

Summer 7-31-2017

# Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities of ultrasonicated spinach leaf extracts using RAPD markers and electron microscopy

Ammar Badran Altemimi  
*Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

Naoufal Lakhssassi  
*Southern Illinois University Carbondale, naoufal.lakhssassi@siu.edu*

Amer Abughazaleh  
*Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

David Lightfoot  
*Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/psas\\_articles](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/psas_articles)

---

## Recommended Citation

Altemimi, Ammar B., Lakhssassi, Naoufal, Abughazaleh, Amer and Lightfoot, David. "Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities of ultrasonicated spinach leaf extracts using RAPD markers and electron microscopy." *Archives of Microbiology* 017 (Summer 2017): 1418-6. doi:10.1007/s00203-017-1418-6.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Plant, Soil, and Agricultural Systems at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu).

1 **Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities of ultrasonicated spinach leaf extracts using**  
2 **RAPD markers and electron microscopy**  
3

4 Ammar Altemimi<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Naoufal Lakhssassi<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Amer Abu-Ghazaleh<sup>3</sup>, David A. Lightfoot<sup>1</sup>  
5

6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Plant, Soil and Agricultural Systems, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale,  
7 IL 62901, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science, College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Al-  
8 Basrah 61004, IRAQ. <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Science Food and Nutrition, Southern Illinois  
9 University, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA. \*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed;  
10 E-Mail: [naoufal.lakhssassi@siu.edu](mailto:naoufal.lakhssassi@siu.edu); Tel.: +1-618-453-2606. <sup>4</sup>These authors contribute equally  
11 to this work.  
12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) leaves represent an important dietary source of nutrients,  
28 antioxidants, and antimicrobials. As such, spinach leaves play an important role in health and  
29 have been used in the treatment of human diseases since ancient times. Here the aims were to  
30 optimize the extraction methods for recovering antimicrobial substances of spinach leaves,  
31 determine the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the antimicrobial substances against  
32 *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* and finally, evaluate the effects of spinach leaves'  
33 antimicrobials on bacterial DNA using central composite face centered methods (CCFC). The  
34 effect of the extracts on both Gram positive and Gram negative bacterial models were examined  
35 by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and random amplification of polymorphic (bacterial)  
36 DNA (RAPD). The optimal extraction conditions were at 45°C, ultrasound power of 44% and an  
37 extraction time of 23 min. The spinach extracts exhibited antimicrobial activities against both  
38 bacteria with MICs in the 60-100 mg/ml range. Interestingly, SEM showed that treated bacterial  
39 cells appear damaged with a reduction in cell number. RAPD analysis of genomic DNA showed  
40 that the number and sizes of amplicons were decreased by treatments. Based on these results, it  
41 was inferred that spinach leaves extracts exerts bactericidal activities by both inducing mutations  
42 in DNA and by causing cell wall disruptions.

43 **Keywords:** Spinach; antimicrobial activity; SEM; RAPD; Ultrasonic extraction; *Escherichia*  
44 *coli*; bacterial pathogens; *Staphylococcus aureus*.

45

46

47

48

49

50

## 51 **Introduction**

52           In the past few decades, there has been a significant increase in resistance to antibiotics of  
53 many pathogenic bacteria (Chopra et al. 1996). For instance, approximately 90-95% of  
54 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were reported to be resistant to penicillin (Casal et al. 2005), and  
55 around 70-80 % were methicillin-resistant (Chambers 2001). Most antibiotics face resistance  
56 from other bacteria, including strains of *Escherichia coli* (Diemert 2006). Thus, antibiotics are  
57 becoming ineffective treatments to control the diseases caused by these bacteria. The antibiotic  
58 resistance is a global problem and antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains are increasingly appearing  
59 around the world, making the discovery of new agents with antimicrobial activities very  
60 important. A large variety of plants contain antimicrobial substances and may be used to develop  
61 new therapies against antibiotic-resistant microbial strains (Miyasaki et al. 2010).

62           A great number of natural plant products have been used as traditional medicines against  
63 bacterial pathogens (Rojas et al. 2006). In addition to essential nutrients, plants contain a variety  
64 of antioxidants and antimicrobial compounds. Tajkarimi and coworkers (Tajkarimi et al. 2010)  
65 reported that there are more than 1,340 plants, which contain compounds with antimicrobial  
66 activities and to date scientists have isolated more than 30,000 antimicrobial compounds from  
67 plants. Leafy vegetables such as spinach are valuable because they contain many bioactive  
68 compounds including proteins, peptides, phenolics, tannins, saponins, cyanogenic-glycosides,  
69 terpenoids, alkaloids, steroids and defensins (Adeniran et al. 2013). Phytochemical screening of  
70 tree spinach (*Cnidioscolus aconitifolius* (Miller) Johnston) leaves confirmed the presence of  
71 secondary metabolites that inhibited *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* growth (Adeniran et al. 2013).  
72 Other studies have shown that plant polyphenols are natural alternatives to synthetic  
73 antimicrobial and antioxidant agents (Xi and Shouqin 2007).

74           Spinach leaves contain defensins, which exhibit antimicrobial activities (Stotz et al.  
75 2009). Defensins are one class among the many types of Cys-rich antimicrobial peptides. Plant  
76 defensins differ in length (45-54 residues) and folding patterns. Plant defensins have been  
77 divided into three groups based on their antimicrobial activities. The first group of defensins can  
78 inhibit both bacteria and fungi, while the second group of defensins can prevent the growth of  
79 fungi but are inactive toward bacteria. The third group deters insect feeding by inhibiting

80 amylases and proteinases. These defensins were found to prevent the growth of both Gram  
81 positive bacteria and Gram negative bacteria but were inactive toward fungi (Broekaert et al.  
82 1995). Moreover, Segure et al. (1998) identified a new group of defensins from spinach leaves  
83 (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), which are active against fungi, as well as Gram-positive and Gram-  
84 negative bacteria. Two of these defensins (SoD2 and SoD7) are used to protect citrus fruit crops.  
85 Defensins are classified as PR12s. In fact, plants like spinach produce many other compounds  
86 with broad anti-microbial properties, which are categorized into 17 activity classes (PR1-17)  
87 (Bai et al.2014). The PR1, PR4, and PR5 class proteins have mainly broad antifungal activities,  
88 however, most of the rest of the other classes were inhibitors of pathogen enzyme activities  
89 (Tegos et al. 2002).

