Southern Illinois University Carbondale [OpenSIUC](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Conference Proceedings](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Department of Electrical and Computer](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Engineering](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

4-1999

Propagation Characterization of LEO/MEO Satellite Systems at 900-2100 MHz

William P. Osborne osborne@engr.siu.edu

Yongjun Xie *University of Texas at Dallas*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Published in Osborne, W.P., & Xie, Y. (1999). Propagation characterization of LEO/MEO satellite systems at 900-2100 MHz. 1999 Emerging Technologies Symposium Wireless Communications and Systems, 21.1-21.8. doi: 10.1109/ETWCS.1999.897339 ©1999 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE. This material is presented to ensure timely dissemination of scholarly and technical work. Copyright and all rights therein are retained by authors or by other copyright holders. All persons copying this information are expected to adhere to the terms and constraints invoked by each author's copyright. In most cases, these works may not be reposted without the explicit permission of the copyright holder.

Recommended Citation

Osborne, William P. and Xie, Yongjun, "Propagation Characterization of LEO/MEO Satellite Systems at 900-2100 MHz" (1999). *Conference Proceedings.* Paper 52. [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs/52](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ece_confs/52?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fece_confs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Conference Proceedings by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu)

PROPAGATION CHARACTERIZATION OF LEO/MEO SATELLITE SYSTEMS AT 900---2100MHz

William P. Osborne, Yongjun **Xie**

Erik **Jonsson School** of Engineering and Computer Science University of Texas at Dallas PO Box 830688, EC32, Richardson, TX 75083-0688 **USA** e-mail: wosborne @ utdallas.edu **PH:** 972-883-2974 **FAX:** 972-883-28 13

Abstract - This paper focuses on the propagation characterization of satellite communication systems in non-geostationary orbits at 900-2100MHz. An overview of available statistical propagation models for the mobile satellite communications channel is
provided. Path loss equations for satellite equations for satellite communication systems in the range of 900-2100MHz for different environments and different probabilities of link closure **are** addressed. We **also** introduce a series of experiments being conducted to deepen understanding of these issues.

I. INTRODUCTION:

With the launch of the Iridium spacecraft in 1997 and **1998,** a significant new architecture has been introduced into the field of Satellite communications. These **LEO** and **ME0** systems have several advantages over geosynchronous systems. The most significant advantages **are, (1)** the reduction in range provides a large decrease in path loss resulting in much smaller receiving antennas; **(2)** the reduction in range provides a significant reduction in propagation delay making voice conversation more pleasing **to** user and increasing the throughput of most data communication protocols.

These systems can and will serve mobile and portable users with small near-omni antennas. However, the use of small antennas, **as** well **as,** the motion of the transmitter and the receiver, introduces the possibility of multipath and blockage in the propagation conditions found in these satellite systems. This paper is concerned with our research into the propagation characterizations for **LEO** satellite mobile communications. The two most significant questions are: **(1)** How **to** develop the path loss equations of nonstationary mobile satellite systems **to** predict the received signal level? **(2)** How to characterize the 1["] and 2nd order fade distributions of the signal received by the ground terminal in mobile nonstationary systems?

The first question addressed the basic path loss equation for **LEO/MEO** systems. The path loss equations for these systems must include an allowance for such natural **factors as** the rain, snow, clouds, and fog. Even the effects of the ionosphere may have a contribution **to** the power loss in a space **to** ground link, in addition to the **free** space path loss and blockage due to buildings, trees, etc. The second question **addresses** the random fluctuation in the received signals due **to** different fading phenomena. These phenomena affect signal quality and system availability and are ultimately a major cause **of** system outages. In section **Ii,** we present **our** version of the basic path loss equations which **are** shown to provide **a** very good fit **to** the experimental data presented in **[2,7];** our equations incorporate some terms which *can* be adjusted according **to** the environments. Based on these equations, we plot the ground terminal received power level versus the elevation angle for various environments and frequency bands, respectively. These figures may **be** used to determine required signal margins in link budget analysis.

