Southern Illinois University Carbondale [OpenSIUC](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fecon_dp%2F51&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Discussion Papers](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/econ_dp?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fecon_dp%2F51&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Department of Economics](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/econ?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fecon_dp%2F51&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

2008

Accounting for City Real Exchange Rate Changes in India

Scott Gilbert *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

A. K. M. Mahbub Morshed *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/econ_dp](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/econ_dp?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fecon_dp%2F51&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Gilbert, Scott and Morshed, A. K. M. Mahbub, "Accounting for City Real Exchange Rate Changes in India" (2008). *Discussion Papers.* Paper 51. [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/econ_dp/51](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/econ_dp/51?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fecon_dp%2F51&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Economics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Discussion Papers by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

Accounting for City Real Exchange Rate Changes in India

Scott Gilbert and A.K.M. Mahbub Morshed^{1,2}

Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Carbondale, IL 62901

Abstract

We examine the role of nontraded goods in the city real exchange rate changes in India. Using the Mean Squared Error (MSE) decomposition we find that nontraded goods explain about 30% of the variation of the Indian city real exchange rate changes, rather than the small amount found in other cross-country studies. We also analyze the role of consumption elasticity of substitution between traded and nontraded goods.

JEL Classification: F3, F4

Keywords: Real Exchange Rate, Nontraded goods, Elasticity of Substitution, Indian Cities

¹Corresponding Author. Department of Economics, Mailcode 4515, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, IL 62901. Tel. 618 453 5740, Fax. 618 453 2717, Email: mmorshed@siu.edu

²We are grateful to Dan Primont for his valuable suggestions.

1 Introduction

The role of nontraded goods in explaining the fluctuations in the real exchange rate (RER) has been widely appreciated in the theoretical models, but in a seminal empirical paper Engel (1999) decomposed the RER for developed countries in terms of traded goods and nontraded goods and found that contrary to the expectations, the role of relative prices of nontraded goods in US real exchange rate fluctuations is insignificant. This decomposition of the real exchange rate fluctuations casts doubt on the merit of the theoretical models focusing on the presence of the nontraded goods in explaining the fluctuations of the RER (Obstfeld and Rogoff, 2000). The presence of nominal exchange rates, observed differences in institutions, and the difference in data quality may bias the result of such an exercise. Consequently, researchers examined data for the fixed exchange rate/managed floating period and found that nontraded goods explain some portion of the RER movement (Mendoza, 2000).

In order to shed some light on the contribution of nontraded goods on the RER under permanently Öxed exchange rates, Chen et al. (2006) decomposed the US regional real exchange rates following Engel's (1999) methodology, found that the share of nontraded goods in accounting for real exchange rate fluctuations is much higher than that in Engel's study, and also that the share of nontraded expenditure in Engelís study is too low and a higher share of expenditure on nontraded goods yields a larger contribution of nontraded goods in accounting for the RER fluctuations. Chen et al. (2006) also examined U.S. city real exchange rates and found that the contribution of nontraded goods is around 40% in the city RER changes.

There exists virtually no study for the developing countries³ that examines the role of traded and nontraded goods in the fluctuations of the RER. The main stumbling block is the lack of disaggregated data for different countries. The importance of the information about the sources of the áuctuations in the RER can not be overemphasized as Rodrik (2008) shows that there exists a strong relationship between the RER and economic growth. In the extant literature, some authors

³Parsley (2007) examined the role of nontraded good in the RER for middle income countries of East Asia.

examine the city prices within a country to get some idea about the $RER⁴$. In these studies each city is treated as a proxy for a country and thus the city RER is a proxy for the cross country RER but abstracted from the nominal exchange rate fluctuations and trade barriers.