90 Preferences for the use of natural antioxidants and antimicrobial agents over synthetic  
91 compounds has increased within food industries worldwide (Han and Seo 2002; Vickers 2002).  
92 Many people depend on medicinal plants in developing countries (El-Shemy et al. 2007) and  
93 their use in diets is common (Prior and Cao 2000). Drug-resistant skin pathogens like  
94 methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (Marathe et al. 2013) can be inhibited by plant extracts. Other  
95 common foodborne bacteria like *E. coli* serotype O157:H7 might also be sensitive to plant-  
96 derived phenolics (Diemert 2006). Many studies have shown that consuming diets containing a  
97 low amount of vegetables is associated with an increased risk of cancer (Prior and Cao 2000).  
98 Conversely, consuming diets rich in fruits and vegetables, containing antioxidants, lowers the  
99 incidence of cancer. Due to increased awareness of the health benefits that strongly pigmented  
100 vegetables provide, a colorful diet has created new markets for heritage cultivars, specifically  
101 developed for their health benefits. Studies of plant extracts have shown that flavonoids  
102 (orange/yellow pigments), anthocyanins (red pigments), and general phenolics (colorless) are  
103 good sources of antioxidants in addition to having antimicrobial properties (Marathe et al. 2013;  
104 Yolmeh et al. 2014). Further, extracts were active against various human pathological conditions  
105 such as inflammation, cancer, atherosclerosis, and even circulatory problems (Cevallos-Casals et  
106 al. 2006; Gil et al. 2002; Prior and Cao 2000).

107 To partially purify bioactive components from plants, a number of extraction techniques  
108 that have been developed including ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), supercritical fluid  
109 extraction, enzymatic extraction, and Soxhlet extraction (Pedersen and Olsson 2003; Vinatoru

110 2001). Among these, UAE was found to be an inexpensive, simple, and efficient extraction  
111 technique. The benefits of using ultrasound are mainly attributed to the effect of acoustic  
112 cavitation of plant tissue produced by the solvent (Ghafoor et al. 2009). Ultrasound also creates a  
113 mechanical effect that allows greater penetration of solvents into the tissues, increasing the  
114 contact surface area between the solid and liquid phase (Pedersen and Olsson 2003; Vinatoru  
115 2001).

116 Screening of plant extracts is a promising approach to find new compounds with the  
117 capability of eliminating pathogenic bacteria. Spinach (*S. oleracea* L.) extracts made by UAE  
118 were reported to contain effective antioxidants (Altemimi et al. 2015a) and it was proposed that  
119 the extracts might be active against foodborne pathogens. In the present study, UAE and Box-  
120 Wilson designs were used in order to optimize the protocol for extracting antimicrobial activities  
121 from spinach. Antimicrobial activities of prepared spinach extracts were tested for the ability to  
122 inhibit the growth of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

## 123 **Materials and methods**

### 124 **Preparation of plant material**

125 Spinach (cv. 'Tyee') was grown at the Horticulture Research Center of Southern Illinois  
126 University according to common commercial practices (Altemimi et al. 2015a; Altemimi et al.  
127 2015c). Fresh spinach (cv. Tyee) leaves were harvested from randomly selected mature plants, at  
128 45 days after planting then washed, sliced into small pieces, and stored at -18°C. Five days later  
129 samples were freeze-dried.

### 130 **Ultrasonic-assisted extraction (UAE) of spinach leaves**

131 An Elmasonic P30 (P30) ultrasonic cleaner (Elma Hans Schmidbauer GMBH, Singen,  
132 Germany) was coupled with controlled heating using a cooling coil (Fisher Scientific Inc. St  
133 Louis USA); connected with a cooling chiller water bath; and a water pump (Model HJ-111,  
134 submersible pump, flow rate 250 L/h, Sunsun Inc., Zhejiang, China). Coupled heating and  
135 cooling helped maintain evenly distributed temperatures across the ultrasonic water bath.  
136 Extracts were made at 37°C and 80 kHz frequencies with three heated bath temperatures, and

137 three power settings expressed as a percentage of full power (30-100%). The standard ultrasonic  
138 mode was used. Temperature settings used for this study were 30°C, 40°C, and 50°C and power  
139 level settings were 30%, 50% and 70%. The manufacturer rated the P30 with an ultrasonic peak  
140 power of 480 W and an effective power rating of 120 W. The P30 had a proprietary algorithm  
141 for adjusting power based on the impedance of the system. For a specific power setting, samples  
142 were subjected to the same degree of cavitation regardless of the load in the tank. For all  
143 treatments, the bath of the P30 contained 1.7 L of water before the treatment containers were  
144 added. Ultrasonic power was expressed as  $W/cm^2$ , based on the power setting as a percentage of  
145 rated power and the volume of the bath solution prior to addition of the treatment containers.  
146 Ultrasonic peak powers for the 30%, 50% and 70% power settings were 85  $W/cm^2$ , 141  $W/cm^2$   
147 and 198  $W/cm^2$ , respectively. The effective power inside the extract containers was 21  $W/cm^2$ ,  
148 35  $W/cm^2$ , and 49  $W/cm^2$  respectively.

149 Ten grams of lyophilized spinach were mixed with 100 ml of methanol, and then added  
150 in 200 ml flasks and the samples were subject to UAE in an ultrasonic water bath (Elmasonic  
151 P30). After the samples were exposed to ultrasound waves, the mixture was filtered using filter  
152 paper (Whatman™ no.1). The solids were re-extracted in fresh methanol to ensure the effective  
153 extraction of all bioactive compounds. Finally, the solvent was removed with a rotary evaporator  
154 under vacuum at 40°C.

### 155 **Microorganisms and growth conditions**

156 The microorganisms used in this study were *S. aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *E. coli*  
157 OH157:H7 (ATCC 25922). Microbial cultures were grown in nutrient broth (NB) for 24 hours  
158 before testing.

159 Bacterial strains were grown on both Muller-Hinton agar and nutrient broth. Both were  
160 sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min (Sterileforge, Market Forge, MA, USA). The  
161 nutrient broth was used to grow bacteria while Muller-Hinton agar was used to maintain the  
162 cultures used in this study and to create lawn plates for testing the spinach extracts. Before  
163 measuring the antimicrobial activities of crude extracts, the bacteria were grown to a mid-  
164 logarithmic stage of growth. They were moved by a loop to fresh test tubes containing NB and

165 grown, with aeration, to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6 (Singh et al. 2013). Suspensions of the bacteria, adjusted  
166 by serial dilutions to final cell concentrations 10<sup>-6</sup> CFU/ml, were added to flasks containing 25  
167 ml Muller-Hinton agar at 43-45 °C, and poured into petri plates.