A primary reason to address the $1st$ and $2nd$ order fading statistics is to provide estimates of the nature and the level of the signal impairments, **so** the bit error **rate** (BER), level cross rate (LCR) and average duration of fades(ADF) can **be** estimated on the basis of this distribution. A good model for such phenomena can help design good counter-measures such **as** better modulation, equalization, diversity, and channel coding to combat such fading impairments and **to** maintain communication between the satellite and the ground terminal receiver. Statistical models have been used to characterize various fading environments.

There has been intensive research in the area of terrestrial mobile/cellular systems, and a large number of papers **have** been published based upon research in **this area (set [2, 6-14, 231** and references therein). J.F.Ossanna **([3]) was** the first to attempt to give an explanation of the **statistical** characteristics of **the** received mobile-radio signal in **terms** of a set of interfering waves. R.H.Clarke ([4]) derived the Rayleigh distribution of the received signal envelope in **the** urban **area** based on **the** assumption that the **received** signal phase is uniformly distributed through *x* **to** *x* **and the** received multipath signal amplitudes **are** equally distributed. Furthermore, after introducing the concept of large-scale fading **and** small-scale fading, *Suzuki* **([5]) gave** the now classical Rayleigh-lognormal model which **has** been well-testtd **as** a modcl for propagation in terrestrial mobile communications systems.

The propagation characteristics in satellite communication channels vary from pure line of sight situations between high gain antennas in point-to-point systems to paths with significant multipath fading and blockage found in **LEOME0** systems. In this paper. **we** will focus on the situation found in **LEOME0** systems and particularly those in the 900 to **2100 MHz** frequency bands. The first attempts to model the propagation characteristics of these systems were based upon extending the now classic *Suzuki* model of **temsaial** mobile radio systems. However, satellite systems generally have a much stronger direct component in the signal than is found in the **temstrial** s ystems, hence the Suzuki model needs to be adjusted to account for this difference.

The first of these modified models was a nonselective multipath fading **and** shadowing model for the landmobile satellite communication systems proposed by Loo ([6]) for rural environments, which assumes that **the** received signal is affected by nonselective Rice fading with lognormal shadowing on the direct component only, while **the** diffuse scattered component **has** constant average power level. **G.E.Corazza** and F.Vatalaro **presented** Rice-lognormal distribution, with shadowing affecting both direct **and** diffuse components in **p]. Both the** Loo and Corazza models assume the small-scale fading contains a strong component, **so** they use **the** Rice distribution **to** describe the fading conditioned on constant shadowing **loss. Furthermore, M.** Patzold et **al [8]** proposed an extended *Suzuki* model. in which **the** orthogonal components of the Rician process **used** to model the scattering **are** allowed to be mutually correlated. This is equivalent to considering asymmetrical doppler power spectral densities, and the line-of-sight component is frequency shifted due **to** the doppler effect. William **P.** Osborne et al [34] derived a general statistical model for mobile systems on the basis of propagation scattering theory. **This** model can **be** used **as** a fading model in all **types** of environments. The probability density function (p.d.f.) of received signal envelope and the p.d.f. of the received signal power were given, and all of the models discussed above **are** special **cases** of this general model.

One of the most modem attempts to model **LEO/MEO** paths attempts to exploit the fact **that** the path may change very quickly **as** a user moves from a clear state (one with a line of sight component) to a blocked state (one in which the path to the satellite is totally blocked by a building or a mountain). The models **discussed** above **are** so-called *single state models Md* **we** suitable for use in quasi-stationary channels, i.e. channels characterized by uniform environmental conditions under the assumption of time and frequency flatness. The multi-state models provide an approach for characterizing the channel under wider and **more** abrupt variations of the environmental conditions, such **as** those encountered in urban based vehicular communication. We will discuss different models developed in the literature next.