The availability of disaggregated price indices for cities within India gives us a special opportunity to examine the role of nontraded goods in the RER changes in the context of developing countries. The Bureau of Labour Statistics of India reports consumer price indices for industrial workers for about 70 cities all across India. It not only reports aggregated CPI but also reports disaggregated indices like food price indices and clothing, footwear, and bedding indices for all these locations. Since food, clothing, footwear, and bedding are the main traded items in the consumption bundle of the industrial workers, these data allow us to create traded and nontraded goods price indices for all these cities. Consequently, we can examine the contributions of nontraded goods in the city RER áuctuations in India. The results will shed some light on the sources of the cross-country RER áuctuations in developing countries.

The real exchange rate decomposition in Engel (1999) and subsequent papers by other authors have used treated a countryís price index as a geometric weighted average of traded and nontraded goods prices. This is consistent with an economy with a representative consumer haveing Cobb-Douglas utility function and unitary elasticity of substitution between traded and nontraded goods. In those papers authors did not discuss the potential ramifications of different elasticity of substitutions of consumption. As a matter of fact, by examining time series and cross-section data researchers suggest that the unitary elasticity of substitution is not the norm but the exception⁵. Stockman and Tesar (1995) found that the elasticity of substitution between traded and nontraded goods in consumption is only 0.44. Mendoza (1995) found the elasticity of substitution is 0.74 for OECD countries while Ostry and Reinhart (1992) found the same for the poor countries to be 1.3. Gilbert and Morshed (2008) show that elasticity of substitution of consumption between

⁴Cecchetti et al. (2002), Chen and Devereux (2003) for U.S.cities, Sonora (2005) for Mexican cities, Morshed et al. (2006) for Indian cities, and Rangakakulnuwat and Ahn (2006) for Thai regions.

 $5A$ number of papers discuss the role of elasticity of substitution in production in real exchange rate dynamics and found that the elasticity of substitution in production is a crucial variable. See Morshed and Turnovsky (2006) for a recent contribution.

traded goods is important in apportioning the contribution of traded and nontraded goods in the RER fluctuations. Consequently, in this paper we incorporate the varying degree of elasticity of substitution in consumption of traded and nontraded goods in the accounting of city RER fluctuations in India.

2 Decomposition of Real Exchange Rate Movements

We show the decompostion of real exchange rate movements under different consumption aggregators.

2.1 Cobb-Douglas Utility Function

If the utility function underlying a price index at city i is a Cobb-Douglas aggregator such as

$$
U_i = C_{iT}^{1-\alpha} C_{iN}^{\alpha} \tag{1}
$$

where C_{iT} is the consumption of traded goods and C_{iN} is the consumption of nontraded goods and α is the share of nontraded goods in the consumption basket, then the price index can be written as

$$
P_i = P_{iT}^{1-\alpha} P_{iN}^{\alpha} \tag{2}
$$

where P_{iT} and P_{iN} are prices of traded goods and nontraded goods, respectively.

Similarly the utility function and price index for a different city j are

$$
U_j = C_{jT}^{1-\delta} C_{jN}^{\delta} \tag{3}
$$

and

$$
P_j = P_{jT}^{1-\delta} P_{jN}^{\delta} \tag{4}
$$

 6 This section is drawn from Engel (1999)

where C_{jT} and C_{jN} are consumptions of traded and nontraded goods, respectively and δ is the share of nontraded goods in the consumption basket in city j . The prices of traded and nontraded goods are P_{jT} and P_{jN} respectively.

The city real exchange rate (RER) is defined as

$$
Q = \frac{P_j}{P_i} \tag{5}
$$

where Q stands for the city RER. By definition this city RER is free from nominal exchange rate fluctuations and also it is invariant to international trade barriers. Taking logs and rearranging the terms we obtain the following (lower case letters are in log form)

$$
q = x + y \tag{6}
$$

where

$$
x = p_{jT} - p_{iT} \tag{7}
$$

ia a differential in traded goods prices across cities, and

$$
y = \delta(p_{jN} - p_{jT}) - \alpha(p_{iN} - p_{iT})
$$
\n(8)

is a price contrast of nontraded goods relative to traded goods.

Researchers including Engel (1999) examined the contributions of x and y to the fluctutaions of q and found that the fluctuation in q is mainly generated from fluctuations in x.