#### 168 **Disk diffusion assay**

169 Plant extracts were used for the disc diffusion method to determine their relative  
170 antimicrobial activity at different concentrations against bacterial cultures of *E. coli* and *S.*  
171 *aureus* (Bauer et al. 1966). Antibiotic discs of streptomycin were used as positive controls, while  
172 blank discs were used as negative controls. To test plant extracts, sterile blank discs (Becton,  
173 Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) were saturated with 40 µl of extracts at a  
174 concentration of 140 mg/ml. The soaked discs and antibiotic discs were placed on plates and  
175 incubated at 37°C for 18–24 h in the inverted position (Sağdıç et al. 2002; Sağdıç and Özcan  
176 2003). At the end of the incubation period, diameters of the inhibition zone were measured using  
177 a compass (Burt 2004; Faleiro et al. 1999; Shan et al. 2007).

#### 178 **Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)**

179 To measure the MIC values, various concentrations of the optimized spinach leaf extracts  
180 (2.5-100) mg/ml were assayed against the test bacteria. In each well of the 96 well plates, 100 µl  
181 of plant extracts and 5 µl of the bacterial solution (at 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml) were added. The plates were  
182 incubated at 37°C under aerobic conditions for 24-48 h, after which 40 µl of p-iodo-nitro-  
183 tetrazolium violet salts solution (INT) were added to each well (to a final of 0.2 mg/ml INT).  
184 Plates were incubated at 37°C for 30-60 minutes. The salt solution of INT serves as an electron  
185 acceptor and indicates the biological activity in the tested samples. The presence of color in the  
186 salt solution indicates microbiological activity in the tested samples, while the lack of color  
187 indicates a lack of microbiological activity (Eloff 1998).

#### 188 **Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

189 For examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), small pieces of agar from the  
190 inhibition zone of treated and control samples were cut and fixed in 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde  
191 buffered with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 1-2 hours at room temperature.



192 Samples were then rinsed three times (30 min intervals) with sodium phosphate buffer and post-  
193 fixed using 2% (w/v) osmium tetroxide (OsO<sub>4</sub>). After post-fixation samples were rinsed three  
194 times with distilled water (at 30 min intervals) and subjected to serial dehydration through  
195 graded alcohol (25, 50, 75 and 100% (v/v)). Finally, the samples were dehydrated by critical  
196 point drying and placed on the silver stub for SEM imaging (gold sputter coated). The samples  
197 were analyzed in Quanta 450 FEG Scanning Electron Microscope (20kV, WD ~10mm).

## 198 **Isolation of bacterial DNA**

199 In order to extract bacterial DNA, the bacteria were grown in LB medium (in presence of  
200 leaf extracts at 140 mg solids) at 37°C in a 15 ml tube placed in an incubator shaker (New  
201 Brunswick, MA, USA) set at 200 rpm overnight. Controls containing untreated bacteria were  
202 grown in LB medium only. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min and  
203 washed once with 0.85% (w/v) NaCl before chromosomal DNA isolation. Bacterial DNA was  
204 obtained using the Wizard<sup>TM</sup> Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

## 205 **Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis of the genomic DNA**

206 DNA fingerprinting of bacterial genomic DNA using random amplification of  
207 polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique is a modification of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR),  
208 which utilizes a single, arbitrarily-chosen primer to amplify a number of fragments from a given  
209 DNA template to generate a discrete fingerprint when resolved by gel electrophoresis. Many  
210 primers suitable for this approach were reported. Single base alterations due to mutations in the  
211 genomic template DNA lead to changes in the RAPD fingerprints. Three random primers OPA-  
212 05 (5'-AGGGGTCTTG-3'), OPA-06 (5'-GGTCCCTGAC-3') and OPB-06 (5'-  
213 TGCTCTGCCC-3'), were used for RAPD fingerprinting of the treated and non-treated two  
214 bacterial isolates. These primers were used previously (Williams et al. 1990) for RAPD analysis  
215 of gram positive and negative bacterial DNA. The PCR amplification for RAPD reactions was  
216 performed in a 20 µl reaction mixture (Go-Taq<sup>TM</sup> polymerase, Promega, USA). The temperature  
217 profile was as follows; an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at  
218 94°C for 1 min, then annealing at 34°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 2 min. Finally,  
219 extension at 72°C for 7 min was executed. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in a

220 1% (w/v) agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and photographed using a BioSpectrum AC  
221 Imaging System (UVP, Upland, CA, USA). The RAPD markers were used to generate  
222 amplicons from genomic DNA of both treated and untreated bacteria. The number of  
223 polymorphisms was compared after treatment with spinach leaf extracts or just plain water.

## 224 **Experimental design**

225 A Box-Wilson central composite design (CCD) was used to monitor and control the  
226 number of experiments (Yang et al. 2009). In this study, the central composite face centered  
227 (CCFC) experimental design in CCD was conducted to infer the optimal states of independent  
228 variables (extraction temperature (C), power of ultrasound (W), and extraction time (min)) on the  
229 traits (zones of inhibition of ultrasound-assisted spinach leaf extracts). According to the  
230 preliminary results, the independent variables and their ranges were assigned to be extraction  
231 temperature (30–50 °C), power of ultrasound (30–70 %), and extraction time (10–30 min). After  
232 that, the experiments were based on the central composite face centered (CCFC) experimental  
233 design with three factors at three levels (Maran et al. 2013a).

234 The complete design was carried out in a random order and consisted of 20 combinations  
235 including three replicates (Table 1). The data from the experimental design were analyzed by  
236 multiple regressions to fit the following quadratic polynomial model:

$$237 \quad Y = b_0 \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 b_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j=1}^3 b_{ij} X_i X_j \quad (1)$$

238 In this model Y is the predicted response;  $b_0$  is the intercept;  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  are the linear  
239 coefficients of temperature ( $X_1$ ), power ( $X_2$ ) and time ( $X_3$ ), respectively;  $b_{11}$ ,  $b_{22}$  and  $b_{33}$  are the  
240 squared coefficients of temperature of sonication, power and time respectively;  $b_{12}$ ,  $b_{13}$  and  $b_{23}$   
241 are the interaction coefficients of temperature, power and time of sonication respectively.  
242 Finally, the levels of the independent variables were represented as  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ .

## 243 **Statistical analysis**

244 All experiments were conducted in triplicates and data were analyzed using one-way  
245 ANOVA procedures of the SAS software. Means were declared significantly different at  $P <$   
246 0.05.

## 247 **Results and Discussion**

### 248 **CCFC and developed second order polynomial models**

249 A total of 20 experiments, including six center points (used to determine the experimental  
250 error), were carried out in order to determine the optimal extraction conditions of spinach leaves  
251 that yield a maximal antimicrobial activity. The various combinations of experimental conditions  
252 (coded and uncoded) with their respective experimental responses are presented in Table 2.