All the models discussed above are suitable for use as single state models when the received terminal travels in a uniform environment. When the **terminal travels** in a large non-uniform **area,** the received signal envelope *can* change abruptly between quite different levels and should **be** described by multi-state models. Lutz et **al [9]** introduced a two-state model, which is Ricianlognormal under *gaod store* and Rayleigh-lognormal under *bud* **state.** Markov Transition Model based on Rician fading was proposed by **H.** Wakana **[lo]. R.Akturan** and WJ.Voge1 [**111** introduced **a three-state** model, which is Rice under *clear state* and Loo's model (running parameters) under *shadowing stote* and block *sure.* **F.** P. Fontan et **al [12]** used the **Loo's** model **as** the basis of their three-state Markov model. W.Osborne et al ^[34] introduced their model as the basis of multistate model.

In addition to these channel models **of** land-mobile satellite communication systems, propagation in maritime and aeronautical satellite communication system have **also** been studied **[14-191.** Sandrin and others [**151** proposed **a** generalized model, similar to the ones used in land mobile studies, for the maritime multipath fading on the basis of Rician fading.

Although there exist a large number of papers and experimental results in the field of modeling mobile satellite communications **systems,** the previously published experimental results **are!** not sufficient to determine the fade distributions of the ground **termid's** received signals in different fading environments, different elevation angles **and** different frequencies for systems using nonstationary orbits. One of **the** principal mons for this state, is the change the world has made in the architecture of mobile satcom **systems.** Originally these systems were conceived *to* be **very** large *geostationary* satellites **communicating** with ground based mobile users and because of **this** much of the development **and** experimental work **has** employed geosynchronus **spacecraft. A second** reason for **the** lack of experimental data that relates directly *to* the *case* of a moving spacecraft and a moving user is, the only (1) Absorption of the atmosphere *(Lair)* **programs** addressing this *case* **am** commercial programs **who** have little interest in sharing propagation data with potential competitors. **The** only **known** experimental data available for the *case* of a moving spacecraft were provided by Vogle [2] and Butterworth [6] using a helicopter in a tree shaded rural environment only and Davidson [36] using the **GPS** satellite.

In order to extend the work of Vogel and Butterworth, and to obtain fade distributions in suburban and urban environments for **a** moving spacecraft, *we* plan to do a series of simulation experiments. The intent of these experiments is ultimately to give a correct estimation of BER based on **a** link budget and the second order . statistics such **as** LCR and **ADF** in **LEO** for **LEO/MEO** mobile satellite communication systems. Section **111** introduces **our** preliminary work on these experiments.

11. PATH LOSS EQUATIONS OF LEO SATELLITE MOBILE COMMUNICATION CHANNEL:

To address the issue of **the** signal margin estimation in **the LEO** satellite mobile communication systems, the path loss quations in **LEO** satellite mobile communication systems must be determined. This is similar to **the** work done by Okumura, Hata and other researchers in the area of terrestrial cellular communication systems [26-331 and Hess in satellite communications [25]. The difference between ternstrial cellular communication and satellite communications is that propagation impairments caused by **the** natural medium (rain. fog, scintillation etc.) between the satellite **and** ground terminal must be included **and the** satellite *case* must allow for a direct path component.

In this section after the propagation concerns are introduced. the path loss quations in the rural shadowed **areas** *are* presented for different elevation angles, different probabilities, and different frequencies in the 900--2100MHz range including weather effects. These quations are used to calculate the ground

terminal received signal level and the results are summarized in Fig.1-6. These figures are illustrations of variation in the received signal level and will be helpful in preparing link budgets.