2.2 Constant Elasticity of Substitution Utility Function

If the utility function underlying a price index at city i is a constant elasticity aggregator such as

$$
U(C_{iT}, C_{iN}) = \left(a_{i1}C_{iT}^{\rho} + a_{i2}C_{iN}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}
$$
\n(9)

where a_{i1} and a_{i2} are shares of traded and nontraded goods in total consumption, and $\frac{1}{1-\rho}$ is the elasticity of substitution, then the price index can be written as

$$
P_i = \left(a_{i1}\left(\frac{P_{iT}}{a_{i1}}\right)^r + a_{i2}\left(\frac{P_{iN}}{a_{i2}}\right)^r\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$
\n(10)

where $r = \frac{\rho}{\rho}$ $\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}$.

Similarly the utility function and price index for city j would be⁷

$$
U(C_{jT}, C_{jN}) = (b_{j1}C_{jT}^{\epsilon} + b_{j2}C_{jN}^{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}
$$
\n(11)

$$
P_j = \left(b_{j1}\left(\frac{P_{jT}}{b_{j1}}\right)^{\phi} + b_{j2}\left(\frac{P_{jN}}{b_{j2}}\right)^{\phi}\right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}
$$
(12)

where $\phi = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}$.

The city real exchange rate is, as earlier,

$$
Q = \frac{P_j}{P_i} \tag{13}
$$

Taking logs and rearranging the terms we get the following:

$$
q = \frac{1}{\phi} \ln \left(b_{j1} \left(\frac{P_{jT}}{b_{j1}} \right)^{\phi} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \ln \left(a_{i1} \left(\frac{P_{iT}}{a_{i1}} \right)^r \right) + \frac{1}{\phi} \ln \left(1 + \frac{b_{j2} \left(\frac{P_{jN}}{b_{j2}} \right)^{\phi}}{b_{j1} \left(\frac{P_{jT}}{b_{j1}} \right)^{\phi}} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \ln \left(1 + \frac{a_{i2} \left(\frac{P_{iN}}{a_{i2}} \right)^r}{a_{i1} \left(\frac{P_{iT}}{a_{i1}} \right)^r} \right)
$$
\n(14)

In the Cobb-Douglas case presented in section 2.1 and studied by Engel (1999) , q can be written as a sum $x+y$ with x representing a traded goods price differential and y contrasting traded and nontraded goods prices, within cities. In the general CES setting we obtain the following relationship

$$
q = x_{ces} + y_{ces} \tag{15}
$$

where

$$
x_{ces} = \frac{1}{\phi} \ln \left(b_{j1}^{1-\phi} P_{jT}^{\phi} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \ln \left(a_{i1}^{1-r} P_{iT}^r \right) \tag{16}
$$

and

$$
y_{ces} = \frac{1}{\phi} \ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{b_{j2}}{b_{j1}} \right)^{1-\phi} \left(\frac{P_{jN}}{P_{jT}} \right)^{\phi} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \ln \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_{i2}}{a_{i1}} \right)^{1-r} \left(\frac{P_{iN}}{P_{iT}} \right)^{r} \right) \tag{17}
$$

⁷where b_{j1} and b_{j2} are shares of traded and nontraded goods in total consuption whereas $\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$ is the elasticity of substitution.

Note that if unit elasticity holds for both cities then the CES results reduces to the Cobb-Douglas case, with $x_{ces} = x$ and $y_{ces} = y$. We examine the contributions of x_{ces} and y_{ces} to the fluctuations of q . The term x_{ces} related to the traded goods can be simplified as

$$
x_{ces} = p_{jT} - p_{iT} + \frac{1}{\rho} \ln(a_{i1}) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \ln(b_{j1})
$$