253 In order to test three degrees of polynomial models, the experimental data were analyzed.  
254 Two statistical tests were focused upon; the sequential model sum of squares; and the model  
255 summary statistics. These two tests were conducted in order to test the adequacy of models to  
256 determine the antimicrobial activities of spinach leaf extracts (Table 2). The output of the  
257 adequacy of tested models was not dependent on a single factor. For instance,  $R^2$ , and p values  
258 were important to select an adequate model. The results showed that a quadratic model was not  
259 appropriate regardless of having a low p-value (0.0244). The linear model also had a high p-  
260 value ( $>0.05$ ), low values of  $R^2$  and adjusted  $R^2$  (Table 2). Therefore, both the linear and cubic  
261 models were deemed inappropriate for further modeling of this experimental data. Therefore, the  
262 quadratic model was selected for further analysis.

263 Developing mathematical models depended on fitting models of the second-order  
264 polynomial equation with interaction terms. This helped increase the predictive values of an  
265 extraction efficiency of different sets of combinations of three process variables on the  
266 responses. In this study, two equations were developed to predict the UAE efficiency of  
267 antimicrobial activity from spinach leave extracts. The model finally inferred is given below:

268 Zone of inhibition for *S. aureus* =  $+24.67 + 1.80 * X_1 - 0.60 * X_2 + 0.70 * X_3 - 0.37 * X_1 X_2 -$   
269  $0.37 * X_1 X_3 + 0.12 * X_2 X_3 - 1.18 * X_1^2 - 2.18 X_2^2 - 1.688 X_3^2$

270 Zone of inhibition for *E. coli* =  $+20.64+1.70 *X_1-0.65*X_2+0.75*X_3-0.31*X_1X_2-$   
271  $0.44*X_1X_3+0.062 *X_2X_3-0.98*X_1^2 -2.238X_2^2-1.73 X_3^2$

272 In order to examine the models, multiple regression and ANOVA were used (Table 3).  
273 According to Ghafoor et al. (2009), a positive value prefers the optimization due to a synergistic  
274 effect, while a negative value exhibits an inverse relationship or antagonistic effect between the  
275 factor and the response. According to the ANOVA table, the models were highly significant for  
276 all the responses at a  $p < 0.0001$  with F-values of 22.05 and 20.13 for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*,  
277 respectively.

### 278 **Studies of the effects of process variables**

279 Here, three factors at three levels of the CCFC design were used to study the influence of  
280 process variables (extraction temperature, power of ultrasound, and extraction time) on  
281 antimicrobial activity of spinach leaf extracts. The models were used to construct three  
282 dimensional response surfaces and contour plots. The main and interactive effects of independent  
283 variables on a response variable were associated with graphical representations of a regression  
284 equation. The graphs were made by maintaining one factors constant (the central levels) and  
285 varying the other two factors in order to understand their main and interactive effects on the  
286 dependent variables (Maran et al. 2013b).

### 287 **Effects of extraction temperatures**

288 The effects of extraction temperatures on the antimicrobial activity of spinach leaf  
289 extracts showed that there was both a positive linear and cubic effect of ultrasonic extraction  
290 temperatures (Table 3) on the inhibitory zone diameters for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. When the  
291 temperature was increased from 30 to 45°C, the inhibitory zone diameters (Fig. 1 & Fig. 2) were  
292  $24.95\pm 0.10$  mm and  $20.93\pm 0.13$  mm according to optimization condition for *S. aureus* and *E.*  
293 *coli*, respectively. However, it appears that the negative effects of higher temperatures were due  
294 to decreased antimicrobial activities in the spinach leaf extracts. This finding was in agreement  
295 with previous studies by Altemimi et al. (2015b) in which it was shown that increasing extraction  
296 temperatures caused the loss of anti-oxidant activities and lower concentrations of lutein and  $\beta$ -  
297 carotene.

## 298 **Effects of the extraction power of the ultrasound waves**

299 The antimicrobial activities of spinach leaf extracts were determined in order to evaluate  
300 the efficiency of extraction power of ultrasound. The results showed that the inhibitory zone  
301 diameters increased with the increasing power of ultrasound (Fig. 1 & Fig. 2). When the  
302 extraction power was increased from 30 to 44 %, the inhibitory zone diameters (Fig. 1 & Fig. 2)  
303 were increased for both *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. Disruption of the cell walls of the spinach leaves  
304 was increased when the extraction power was increased to an optimal condition. It was inferred  
305 that the solubility of the compounds was also increased (Ying et al. 2011) from higher yields.  
306 Increased inhibitory zone diameters suggest that the quality of the extract is increased.  
307 Furthermore, the vibration amplitude of sonication is closely associated with the intensity of  
308 ultrasound transmitted in the medium, thus the number of cavitation bubbles was increased.  
309 Therefore, both the extraction efficiency and quality of the active compounds was increased and  
310 enhanced (Dash et al. 2005).

## 311 **Effects of extraction times on bacterial growth**

312 The inhibitory zone diameters were increased when the duration of extraction was  
313 increased from 10 to 23 min but slowly decreased when the duration continued to be extended  
314 (Fig. 1 & Fig. 2). The zone of inhibition was predicted and it was found to be  $24.95 \pm 0.10$  mm  
315 and  $20.93 \pm 0.13$  mm for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively. This finding agreed with (Maran et  
316 al. 2013b) that showed the majority of phenolic compounds were released at the early period of  
317 extraction from broken cells. Moreover, the extension of the ultrasonic extraction time negatively  
318 affected the antimicrobial activity of spinach leaf extracts, probably due to the degradation of  
319 both pigments and polyphenols (Tiwari et al. 2009). The results obtained here are in accordance  
320 with published work Rostango et al. (2007), Showing that 20 min of sonication time was  
321 sufficient for extraction of phenolics from soy beverages.

## 322 **Determination and verification of models for ultrasonic parameters**

323 The suitability of the model equations for predicting optimal response values was tested  
324 under set conditions (extraction temperature of 45°C, ultrasound power of 44% and extraction  
325 time of 23 min). The experiments were carried out under the optimal conditions in order to

326 compare the experimental results with the predicted values of the responses. The experiments  
327 were conducted in triplicate and the average values were reported in Table 4. The mean values of  
328 the zones of inhibition for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* obtained were compared with the predicted  
329 values. The experimental values were found to be in agreement with the predicted values and  
330 clearly indicated the suitability of the developed quadratic models.

### 331 **Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs)**

332 According to the results shown in Figure 3, MIC was defined as the lowest concentration  
333 of the extract able to inhibit visible bacterial growth (Bonjar 2004; Prescott et al. 1999). The  
334 antibacterial activity of spinach leaf extracts against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* was reflected in their  
335 respective Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria presented MIC values of 60 mg/ml  
336 and 70 mg/ml, respectively. Thus, Gram-negative bacteria presented more susceptibility to plant  
337 extracts, and Gram-positive bacteria presented less susceptibility (Fig. 4). The antimicrobial  
338 activity of spinach extracts was compared to the standard antibiotic streptomycin. The results  
339 showed that streptomycin had higher antibacterial effect against all the bacterial strains tested as  
340 compared to the extracts (Fig. 4).