(2.1) Propagation considerations of **LEO** satellite mobile communication channel:

In order *to* give a concise introduction, a summary of relevant propagation impairments is listed below:

-
- It is very low in the range 0.3 **10** *GHz* (lower than **1** dB) and decreases **as** the elevation angle increases.
- (2) Attenuation caused by rain (absorption and $scattering)$ (Lrain)

It is proportional to the strength of rain. where, γ_R (dB/km) is the attenuation coefficient, varies in the range of 0-0.02 in the ffequency band 900MHz-2100MHz. $L_R = \gamma_R l_R$ (dB) (always less than 0.5 dB) (1)

(km) is the equivalent path distance, the **IR** range is 0-25 and decrease rapidly with the elevation increase.

(3) Attenuation caused by cloud or fog (**Ltog**)

 $L_F = 0.148f^2 / v_m^{1.43}$ (dB/km) (always less than 0.03dB)

(2)

where, $f = \text{frequency}$ GHz v_m (m) is visibility, heavy fog: $v_m < 50m$ median fog: $50 \le v_m < 200m$ light fog: $200 \le v_m < 500$

(4) Attenuation cause by snow

$$
L_S = 7.47 \cdot 10^{-5} f \cdot I \left(1 + 5.77 \cdot 10^{-5} f^3 I^{0.6} \right) \qquad (dB/km)
$$

 $(\text{less than } 0.01 \text{ dB})$ (3) where, **f** = frequency *GHz* **¹**(mm/H) is the strength of snow

(5) Refraction by atmosphere (**Lref**)

When elevation angle is higher than 5[°], attenuation is less than 0.2dB.

(6) Scintillation of troposphere and ionosphere (Lscin)

The random variations of atmosphere refractive index result in EM waves focus or defocus. According to experiments, attenuation caused by the scintillation of atmosphere is less than **0.6** dB.

The EM waves propagating in ionosphere *can* **be** scattered by **the** variation of physical **structure** in ionosphere. **This** effect may result in about 2.2 dB of additional loss in **the** 900-2100MHz range.

(6) Polarization effects (Lfraday)

Linear polarized system **are** subject to **the** effects of polarization rotation when waves propagate through the **earth's** ionosphere in the presence of the **earth's** magnetic field. The rotation angles vary approximately with **Vf.** The signal loss *can* **be** around *9* dB at **9ooMHz and** almost 0.3 dB at **2100 MHz.** This effect *can* **be** avoided by using circular-polarized signal.

But it should **be** pointed out that some effects, especially diffraction by roof edges and corners of building structures **as** well **as** reflections from various planar surfaces, *arc.* however, polarization sensitive and **the** orthogonal polarization components of circular polarization will **be** affected differently.

(2.2) Path Loss Equations in Rural Shadowed *Area* **(900MH~-2 1** OOMHZ)

In the rural shadowed **area,** the propagating electromagnetic waves are shadowed and scattered by trees, causing the excess path loss. Experimental and emperical data are given in [2] and [7,23]. *Ltree*50(α) or $Lree90(\alpha)$ were derived from the experimental .results in (21 by Osborne et **al** (341 to describe the excess path **loss** term that caused by the rural environment for different elevation angles and probability of closure path loss equations. The results match the received signal envelope records in [7,23] that can **be** described by statistical model.

In many experiments of [2], helicopters were used **as the** transmitter platform and ESA measurement results using geosynchronous spacecraft werc given in [7]. **On** the basis of the **data** of these experiments, the path **loss** equations in the 900MHz-2100MHz range for different environmental conditions *are* presented below.

(i) for *50%* probability

The path loss in the nonstationary satellite system *can* **be** expressad **as a** sum of **free** space path loss and excess path **loss** that caused by natural factors such **as** rain, ionosphere, troposphere etc. and multipath effects. The values of natural factors in the following equations **arc** synthesized from a vast amount of materials including NASA **reports.** The excess path loss **caused** by tree in the following equations **are** deduced **from** (21.