Hence x_{ces} differs from the Cobb-Douglas value of x by a non-stochastic term $\frac{1}{\rho} \ln(a_{i1})$ – 1 $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \ln (b_{j1})$. For our purposes, we will measure changes in x, y, and q over time, in which case the series x_t , $t = 1, 2, ...$ and $x_{ces,t}$, $t = 1, 2, ...$ will be indistinguishable. On the other hand, y_{ces} will genarally be different from y. If the share of nontraded goods in both cities $(b_{j1}$ and $a_{i1})$, the consumption elasticity of substitution in both cities $(\frac{1}{1-\rho}$ and $\frac{1}{1-\epsilon})$ are equal, and if the law of one price holds then x_{ces} will disappear. Since we observe different elasticities of substitution in consumption in different countries, we use different elasticity of substitution parameter values in consumption to define x_{ces} and y_{ces} and determine the role of nontraded goods in explaining fluctuations in the RER.

3 Inflation in Indian Cities

In order to examine the role of traded and nontraded goods in city real exchange rate fluctuations in India, we collected yearly price indices for industrial workers for 25 largest cities⁸ in India for the period 1961-2000 with the base year 1982. We have consumer price index, food price index, and clothing, bedding, and footware price index for all these cities. Since food counted for approximately 55% of the consumer expenditure for developing countries and food along with clothing, bedding, and footware would include almost entire traded goods consumption by the industrial workers. Consequently we constructed the traded goods price index which is the weighted average of the food and clothing price index.

⁸The cities are Guntur, Hyderabad, Jamshedpur, Ahmedabad, Bhavnagar, Sri Nagar, Bangalore, Bhopal, Indore, Mumbai (Bombay), Nagpur, Sholapur, Amritsar, Ajmer, Jaipur, Coimbatore, Chennai (Madras), Madurai, Kanpur, Shaharanpur, Varanasi, Asansol, Kolkata (Calcutta), Howrah, and Delhi.

Using CPI and the traded goods price index for every city we compute the price index of nontraded goods in each city using both Cobb-Douglas aggregation and CES aggregation. The average share of traded goods in CPI for all cities is 65% while the share of nontraded goods is 35% . In Figure 1, we show the rate of CPI inflation of largest seven cities in India⁹. We observe that the inflation was fluctuating more in the 1960s and in early 1970s with average inflation around 6.5% compared to that in 1980s and onwards although the average rate of inflation is slightly higher in this period (8.5%) . Yearly coefficient of variation for the inflation calculated from CPI, traded goods price indices and nontraded goods price indices. We find that the coefficient of variations are very high not only for the CPI but for other price indices. The average coefficient of variation in 1960s and early 1970s were almost twice of that in the later part of the data span. This suggests that the rate of inflation in Indian cities were different in the 1960s and 1970s but they are largely similar in the 1980s onwards with an exception of 1989.

4 Indian City Real Exchange Rates

The city RERs are calculated using the formula $Q = \frac{P_j}{P}$ $\frac{P_j}{P_i}$, where P_j is the CPI of each city and P_i is the CPI of Delhi. Thus we obtain 24 city RERs. Figure 3 shows the RER for seven largest cities in India¹⁰ and it suggests the city RERs follow different paths and the prices in different cities adjust differently.

4.1 City Real Exchange Rate Decomposition

We decompose the city RER according to the decomposition technique discussed in section 2 in order to apprise the importance of traded and nontraded goods in the RER fluctuations. We compute x and y for Cobb-Douglas aggregation and x_{ces} and y_{ces} for the CES aggregation and have used the share of nontraded and traded goods for each city to calculate these measure. The share

 $\overline{^{9}\text{They}}$ are Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Chennai, Delhi, Hyderabad, Kolkata, and Mumbai.