### 341 **Effects of the spinach leaf extracts on bacterial DNA**

342 In order to explore the genetic effects of the spinach leaf extracts in *E. coli* and *S. aureus*  
343 at the molecular level, the changes in the bacterial DNA due to the treatments employed were  
344 evaluated using RAPD marker analysis of genomic DNA. The RAPD results (Figure 5) showed  
345 polymorphism in the numbers and sizes of amplicons, among treated and non-treated bacteria.  
346 The highest number of polymorphic bands among treated *E. coli* was generated in reactions with  
347 the primers OPA-06 and OPB-06 (Table 5). That primer amplified four amplicons and  
348 represented 40% of the total bands. While, among treated *S. aureus*, the reaction with the primer  
349 OPB-06 resulted in the highest number of polymorphic bands (three) that represented 50% of the  
350 total bands (Tables 5 and 6).

351 RAPD is a current and emerging technique employed to diagnostic mutation detection  
352 within a genome. The use of the RAPD assay for the detection of DNA damage and mutation  
353 changes has been extensively used in most kingdoms including plants (i.e. *Alfalfa* and *Palmaria*

354 *palmate*), animals (i.e. *Daphnia magna* and *Broiler chicken*), and microorganisms (i.e. *E. coli*,  
355 *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and *S. aureus*) (Danylchenko and Sorochinsky, 2005, Atienzar et al.,  
356 2002a, Atienzar et al., 2002b, Ali, 2003). The results obtained from the current study suggest that  
357 spinach leaf extracts may induce mutations within bacterial genome. Therefore, RAPD analysis  
358 support the finding that spinach leave extracts exhibit antimicrobial activities on Gram-negative  
359 and Gram-positive food-borne pathogens. There were polymorphic banding patterns when  
360 comparisons were made between the non-treated bacteria and bacteria treated with different  
361 concentrations of the spinach leaf extracts (Figure 5).

362 Furthermore, it has been shown that molecular changes due to point mutations in plants  
363 which affect gene expression may cause an interruption in biochemical pathways of both DNA  
364 and protein synthesis (Lakhssassi et al., 2017a, Lakhssassi et al., 2017b). Such changes are  
365 inferred to be the result of secondary metabolism compounds, like alkaloids and phenols, which  
366 are contained in abundance in the spinach leaf extracts, as it has been previously reported by  
367 Adam et al. (2000), Morita et al. (2005), Gilani et al. (2007), and El-Tarras et al. (2013)..  
368 Therefore, spinach antimicrobial activities may be due also by the presence of compounds in  
369 spinach leaves and mechanism that could damage the cell wall via repression of gene expression  
370 or via restricting bacterial replication.

### 371 **Inhibition of bacterial growth by spinach leaf extracts**

372 SEM was used to examine possible morphological changes in the bacterial cells caused  
373 by spinach extracts. Treatment with spinach leaf extracts reduces dramatically the number of  
374 both *E. coli* and *S. aureus*; there are almost no bacteria in treated surfaces (Figure 6 and 7). In  
375 fact, lethal effects of high concentration from spinach leaf extracts on treated bacteria have been  
376 clearly observed. In the same way, it has been reported that oregano and thyme essential oils  
377 exhibit strong antimicrobial properties against *E. coli* O157:H7, in which the treated cells with  
378 essential oil were damaged, presenting similar effect on the cells (Burt and Reinders 2003). Burt  
379 (2004) suggested that the mechanism was due to the action of essential oil components in  
380 bacterial cells. The damage may be caused by direct damage to the cell wall or membrane  
381 proteins, causing cell lysis.

## 382 **Conclusion**

383 In this study, RSM was used to optimize the conditions for ultrasound-assisted extraction  
384 of spinach leaves and to measure the antimicrobial activities of the prepared extracts. The results  
385 indicated that the temperature, power, and extraction time significantly affect the antimicrobial  
386 activities of the extracts. Preparation of extracts under optimal conditions increased the  
387 antimicrobial activity of the extracts, increased the size of the zone of inhibition of growth of  
388 treated bacteria compared to controls (non-treated bacteria). SEM images demonstrated that  
389 viable cell numbers was significantly reduced in bacterial cells treated with spinach leaf  
390 extracts. Moreover, RAPD analysis of the genomic DNA showed that there were differences in  
391 the polymorphic bands between treated and non-treated bacteria (*S. aureus* and *E. coli*). This  
392 data support the idea that DNA polymorphisms detected by RAPD is a powerful biomarker assay  
393 for detection of the level of DNA damage in treated *S. aureus* and *E. coli* strains by spinach leaf  
394 extracts, as it has been shown from previous studies (Danylchenko and Sorochinsky, 2005,  
395 Atienzar et al., 2002a, Atienzar et al., 2002b, Ali, 2013). Similar results were reported previously  
396 (El-Tarras et al. 2013) on the effect of *Rhazya stricta* leaf extracts and in two different studies  
397 with *Conocarpus erectus* and *Moringa Peregrina* (Hajar and Gumgumjee 2013). These findings  
398 lead us to conclude that ultra-sonicated spinach extracts can be effective antimicrobial agents  
399 against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive food-borne bacteria such as *S. aureus* and *E. coli*.

## 400 **Acknowledgements**

401 The authors would like to thank the Higher Committee for Education Development in Iraq  
402 (HCED) for the financial support to this work. Also, the authors are thankful to Dr. Alan Walters  
403 for providing spinach samples and Dr. Vjollca Konjufca for providing the bacterial strains and  
404 research facilities for the study.

## 405 **Author Contributions**

406 AA, designed, carried out and wrote the manuscript. DAL supervised; helped design the  
407 research; and proofread the article. NL. designed, analyzed, and carried out the RAPD  
408 experiments, edited drafts of the manuscript, AGA helped edit drafts of the manuscript.



409

410 **Competing interests**

411 The authors declare they have no competing interests.

412 **Consent for publication**

413 Not applicable.

414 **Ethics and consent to participate**

415 This study did not involve humans, human data or animals; no ethics approval or consent is required to  
416 publish the results.

