# **Southern Illinois University Carbondale [OpenSIUC](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F72&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

# [Conference Proceedings](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F72&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Department of Electrical and Computer](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F72&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Engineering](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F72&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

1-1993

# Performance Study of Maximum-Likelihood Receivers and Transversal Filters for the Detection of Direct-Sequence Spread-Spectrum Signal in Narrowband Interference

Arif Ansari *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

R. Viswanathan *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*, viswa@engr.siu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece\\_confs](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F72&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Published in Ansari, A., & Viswanathan, R. (1993). 1993 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, 1993. Proceedings, 424. ©1993 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE. This material is presented to ensure timely dissemination of scholarly and technical work. Copyright and all rights therein are retained by authors or by other copyright holders. All persons copying this information are expected to adhere to the terms and constraints invoked by each author's copyright. In most cases, these works may not be reposted without the explicit permission of the copyright holder.

## Recommended Citation

Ansari, Arif and Viswanathan, R., "Performance Study of Maximum-Likelihood Receivers and Transversal Filters for the Detection of Direct-Sequence Spread-Spectrum Signal in Narrowband Interference" (1993). *Conference Proceedings.* Paper 72. [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece\\_confs/72](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs/72?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F72&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Conference Proceedings by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu)

Performance Study of Maximum-Likelihood Receivers and Transversal Filters for the Detection of Direct-Sequence Spread-Spectrum Signal in Narrowband Interference

> Arif Ansari and R. Viswanathan Department of Electrical Engineering Southem Illinois University Carbondale, IL 62901

*Abstract* - Linear least **squares** estimation techniques can be **used** to enhance suppression of narrowband interference in direct-sequence spread-spectrum systems. Nonlinear techniques for this purpose have **also** been investigated recently. Here, we derive maximum-llkelihood receivers for direct-sequence **Signal** in Gaussian interference with known second order characteristics. It is shown that if the receiver uses samples from outside the bit interval, then the receiver structure (called ML **I1** )is nonlinear. The bit error rate performances of these ML receivers **are** compared to those of linear receivers employing one-sided and two-sided least squares estimation filters, for the case of Gaussian autoregressive interference, It is shown that the ML **II**  receiver outperforms the matched filter, the one sided and the two sided transversal fflters.

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Direct-sequence spread-spectrum systems offer an inherent capability of rejecting narrowband interference. This is achieved by modulating the bit waveform with a PN signal before transmission and correlating the received signal with a replica *of* the **PN signal.** In **this** way. interfering signals, whose bandwidths are namw compared to the spread **signal,** are attenuated by the receiver, Processing the received slgnal prior to correlating with the **PN** sequence **has** been employed to tmprove the suppression of narrowband interference. Linear least squares estimation techniques to estimate and subtract the narrowband interference have been studied **[I].** Nonllnear techniques for interference suppression in spread-spectrum systems have been investigated in 121. Here, we study the performance of maximumlikelihood receivers for direct sequence spread spectrum **signals**  received in Gaussian interference with known second order statistics. When the receiver operates on the observations in the bit duration *only,* the receiver is the well known linear detector known as the matched filter. When the observation interval extends outside the bit interval, the receiver structure *is* shown to be nonllnear, The nonlinearity arises not due to the modeling of the binary chip sequence as as random as in **121,** but due to the uncertainty on the bits adjacent to the bit being tested.

### **11. MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD RECEIVERS**

**We** consider **here** the performance of maximum-likelihood receivers for the follawing problem , We shall restrict to the case where an entire maximal length PN code sequence is embedded in each bit *(so* called short PN sequences). **A similar** analysis can be easily done for the case of long **PN** sequences. Let the received **slgnal** be processed by a chip-matched-fllter and sampled at the chip rate of the PN sequence to yield **[Z]:** 

 $z_k = s_k + n_k + j_k$  (1)<br>where  $s_k = S b_k$  c<sub>k</sub>. Without loss of generality, the signal<br>strength S is assumed to be 1.0. c<sub>k</sub> is the kth chip of the PN<br>sequence with chip interval  $\tau_c$ . c<sub>k</sub> for k < 0 or k > L-1 is taken modulo L.  $b_k$   $\varepsilon$   $(+1,-1)$  is the binary information with bit duration  $T_{\mathbf{h}}= L\mathbf{t}$ , **L** is the processing gain given as the number of **PN chips per message bit.** Note that  $b_k = b e (±1)$  for all k in the same bit interval.  $n_k$  is a sequence of zero mean i.i.d. Gaussian noise with known variance  $\sigma_n^2$ ,  $J_k$  is a sequence of Gaussian noise with known variance  $\sigma_1$ ,  $J_k$  is a sequence of narrowband interference modeled as a zero mean Gaussian process with autocovariance **R**<sub>j</sub>(k). The detection problem is:

**all**  $b_k$  over the current bit (i.e. b) = { $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix}$ ;  $\begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\begin{align*} \text{Lattice matrix of } \{\mathbf{v}_1\} \text{.} \text{ The matrix of } \{\mathbf{v}_2\} \text{ is given by } \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{v}_1^{-1} \mathbf{z} \geq 0 \qquad \text{(3)} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z} \geq 1 \end{align*}$ 

 $(2)$ 

 $(4)$ 

$$
z^{T} \wedge z^{T} \leq 0
$$
 (3)  
where  $z^{T} = [z_0, z_1, ..., z_{L-1}], c^{T} = [c_0, c_1, ..., c_{L-1}].$  Call this  
the ML I receiver.

*2.1 ML II Receiver* and **its** *Bit mor Rate.* 

corresponding to the bit under test appended with some chips from the previous bit, i.e. the receiver has to test the present bit but **uses** observation samples from the present bit interval and a Now consider the observation vector to consist of the chips

part of the previous bit interval. Let  $\mathbf{r}^T = [\mathbf{z}^T \ \mathbf{z}^T]$  where

-T<br> $\mathbb{Z}^{-1}$ = $[\bar{z}_{1}$ <sub>-1</sub>, $\bar{z}_{1}$ <sub>-1+1</sub>,..., $\bar{z}_{1}$ <sub>-1</sub>] is the vector of the last i chip bit, isL. The likelihood ratio,  $\lambda(\mathbf{r})$ , and the corresponding maxlmum-likelihood detector for the detection problem in **(2)** is then given by:

$$
\lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sum_{d \in \{\pm 1\}}^{\infty} exp \{s_{d,+1}^T \Lambda^{-1} (r - \frac{1}{2} s_{d,+1})\}}{\sum_{d \in \{\pm 1\}} exp \{s_{d,-1}^T \Lambda^{-1} (r - \frac{1}{2} s_{d,-1})\}} \frac{+1}{\lambda}
$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the (L+i)×(L+i) covariance matrix of the sequence  ${v_t}$ , and  ${s_{d,b}^T} = [dc_{t-1}, dc_{t-1+1}, ..., dc_{t-1}, bc_0, bc_1, ..., bc_{t-1}],$  the  $\sinh(\theta)$  indicates the previous bit,  $d \in \{ \pm 1 \}$ . Using straighfforward calculations involving partitioned vectors and matrices, it can be shown that the bit error probability for the detector in **(4)** is given **by:** 

$$
P_{\mathbf{e}} = \Pr\{\sinh(\theta_1) > \gamma \sinh(\theta_2) \mid H_0\} \tag{5}
$$

where  $\theta_1 = \mathbf{S}_{k-1}^T + \mathbf{A}^{-1}$  **E**,  $\theta_2 = \mathbf{S}_{k-1}^T + \mathbf{A}^{-1}$  **E**,  $\gamma$  is a negative constant obtained from the entries  $\ln \Lambda^{-1}$  matrix and  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{max}}$  vector The test statistic given by (4) is nonlinear in observatfons. The receiver based on (4) will be called ML II.

**III.** PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

The bit error rate performances of the ML I and ML **11**  receivers are evaluated numerically and compared to the performances of the one-sided and two-sided transversal filters. The narrowband interference is modeled as a second order zero mean Gaussian autoregressive process **with** known parameters. *As*  expected, both the maximum-likelihood receivers and the transversal filters perform better when the power spectral density is peaky. The nonlinear ML **I1** receiver outperforms the matched filter receiver and the one-sided and two-sided transversal filters.

#### **REFERENCES**

Ill spread spectrum communications.'proC. IEEE. vol. **76, No.6,** June L. **B.** Milstein, "Interference rejection techniques in 1988, pp **657-67 1.** 

**I21**  interference suppression in spread-spectrum systems," IEEE Trans. on Commun.. Vol. **38,** No. **7.** July 1990, **pp** 1060- 1065. R Vijayan and H. Vincent Poor, "Nonlinear techniques for