 $L_{50}(\alpha) = 32.44 + 20\log(d(\alpha)km) + 20\log(fMHz) + Lair(\alpha)$ (4) $+$ **Lrainl(a)** $+$ **Ltree50(a)** $+$ **Lfog** $+$ **Liono** $+$ **Lfrad** where

$$
d(\alpha) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{e}^{2} + (\epsilon_{e}^{2} + h)^{2} - 2\epsilon_{e}(\epsilon_{e} + h)\cos\left(\arccos\left(\frac{\epsilon_{e}}{\epsilon + h}\cos\alpha\right) - \alpha\right)}
$$

the satellite-to-terminal distance d **as** a function *of* elevation angle α . (5)

dB

(ii) for **90%** probability

 $L_{90}(\alpha) = 32.44 + 20\log(d(\alpha)km) + 20\log(fMHz) + Lair(\alpha)$ (7)

+
$$
+ \text{Lrainl}(\alpha) + \text{Lree9O}(\alpha) + \text{Lfog} + \text{Liono} + \text{Lfrad}
$$

$$
\text{where } \text{Lree9O}(\alpha) = \left(22.5e^{-2.1\alpha \frac{1.57}{90}} + 0.1\cos\left(\alpha \frac{1.57}{90}\right)\right)\sqrt{\frac{\text{fMHz}}{900}} \tag{8}
$$

(iii) for 99% probability

 $Log(\alpha) = 32.44 + 20log(d(\alpha)km) + 20log(fMHz) + Lair(\alpha)$ (9) $+$ **Lrainl(** α **) + Ltree99(** α **) + Lfog + Lion099 + Lfrad99** where

$$
Lrece99(\alpha) = \left(25.8e^{-1.1\alpha \frac{157}{90}} + 1.5\cos\left(\alpha \frac{(1.57 - 0.3)3.1}{90}\right)\right)\sqrt{\frac{f1 \text{ MHz}}{900}}
$$
(10)

 $Liono99 + Lfrad99 = 3$ dB

(2.3) Calculations of Ground Received Signal Level

Based on the discussions above, the excess path loss in the ground terminal received signal relative **to free** **space** path loss in **rural** shadowed **area** at 900MHz, **15OOMHz 1900MHz.** on clear days and rainy **days,** is showed in Fig. 1~6 (In these graphs, the notation R50, **R90 and R99** indicate the received signal **levcl** for *50%.* 90% and 99% probability, respectively).

From these figures we *can* conclude **the** following:

- (i) **The excess** path loss increases with the **frsquency (as** shown in **equation (4)-(10)).** For **example,** for clear days, 99% probability and **20 degree** elevation angle, **the** level at 900MHz transmitting frequency is almost 16 **dB lower** than that at **19ooMHz,** but the difference between **LOS** signal level is around 6dB.
- (ii) The excess path **loss** increases with the elevation angle decreasing **(as** shown in Equations **(4)-(10)).** The signal lever difference between those of 20 **degrees** and **90** degrees is **21** dB for 900MHz and for **99%** probability when the difference for **LOS** signal is only **7** dB.
- **(iii)** The impact of rain is not serious in **the** 900MHz to 2100MHz ranges. The raindrops scattering cause attenuation. The decrease in the wavelength **of** propagation waves results in **an** increase in attenuation.

Fig. 1 Excess path loss to elevation angle (900MHz, clear days. rural **shadowed area; From** the top: The level **of LOS, RSO. R90. R99)**

Fig. **2 Excess** path loss **to** elevation angle **(9ooMHZ.** rainy days, **rural shadowed area; From** the top: The level of **LOS, R50. R90, R99)**

Fig. 3 **Excess** path loss **to** elevation angle **(1900MHz,** clear days, rural shadowed area; From the top: The level of LOS, **WO. R90. R99)**

Fig. 4 Excess path **loss to** elevation angle **(1900MHz, rainy** days, rural shadowed area; From the top: The level of LOS, **RSO, R90. R99)**

111. Experiments:

(3.1) Objectives of experiments:

In order to contribute to a future non-geostationary mobile communication system design, **wc** plan to set up a series of experiments to investigate **the** basic channel parameters and transmission **performance.**

One of **our** objectives is to investigate the **I'** order statistics as fading distributions and $2nd$ order statistics **as** LCR, **ADF** of **ground** terminal's received signal for **0-90"** elevation angles when the terminal is located in a kind of environment such **as** an urban **area,** a suburban **area** etc. **A good** statistical model of the received signal's fading distribution is very important to predict the **BER** and the second order statistics **as LCR,** ADF **arc also** important to predict the **performance of** the nonstationary satellite mobile communication system. **Thus** it is important to derive the received signal fading distributions. **LCR** and ADF from a great deal of experimental data when the ground receiver is located in various environments.

But the published experimental data is not sufficient **to** provide these statistics, especially when the receiver is located in a dense **area.** Although some materials **[23]** dealt with these **statistics,** they used a GEO satellite system **as** the transmitter. In this case, the scenario is that of a moving receiver and a stationary satellite. This is different from the scenario in the **LEO** satellite **system** with **a moving or stationary ground terminal** receiving a signal from a moving spacecraft. And the received signal fading distribution that derived from different places for different elevation angles with a GEO satellite (for example for urban **areas,** they chose different big cities in Europe) should be different from the fading distribution derived from a fixed ground terminal receiving from moving spacecraft.

Another issue **that** nceds to be improved in previous experiments is the antenna. Some researchers used omni-directional antenna [35] to calculate the unfaded signal, but it is more appropriate to use the high-gain antenna to get the line-of-sight signals. Furthermore the **type** of antenna **also** significantly influences the received signal's fading distributions **as** well **as LCR** and ADF. **So to** compare the measurement results of fading distributions. **LCR** using different received antenna is also valuable.

To analyze the **adequate** propagation measurement results of the **time** domain signal level of continuous waves *(CW)* at **900-2100 MHz** in simulated nonstationary satellite system can obtains fading

distributions, normalized **LCR** and ADF (normalized to vehicle velocity). Furthermore, the power spectrum estimate at different elevation angles **as** a function of vehicle velocity can also be derived by fast Fourier transform (FFT).

Moreover, the coherence bandwidth caused by multipath effect is also an important parameter to determine the channel bandwidth in the nonstationary satellite system design. It *appears* no published experimental results address this issue regarding *this* parameter in a nonstationary satellite system. Estimation of **this** parameter *can* be derived by measuring the time delay of pulse signal propagating in a simulating nonstationary satellite system.

Consequently we have decided to conduct a series of simulation experiments in **this** year. In the first phase, we plan to engage the experiments in a narrow band to simulate the received signal fading distributions, normalized **LCR and** ADF, **as** well **as** the power spectrum **as** a function to vehicle velocity in nonstationary satellite mobile communications. In the second phase, we plan to do experimental research on the coherence bandwidth in nonstationary satellite communications.

(3.2) Design of the experiment to accomplish the objectives above

In order to fulfill these objectives, we have designed the **appropriate test plan to perform** *our* **experiments. The** first phase measurement plan *(CW* measurement) that we are doing is introduced below:

(3.2.1) Test Set-up:

We plan **to** use a helicopter **as** the transmitter platform. The advantages of *this* choice are:

- (i) The multipath effect resulting in the satellite communication signals fading is caused by buildings, **trees,** hills and other obstructs on the ground. Using a helicopter **as a** transmitter platform is suitable to simulate the signals fading caused by multipath effects for a given elevation angle.
- (ii) We can use signal **sources** that transmit signals at different frequency in a wide frequency band **(900-2500MHz),** in order to obtain adequate experimental data for different frequencies and elevation angles.
- (iii) We *can* control the distance between transmitter and receiver, and adjust transmitted signal power to obtain the suitable dynamic range for measurement.
- (iv) We can determine the normalized LCR and **ADF** from the different measurements results that obtained when the helicopter fly at different velocities.