417 **References**

418 Adam S, Al-Farhan A, Al-Yahya M (2000) Effect of combined *Citrullus colocynthis* and *Rhazya*  
419 *stricta* use in Najdi sheep. *Am J Chin Med* 28:385-390

420 Adeniran O, Olajide O, Igwemmar N, Orishadipe A (2013) Phytochemical constituents,  
421 antimicrobial and antioxidant potentials of tree spinach [*Cnidioscolus aconitifolius*  
422 (Miller) IM Johnston]. *J Med Plant Res* 7: 1310-1316

423 Ali BA (2003) Detection of DNA alteration in abnormal phenotype of broiler chicken male by  
424 random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). *Afr J Biotechnol* 2: 153-156

425 Altemimi A, Choudhary R, Watson DG, Lightfoot DA (2015a) Effects of ultrasonic treatments  
426 on the polyphenol and antioxidant content of spinach extracts. *Ultrason Sonochem* 24:  
427 247-255

428 Altemimi A, Lightfoot DA, Kinsel M, Watson DG (2015b) Employing Response Surface  
429 Methodology for the Optimization of Ultrasound Assisted Extraction of Lutein and  $\beta$ -  
430 Carotene from Spinach. *Molecules* 20: 6611-6625

431 Altemimi A, Watson DG, Kinsel M, Lightfoot DA (2015c) Simultaneous extraction,  
432 optimization, and analysis of flavonoids and polyphenols from peach and pumpkin  
433 extracts using a TLC-densitometric method. *Chem Cent Journal* 9: 1-15

434 Atienzar FA, Evenden AJ, Jha AN, Depledge MH (2002a) Use of the random amplified  
435 polymorphic DNA (RAPD) assay for the detection of DNA damage and mutations:  
436 possible implications of confounding factors. *Biomarkers* 7: 94-101.

437 Atienzar FA, Venier P, Jha AN, Depledge MH (2002b) Evaluation of the random amplified  
438 polymorphic DNA (RAPD) assay for the detection of DNA damage and mutations. *Mutat*  
439 *Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen* 521: 151-163.

440 Bai X, Long J, He X, Li S, Xu H (2014) Molecular cloning and characterization of pathogenesis-  
441 related protein family 10 gene from spinach (SoPR10). *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*  
442 78:780–786

443 Bauer A, Kirby W, Sherris JC, turck, Turck M (1966) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a  
444 standardized single disk method. *Am J Clin Pathol* 45: 493

445 Bonjar GS (2004) Evaluation of antibacterial properties of Iranian medicinal plants against  
446 *Micrococcus luteus*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Bordetella*  
447 *bronchoseptica*. *Asian J Plant Sci* 3: 82-86

448 Broekaert WF, Terras F, Cammue B, Osborn RW (1995) Plant defensins: novel antimicrobial  
449 peptides as components of the host defense system. *Plant Physiol* 108: 1353

450 Burt S (2004) Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods—a  
451 review. *Int J Food Microbiol* 94: 223-253

452 Burt SA, Reinders RD (2003) Antibacterial activity of selected plant essential oils against  
453 *Escherichia coli* O157: H7. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 36: 162-167

454 Casal M, Vaquero M, Rinder H, Tortoli E, Grosset J, Rüsç-Gerdes S, Gutierrez J, Jarlier V  
455 (2005) A case-control study for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: risk factors in four  
456 European countries. *Microb Drug Resist* 11: 62-67

457 Cevallos-Casals BA, Byrne D, Okie WR, Cisneros-Zevallos L (2006) Selecting new peach and  
458 plum genotypes rich in phenolic compounds and enhanced functional properties. *Food*  
459 *Chem* 96: 273-280

460 Chambers HF (2001) The changing epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus*? *Emerg Infect*  
461 *Diseases* 7: 178

462 Chopra I, Hodgson J, Metcalf B, Poste G (1996) New approaches to the control of infections  
463 caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria: an industry perspective. *J Am Med Assoc* 275:  
464 401-403

465 Danylchenko O, Sorochinsky B (2005) Use of RAPD assay for the detection of mutation  
466 changes in plant DNA induced by UV-B and  $\gamma$ -rays. *BMC Plant Biol* 5: S9-S9

467 Dash K, Thangavel S, Krishnamurthy N, Rao S, Karunasagar D, Arunachalam J (2005)  
468 Ultrasound-assisted analyte extraction for the determination of sulfate and elemental  
469 sulfur in zinc sulfide by different liquid chromatography techniques. *Anal.* 130: 498-501

470 Diemert DJ (2006) Prevention and self-treatment of traveler's diarrhea. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 19:  
471 583-594

472 El-Shemy HA, Aboul-Enein AM, Aboul-Enein KM, Fujita K (2007) Willow leaves' extracts  
473 contain anti-tumor agents effective against three cell types. *Plos one* 2:e178

474 El-Tarras AA, Hassan MM, El-Awady MA (2013) Evaluation of the genetic effects of the in  
475 vitro antimicrobial activities of *Rhazya stricta* leaf extract using molecular techniques and  
476 scanning electron microscope. *Afr J Biotechnol* 12: 3171-3180

477 Eloff J (1998) A sensitive and quick microplate method to determine the minimal inhibitory  
478 concentration of plant extracts for bacteria. *Planta Med* 64: 711-713

479 Faleiro L, Miguel G, Guerrero C, Brito J (1999) Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of  
480 *rosmarinus officinalis*, *thymus mastichina*. ssp *mastichina* and *thymus albicans* hofmanns  
481 e link *Pharmacognosy. Acta Horticulturae* 501: 445-448

482 Ghafoor K, Choi YH, Jeon JY, Jo IH (2009) Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of  
483 phenolic compounds, antioxidants, and anthocyanins from grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seeds. *J*  
484 *Agric Food Chem* 57: 4988-4994

485 Gil MI, Tomás-Barberán FA, Hess-Pierce B, Kader AA (2002) Antioxidant capacities, phenolic  
486 compounds, carotenoids, and vitamin C contents of nectarine, peach, and plum cultivars  
487 from California. *J Agric Food Chem* 50: 4976-4982

488 Gilani SA, Kikuchi A, Shinwari ZK, Khattak ZI, Watanabe KN (2007) Phytochemical,  
489 pharmacological and ethnobotanical studies of *Rhazya stricta* Decne. *Phytother Res* 21:  
490 301-307

491 Hajar AS, Gungumjee NM (2013) Antibacterial efficiency and DNA impairment unveil in some  
492 bacteria strains treated with *Conocarpus erectus* L. extract. *Int J Appl Biol Pharm* 4:37–  
493 47

494 Han SS, Seo HJ (2002) Articles: Curcumin Suppresses Activation of NF-kB and AP-1 Induced  
495 by Phorbol Ester in Cultured Human Promyelocytic Leukemia Cells. *Mol Biol Rep* 35:  
496 337-342

497 Lakhssassi N, Colantonio V, Flowers ND, Zhou Z, Henry J, Liu S, Meksem K (2017a) Stearoyl-  
498 acyl carrier protein desaturase mutations uncover an impact of stearic acid in leaf and  
499 nodule structure. *Plant Physiol* 174: 1531-1543

500 Lakhssassi N, Zhou Z, Liu S, Colantonio V, Abughazaleh A, Meksem K (2017b)  
501 Characterization of the FAD2 Gene Family in Soybean Reveals the Limitations of Gel-  
502 Based TILLING in Genes with High Copy Number. *Front Plant Sci* 8: 324

503 Maran JP, Manikandan S, Nivetha CV, Dinesh R (2013a) Ultrasound assisted extraction of  
504 bioactive compounds from *Nephelium lappaceum* L. fruit peel using central composite  
505 face centered response surface design. *Arab J Chem* 10: 1145–1157.

