	;	2,877,547	1	3,294,6811	-417,134;	417,134
	1	45,202,530	ł	22,346,5321	22,855,9981	- 0 -
	ł	5,753,643	ł	6,732,6091	-978,9661	978,966
	1	5,271,757	1	1,432,4701	3,839,287;	- 0 -
	ł	35,333,279	ł	40,682,148;	-5,348,869!	5,348,869
	1	· •	ł	1		