High-gain antenna and omni-directional antenna will be **used** when *we* measure line of sight **(LOS)** signals and normal signal levels. **The** *HP* **85%E-spaceum** analyzer is chosen **to be** the receiver to receive **the** signal level **transmitted from** the helicopter **as** it is used in zero-span mode

(3.2.2) Data Acquisition System (DAS):

The receiver is connected **to** computer by **GPIB** interface. The LabVIEW software is used to perform the instrument control and data acquisition. The sweep trace is digitized and stored in the computer. The time domain output is a sweep period alternated with a nonwork period **as:**

..e+------------)& >-.e sweep time "deadtime" and data sweep time collection

The sweep time can be adjusted in the range of 20ms-100s and the 401 points *can* **be** collected for every trace. **Thus** the sampling rate will **be** 4Hz to 20KHz. The aliasing error should be avoided. However the fading rate in **this** *case* should **be** around 1oOHz. In order **to** count the **LCR** and deduce the power spectrum. the compromise sweep time should **be** 260-36Oms.

(3.2.3) Data Analyses:

Appropriate analyses of measurement data **results** in various conclusions that may be helpful in nonstationary satellite system design. Based on the data in **our DAS.** we plan to investigate such parameters **as** fading distributions, LCR, ADF and power spectrum.

(i) Fading distributions: After performing these experiments in an urban area. a suburban **area,** an open **area** etc., **we** will normalize the received signal level to LOS signal level. The excess path loss caused by the multipath effects in nonstationary system can be derived **as** a probability density function (PDF) **and** cumulative probability distribution (CPD) at different elevation angles and different frequencies.

- (ii) LCR and ADF: After counting the **LCR** at different vehicle velocities, the normalized LCR at different elevation angles can be derived that *can* be used to predict the **LCR** in nonstationary satellite system. The ADF can be deduced on the basis of the LCR and CPD.
- (iii) Power spectrum: After performing FFT **to** the data at different elevation angles, the power *spectrum* **as** a function of vehicle velocity can be derived which can be **used to** predict the power spectrum in nonstationary satellite system.

IV. Conclusion:

The propagation characterization in **LEOME0** mobile satellite communication system is discussed in **this** paper. The existing research on statistical models of the received signal fading distribution were outlined. The path **loss** equations in **LEO** mobile satellite communication system were described. Finally, the experiments we are conducting were introduced.

References

111 Hess, *G.* **C.,** Handbook of Land-Mobile Radio System Coverage. Artech House, Boston, MA, 1998. [2] Goldhirsh. J., and Vogel, W. J., Propagation effects for vehicular and personal mobile satellite system: Overview of experimental and modeling results

[3] **Ossann4** J. **F.,"** A model for mobile radio fading to **building** reflections: experimental fading waveform power spectra," B.S.T.J.,43, No.6,pp.2935-2971, November, 1964.

[4] Clarke, **R.** H., " A statistical theory of mobile-radio reception," **B.S.T.J.,** 47, pp. 957-1000, 1968.

[5] Suzuki, **H.,** '' A statistical model for urban radio propagation," IEEE Trans. Commun., Vol. COM-25, No.7, pp. 673-680, 1977.

[6] **Loo,** C.," **A** statistical model for a land mobile satellite link," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* Vol. **VT-34,** No.3, pp.122-127, 1985.

[7] Corazza, G **E.** and Vatalaro, F., '' **A** statistical model . for land mobile satellite channels and its application to nongeostationary orbit system," IEEE Trans. *Veh. Technol.,* Vo1.43, No.3, pp, 738-742, 1994. [8] Patzold. **M.,** Killat, **U.** and Laue, F., " **An** extended Suzuki model for land mobile satellite channels and its statistical properties," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.,* VOI.47, **N0.2,** pp.617-630, 1998.