506 Maran JP, Mekala V, Manikandan S (2013b) Modeling and optimization of ultrasound-assisted  
507 extraction of polysaccharide from *Cucurbita moschata*. *Carbohydr Polym* 92: 2018-2026

508 Marathe NP, Rasane MH, Kumar H, Patwardhan AA, Shouche YS, Diwanay SS (2013) In vitro  
509 antibacterial activity of *Tabernaemontana alternifolia* (Roxb) stem bark aqueous extracts  
510 against clinical isolates of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Ann Clin*  
511 *Microbiol Antimicrob* 12: 26

512 Miyasaki Y, Nichols WS, Morgan MA, Kwan JA, Van Benschoten M, Kittell PE, Hardy WD  
513 (2010) Screening of herbal extracts against multi-drug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*.  
514 *Phytother Res* 24: 1202-1206

515 Morita H, Awang K, Hadi AHA, Takeya K, Itokawa H, Kobayashi Ji (2005) Conformational  
516 analysis of rhazinilam and three-dimensional quantitative structure–activity relationships  
517 of rhazinilam analogues. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 15: 1045-1050

518 Pedersen JR, Olsson JO (2003) Soxhlet extraction of acrylamide from potato chips. *Anal* 128:  
519 332-334

520 Prescott M, Harley J, Donald P, Klein A (1999) In *Antimicrobial chemotherapy Microbiology*  
521 2nd Edn published by C Brown Publishers USA

522 Prior RL, Cao G (2000) Antioxidant phytochemicals in fruits and vegetables: diet and health  
523 implications. *HortScience* 35: 588-592

524 Rojas JJ, Ochoa VJ, Ocampo SA, Muñoz JF (2006) Screening for antimicrobial activity of ten  
525 medicinal plants used in Colombian folkloric medicine: A possible alternative in the  
526 treatment of non-nosocomial infections. *BMC Complement Altern Med* 6: 2

527 Rostagno MA, Palma M, Barroso CG (2007) Ultrasound-assisted extraction of isoflavones from  
528 soy beverages blended with fruit juices. *Anal Chim Acta* 597: 265-272

529 Sağdıç O, Kuşçu A, Özcan M, Özçelik S (2002) Effects of Turkish spice extracts at various  
530 concentrations on the growth of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7. *Food Microbiol* 19: 473-480

531 Sağdıç O, Özcan M (2003) Antibacterial activity of Turkish spice hydrosols. *Food Control* 14:  
532 141-143

533 Segura A, Moreno M, Molina A, García-Olmedo F (1998) Novel defensin subfamily from  
534 spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*). *FEBS Lett* 435: 159-162

535 Shan B, Cai Y-Z, Brooks JD, Corke H (2007) The in vitro antibacterial activity of dietary spice  
536 and medicinal herb extracts. *Int J Food Microbiol* 117: 112-119

537 Singh P, Arnold R, Agnihotri S, Saxena A, Singh P, Tiwari S (2013) Optimization of  
538 antimicrobial activity of medicinal plants (*Coriandrum sativum*, *Ocimum tenuiflorum* and  
539 *Phyllanthus emblica*) against MDR pathogens. *Int J Pharm Biol Sci* 4: 2885-2889

540 Stotz HU, Thomson J, Wang Y (2009) Plant defensins: defense, development and application.  
541 *Plant Signal Behav* 4: 1010-1012

542 Tajkarimi M, Ibrahim S, Cliver D (2010) Antimicrobial herb and spice compounds in food. *Food*  
543 *Control* 21: 1199-1218

544 Tegos G, Stermitz FR, Lomovskaya O, Lewis K (2002) Multidrug pump inhibitors uncover  
545 remarkable activity of plant antimicrobials. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 46: 3133-  
546 3141

547 Tiwari B, O'Donnell C, Cullen P (2009) Effect of sonication on retention of anthocyanins in  
548 blackberry juice. *J Food Eng* 93: 166-171

549 Vickers A (2002) Botanical medicines for the treatment of cancer: rationale, overview of current  
550 data, and methodological considerations for phase I and II trials. *Cancer Investigat* 20:  
551 1069-1079

552 Vinatoru M (2001) An overview of the ultrasonically assisted extraction of bioactive principles  
553 from herbs. *Ultrason Sonochem* 8: 303-313

554 Williams JG, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA, Tingey SV (1990) DNA polymorphisms  
555 amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic acids res* 18: 6531-  
556 6535

557 Xi J, Shouqin Z (2007) Antioxidant activity of ethanolic extracts of propolis by high hydrostatic  
558 pressure extraction. *Food Sci Technol Int* 42: 1350-1356

559 Yang B, Liu X, Gao Y (2009) Extraction optimization of bioactive compounds (crocin,  
560 geniposide and total phenolic compounds) from Gardenia (*Gardenia jasminoides* Ellis)  
561 fruits with response surface methodology. *Innov food sci & emerg technol* 10: 610-615

562 Ying Z, Han X, Li J (2011) Ultrasound-assisted extraction of polysaccharides from mulberry  
563 leaves. *Food Chem* 127: 1273-1279

564 Yolmeh M, Habibi-Najafi MB, Shakouri S, Hosseini F (2014) Comparing Antibacterial and  
565 Antioxidant Activity of Annatto Dye Extracted by Conventional and Ultrasound-Assisted  
566 Methods. *Zahedan J Res Med Sci* 17: e1020

567

## 568 **Figure and Legends**

569 **Figure 1:** Response surface model plot showing the effects of independent variables on zone of  
570 inhibition (*S.aureus*). Panel (A) represent temperature and power. Panel (B) represent  
571 temperature and time. Panel (C) represent power and time.

572 **Figure 2:** Response surface model plot showing the effects of independent variables on zone of  
573 inhibition (*E. coli*). Panel (A) represent temperature and power. Panel (B) represent temperature  
574 and time. Panel (C) represent power and time.

575 **Figure 3:** Micro-well plate assay for MIC under the optimized conditions. Twelve different  
576 concentrations have been tested.