 $10\,\mathrm{Since}$ these RER are based on Delhi prices, we include Nagpur in this figure instead of Delhi.

are given in Table 1. We then examine the contribution of these xs and ys to the fluctuations of qs. Following Engel (1999), we calculate mean sum of squares $(MSE)^{11}$ of xs, ys, and qs and then calculate two measures to detemine the fraction of the MSE of $q_{t+k} - q_t$ accounted for by the MSE of $x_{t+k} - x_t$, the traded goods component¹². These measures are

$$
\frac{MSE(x_t - x_{t-n})}{MSE(x_t - x_{t-n}) + MSE(y_t - y_{t-n})}
$$
(B1)

and

$$
\frac{MSE(x_t - x_{t-n}) + mean(x_t - x_{t-n}) \, mean(y_t - y_{t-n}) + cov(x_t - x_{t-n}, y_t - y_{t-n})}{MSE(q_t - q_{t-n})}
$$
(B2)

where MSE is defined as

$$
MSE(x_t - x_{t-n}) = var(x_t - x_{t-n}) + [mean(x_t - x_{t-n})]^2
$$

We compute the B1 and B2 measures for Cobb-Douglas aggregation and for CES aggregation with different consumption elasticity of substitutions.

We have conducted unit root tests and found that generally the city RER changes are highly persistent, consistent with a nonstationary process. Also, we examine the correlation between x and y components and find that there is no pattern in correlation. Also, on an average they are not significant. These results are reported in Table 3.

4.2 Results

We include the maximum of 10 period (year) lag in computing the B1 as our dataset consists of only annual data and we have used city specific weights for traded and nontraded goods in the

The traded goods share of *n*-period variance $=$ $\frac{var(x_t - x_{t-n})}{\sqrt{max(x_t - x_{t-n})}}$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_t - x_{t-n}) + \nu a r(y_t - y_{t-n})$.

 11 Following Parsley (2007) we also computed

The results are similar to that from the more comprehensive measure MSE. We do not report these results but they are available upon request.

 12 These section is largely drawn from Engel (1999).

consumption basket¹³. The average weight for nontraded goods in the consumption basket for all the cities is 0.35 but it varies between 0.3 to 0.4 for individual cities. For CES aggregation, following Ostry and Reinhart (1992), we assume elasticity of substitution between traded and nontraded goods to be 1.3 for Indian cities. Also we computed B1 measures with elasticity of substitution 1.1 and 0.8 to examine the relationship between the elasticity of substitution and the importance of nontraded goods in the RER changes. The average proportions of the real exchange rate changes attributable to traded goods under both types of aggregations are shown in Figure 3^{14} .

We find that, according to the B1 measure, on an average about 80 percent of the RER changes in the Indian city can be attibuted to traded goods when we take the Cobb-Douglas aggregation (the elasticity of substitution of traded and nontraded goods to be equal to 1) and this proportion remains the closer to this measure for the time horizon of 10 years. Thus, even with Engel (1999) specification, nontraded goods can explain 20% of the changes in the city RER in India. We also observe that the share of nontraded goods in explaining the city RER change and the elasticity of substitution in consumption are negatively related. For example, if the elasticity of substitution is taken to be 1.3, the share of nontraded goods in the city RER changes declines to 11%. As Ostry and Reinhart (1992) suggested that elasticity of substitution in consumption to be 1.3 for developing countries, the contribution of nontraded goods for developing countries in the city RER changes seems to be small. Chen et al. (2006) argue that a low share of nontraded goods may bias downwards the contribution of nontraded goods. Our findings suggest that the contribution of nontraded goods in the city RER changes is not negligible even when the share of nontraded goods is very low (0.35) . We also find that the elasticity of substitution of traded and nontraded goods play a significant role in the size of the contribution of nontraded goods in the RER changes.

 13 We also calculated B2 measures for all these variation and we do not report this here since the results are similar to what we can obtain from B1 measure.

¹⁴We have also computed the B1 measures with the same weight (average weight for all 25 cities) for traded and nontraded goods in consumption basket for all the cities and the results remain very similar to what we have found with city specific weights for traded and nontraded goods.