577 **Figure 4:** Microbial growth inhibition by ultra-sonicated spinach extracts under the optimized  
578 conditions at 140 mg. (S) *S.aureus*, (E) *E. coli*.

579 **Figure 5:** RAPD profile of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* after the treatment with ultra-sonication of  
580 spinach extracts under the optimized conditions. CE: untreated *E. coli*; E: treated *E. coli*; CS:  
581 untreated *S. aureus*; S: treated *S. aureus*. OPA and OPB represent the three random primers used  
582 for RAPD fingerprinting of the treated and non-treated two bacterial isolates (see material and  
583 method for primer sequences). Arrows in the left side indicate the molecular size obtained after  
584 running the 1 Kb Plus DNA Ladder (thermofisher).  
585

586 **Figure 6:** Scanning electron micrographs of (A) untreated and (B) treated *E. coli* cells under the  
587 optimized conditions and 140 mg of spinach extract.

588  
589 **Figure 7:** Scanning electron micrographs of (A) untreated and (B) treated *Staphylococcus aureus*  
590 cells under the optimized conditions 140 mg of spinach extracts.

591  
592 **Tables**

593 **Table 1.** Codes of variables levels used in the experimental design for RSM<sup>a</sup>.

Independent variables			Zone of inhibition (mm)	
Temp(X <sub>1</sub> ) °C	Power (X <sub>2</sub> ) %	Time(X <sub>3</sub> ) min	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>
30	50	20	22	18.5
40	50	30	25	21
30	30	10	17	13
50	30	30	22	18
40	50	20	25	21
40	50	20	24	20
40	50	10	21	17
30	70	10	17	13
30	70	30	18	14
30	30	30	19	15.5
40	70	20	22	18
40	50	20	25	21
40	50	20	24	20
40	50	20	25	20.5
50	70	30	21	17
40	30	20	23	19
50	30	10	23	19
40	50	20	25	21
50	50	20	25	21
50	70	10	20	16

594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601

602  
603  
604  
605  
606

**Table 2:** Significance of the models.

Source	Sum of squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F value	Prob>F	Remarks
Sequential model sum of squares for <i>S.aureus</i>						
Mean	9812.45	1	9812.45			
Linear	40.9	3	13.63	2.10	0.1399	
Quadratic	94.34	3	31.44	45.35	< 0.0001	
Cubic	5.6	4	1.4	6.30	0.0244	
Residual	1.33	6	0.222			
Total	9957	20	497.85			
Sequential model sum of squares for <i>E. coli</i>						
Mean	6606.61	1	6606.61			
Linear	38.75	3	12.92	2.06	0.1462	
Quadratic	90.77	3	30.26	41.58	< 0.0001	
Cubic	6.00	4	1.50	7.05	0.0188	
Residual	1.28	6	0.21			
Model summary statistics						
Source	Std. Dev.	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	PRESS		Remarks
Model summary statistics for <i>S.aureus</i>						
Linear	2.55	0.2829	0.1485	187.05		
Quadratic	0.83	0.9520	0.92	62.76		
Cubic	0.47	0.9908	0.90	2.65		
Model summary statistics for <i>E. coli</i>						
Linear	2.50	0.2785	0.1432	182.34		
Quadratic	0.85	0.9477	0.912	72.33		
Cubic	0.46	0.9908	0.909	85.56		

607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613



614

615

616

617 **Table 3:** Analysis of variance for the fitted second order polynomial models.

Source	Coefficient estimate	Degree of freedom	Sum of square	Mean square	F-value	p-value
<i>S. aureus</i>						
Model	24.67	9	137.62	15.29	22.05	< 0.0001
X <sub>1</sub>	1.80	1	32.40	32.40	46.73	< 0.0001
X <sub>2</sub>	-0.60	1	3.60	3.60	5.19	0.0459
X <sub>3</sub>	0.70	1	4.90	4.90	7.07	0.0240
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub>	-0.37	1	1.12	1.12	1.62	0.2316
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	-0.37	1	1.12	1.12	1.62	0.2316
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	0.13	1	0.13	0.13	0.18	0.6801
X <sub>1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-1.18	1	3.84	3.84	5.54	0.0404
X <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-2.18	1	13.09	13.09	18.88	0.0015
X <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-1.68	1	7.78	7.78	11.22	0.0074
Lack of fit		5	5.60	1.12	4.20	0.0706
C.V% 3.76						
<i>E. coli</i>						
Model		9	131.86	14.65	20.13	< 0.0001
X <sub>1</sub>		1	28.90	28.90	39.72	< 0.0001
X <sub>2</sub>		1	4.23	4.23	5.81	0.0367
X <sub>3</sub>		1	5.62	5.62	7.73	0.0194
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub>		1	0.78	0.78	1.07	0.3245
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>3</sub>		1	1.53	1.53	2.10	0.1775
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>3</sub>		1	0.031	0.031	0.043	0.8400
X <sub>1</sub> <sup>2</sup>		1	2.63	2.63	3.61	0.0866
X <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>		1	13.64	13.64	18.75	0.0015
X <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup>		1	8.20	8.20	11.28	0.0073
Lack of fit		5	6.07	1.21	5.02	0.0505
C.V% 4.69						

618

619

620

621

622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643

**Table 4:** Predicted and actual experimental values of zone of inhibition under the optimum conditions and the modified optimal extraction conditions

Name	Extraction variables			<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>
	X <sub>1</sub> (°C)	X <sub>2</sub> (%)	X <sub>3</sub> (min)		
Optimum conditions( predicted)	44.40	43.37	22.71	25.10	21.08
Modified optimal condition (experimental values)*	45	44	23	24.95±0.100	20.93±0.125

\*Mean ± standard deviation (n = 3).

644

645

646

647 **Table 5:** Polymorphic bands of each genetic primers and percentage of polymorphism in *E. coli* treated  
648 with different concentration of spinach leave extracts.

649

<i>E. coli</i>					
Primers	Total Bands	Number of monomorphic band	Number polymorphic band	Percentage Monomorphic band	Percentage Polymorphic band
OPA-05	10	6	4	60%	40%
OPA-06	7	4	3	57.14%	42.80%
OPB-06	7	4	3	57.14%	42.80%
Total	24	14	10	=	=

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669 **Table 6:** Polymorphic bands of each genetic primers and percentage of polymorphism in *S. Aureus*  
670 treated with different concentration of spinach leave extracts.

671

S. Aureus	Total Bands	Number of monomorphic band	Number polymorphic band	Percentage Monomorphic band	Percentage Polymorphic band
OPA-05	6	4	2	66.66%	33.33%
OPA-06	7	4	3	57.14%	42.80%
OPB-06	6	3	3	50.00%	50.00%
Total	19	11	8	=	=

672

673