However, we observe significant differences in the contribution of nontraded goods across cities in India even with the same elasticity of substitution in consumption. We report the computed measures of B1 only for seven largest cities in Table 2 under both the Cobb-Douglas and CES aggregations for a horizon of five years. Note that we have obtained nontraded goods price indices by using traded goods price indices and also aggregate CPI using equation (2) for Cobb-Douglas utility function and equation (10) for CES utility function. Thus, for different consumption elasticity of substitution, we create different nontraded goods price indices for each city. Then we decompose the city RER using the traded and nontrade price indices as suggested by equations (7 and 8) and equations (16 and 17) for Cobb-Douglas utility function and CES utility function, respectively. It is clear from the table that cross-city variations in the contribution of nontraded goods is large. For example with elasticity of substitution 1(second block in Table 2), nontraded goods explains only 14% of the Nagpur RER changes while the same is 29% for Kolkata (Calcutta). The gaps narrow down as we take into account a higher elasticity of substitution. This is not due to very different shares of nontraded goods in CPIs of these two cities (share of nontraded goods in Nagpur is 36% while it is for Kolkata is 33%). This also does not depend on the distance from the base city as the calculated correlation between the share of nontraded goods under Cobb-Douglas aggregation and the distance in miles from Delhi, our base city, is only -0.05.

These results imply that the share of nontraded goods in the city RER in India is not insignificant. Also, the consumption elasticity of substitution between traded and nontraded goods turns out to be significant in apportioning the contribution of nontraded goods in the fluctuations of the RERs.

5 Conclusions

The role of nontraded goods prices in explaining the fluctuations in the RER has been recently questioned by the researchers who show that áuctuation in the RER is mainly emanating from the traded goods prices. Researchers have used developed country data (Engel, 1999; Mendoza, 2000) and also data from middle income countries (Parsley, 2007) to obtain these results. Other researchers examine regional data and city price data to apportion the role of nontraded goods in regional and city RER changes (Chen et al., 2006) and found that the share of nontraded goods is not minimal. No previous research relates to developing countries, and to shed some light on this we examine the city real exchange rate changes of the 25 largest cities in India. Using the MSE decomposition we apportion the sources of fluctuation in terms of traded and nontraded goods. We find that nontraded goods are very important in explaining the city RER changes even when Engel's (1999) methodology is used.

The issue of consumption elasticity of substitution of traded and nontraded goods received virtually no attention in these decomposition exercises. Empirical researchers obtain different consumption elasticity of substitutions for different countries with developed countries with consumption elasticity of substitution much lower than one while for the developing countries, the same is more than 1. We adopt a new decomposition where the consumption elasticity of substitution is explicitly treated and from the Indian city RER we find that higher the consumption elasticity of substitution the lower is the share of nontraded goods in city RER changes. The importance of the consumption elasticity of substitution in the RER decomposition can not be overemphasized.

References

Ceccehetti, C., N. Mark, and R. Sonora (2002). "Price Index Convergence among United States Cities," International Economic Review, 43(4),

Ostry J. and C. Reinhart, 1992. "Private Saving and Terms of Trade Shocks," IMF Staff Papers 39(3), pp. 495-517.

Chen, L., S. Choi, and J. Devereux (2006). "Accounting for U.S. Regional Real Exchang Rates," Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking, 38(1), pp 229-244.

Chen, L. and J. Devereux (2003). "What Can US City Price Data Tell Us About Purchasing Power Parity?" Journal of International Money and Finance 22: 213-222.

Engel, C. (1999). "Accounting US Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations," Journal of Political Economy, 107(3), 507-538.

Mendoza, E. (1995). "The Terms of Trade, the Real Exchange Rate, and Economic Fluctuations," International Economic Review, 36, 101-137.

Mendoza, E. (2000). "On the Instability of Variance Decompositions of the Real Exchange Rate across Exchange-Rate-Regimes : Evidence from Mexico and the United States," NBER Working Paper No. 7768.

Morshed, A. and S. Turnovsky (2006). "Elasticity of Substitution and the Persistence of the Deviation of the Real Exchange Rates," Review of Development Economics, 10(3), 411-433.

Morshed, A., S. Ahn, and M. Lee (2006). "Price Convergence among Indian Cities: A Cointegration Approach," Journal of Asian Economics, 17, 1030-1043.

Gilbert, S., and A. Morshed (2008). "Consumption Elasticity of Substitution and the Accounting of the Real Exchange Rate Changes," mimeo, Department of Economics, Southern Illinois University Carbondale.

Obstfeld, M., and K. Rogoff (2000). "The Six Major Puzzles in International Macroeconomics: Is there a Common Cause?" in: Bernanke, B. and K. Rogoff (Eds.), NBER Macroeconomics Annual, Vol 15, pp 339-390.

Parsley, D. (2007). "Accounting For Real Exchange Rates in East Asia" Journal of International Money and Finance, 26, 1355-1377.

Rangkakulnuwat, P. and S. Ahn (2006). "Price Index Convergence in Thailand," International Economics and Finance Journal 1: 233-245.

Rodrik, D. (2008). "The Real Exchange Rate and Economic Growth: Theory and Evidence," Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, mimeo.

Stockman, A. and L. Tesar (1995). "Tastes and Technology in a Two-Country Model of the

Business Cycle: Explaining International Comovements," American Economic Review, 85, 168-185.

Sonora R. J.(2005). "City CPI Convergence in Mexico," Review of Development Economics, 9(3), 359-367.

Table 1

Weights of Traded and Nontrade Goods in CPI for Industrial Workers in India

Note: Traded good includes food, clothing, footwear, and bedding.

Table 2

Share of Traded Good in the City Real Exchange Changes in the Largest Seven Cities under Different Elasticity of Substitutions in Consumption

		RER (q)		x and y Component	
City	RER, 1 st order	Unit Root Test	ADF Test	Correlation	p-value
	autocorrelation	ADF Test	p-value	Coefficient	
	Coefficient				
Guntur	0.67	-1.18	0.67	-0.31	0.05
Hyderabad	0.84	-0.74	0.90	0.47	0.00
Jamshedpur	0.84	-0.38	0.90	-0.12	0.46
Ahmedabad	0.70	-2.14	0.23	-0.17	0.28
Bhavnagar	0.53	-2.77	0.07	-0.37	0.02
Srinagar	0.86	-1.84	0.35	-0.80	0.00
Bangalore	0.68	-1.74	0.40	-0.30	0.06
Bhopal	0.80	-1.58	0.48	0.00	1.00
Indore	0.64	-1.49	0.53	0.00	0.98
Mumbai	0.87	-1.78	0.39	-0.80	0.00
(Bombay)					
Nagpur	0.62	-2.78	0.09	-0.59	0.00
Sholapur	0.43	-3.78	0.01	-0.82	0.00
Amritsar	0.88	1.77	0.99	0.63	0.00
Ajmer	0.64	-1.66	0.45	-0.46	0.00
Jaipur	0.71	-0.42	0.90	0.03	0.86
Coimbatore	0.82	-1.64	0.45	-0.16	0.33
Chennai	0.63	-2.98	0.05	-0.75	0.00
(Madras)					
Madurai	0.64	-2.50	0.12	-0.82	0.00
Kanpur	0.80	0.02	0.95	-0.12	0.48
Sharanpur	0.82	-0.14	0.94	-0.32	0.04
Varanasi	0.48	-3.26	0.02	-0.51	0.00
Asansol	0.88	0.64	0.99	-0.04	0.80
Kolkata	0.84	-0.58	0.86	0.15	0.36
(Calcutta)					
Howrah	0.91	-1.22	0.66	0.15	0.35
AVERAGE	0.73	$-$	--	-0.25	0.25

Table 3 Some Statistics for *q, x,* and *y* (Real Exchange Rate and Its Components) for Indian Cities (Trading Partner Delhi)

Note: The RER decomposition of *x* and *y* here emanates from Cobb-Douglas utility function.

City Real Exchange Rates of the Seven Largest Cities in India

Figure 3

Share of Traded Good in the RER Changes under Different Elasticity of Substitutions