[9] **IN% E.. Cygan,** D., Dippold, M., Dolainsky, F.and **Papkc, W., 'The** land mobile satellite communication **channel** --- Recording, statistics, and channel model," *IEEE Trans. Veh TechnoL,* Vol. 40, No.2, pp. 375-386, 1991.

[lo] **Wakana, H.,"** A propagation model for landmobile-satellite communication," *Proc. IEEE Antennas and Pmpagation* **Society** *Symposium,* Conada, June 1991. pp. 15261529.

[1 IIAkturan. **R. and** Vogel, **W.** J.," Path diversity for **LEO** satellite-PCS in the urban environment," *IEEE Trans. AP, Vol. 45, No. 7, pp. 1107-1116, 1997.*

[12] Fontan, **F. P., Gonzalez,** J. P., **Femiro, M.** J. **S.,** *Castro,* **M. A. V..** Buonomo. **S.** and Baptista, J. **P.,** " complex envelope three-state Markov model based simulator for **the** narrow-band **LMS** channel," *International Journal of Satellite Communications,* V01.15, pp. 1-15.1997

[13] **Osbome,** W. **P.,** *Xie,* **Y.** J., Fang, **Y. G.** and **Roberts. E.,** " Channel modeling in **LEO** satellite mobile communication system," Second technical report **to** Nortel, June, 1998.

(141 Karasawa, **Y.** and Shiokawa, T., " Characteristics of L-band multipath fading due to sea surface reflection." *IEEE* Trans. *on Antennas* **and** *Propagation,* Vol. AP-32. No.6. June, pp. 618-623. 1984.

[IS] Sandrin, **W.** A. and Fang, **D.** J.. '' Multipath fading characterization of L-band maritime mobile satellite links," *COMSAT Technical* Review, Vol. 16, [No. 2,](#page-2-0) Fall. pp. 319-338, 1986.

[I61 **Ohmori, S.** and Miura, **S.,** " A fading reduction method for maritime satellite communications." *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation,* Vol. *AP-3* [1,](#page-1-0) No. [1,](#page-1-0) Jan. pp. 184-187, 1983.

[17] Miller, A. **R..** Brown, **R.** M. and Vegh, **E.,** " New derivation for the rough **surface** reflection coefficient and for distribution of sea-wave elevations." *IEE Proc.,* Vol. 131. **PL** H.. April, pp. 114-116, 1984.

[le] Sutton. **R. W.** et **al.** '' Satellite-aircraft multipath **and** ranging experiment results at L-band," *IEEE* trans. *Conununiccltions,* [Vol. COM-21. No.](#page-5-0) *5,* May, pp. 639- 647, 1973.

1191 Jcdrey, T. C., Dessouky, **K.** I. and Lay, **N. E.,** *' An aeronautical-mobile satellite experiment," IEEE Trans. *[Veh Tech,](#page-4-0)* Vol. **40,** No. 4, Nov., pp. 741-749, 1991.

[201 Beckman. P. and Spizzichino, A., *77u Scmering of Electromagnetic Wavesfiom Rough Surfaces,* Artech House, Norwood, massachusetts, 1987.

(2 **1** I Papoulis. **A.,** *Probabilities, Random Variables* **and** Stochastic Processes. New York: McGraw Hill, 1991, 3" **ad.**

[221 Ohmori, **S.,** Wakana, **H.** and Kawase, *S., Mobile Satellite Communications,* Artech **House,** Boston, 1998. [231 **Coraua, G.E.. Jahn,** A., Lutz, **E.** and **Vatalaro,** F., "Channel characterization for mobile satellite communications," Proc. *Of First European Workshop* on Mobile/ Personal Satcoms (EMPS'94), Springer-Verlag London Limited 1995, pp. 225-250.

[U] **Proakis,** J. G., *Digital Communications,* New York: McGraw Hill, 1983.

[25] Hess, G. C., Land-Mobile satellite excess path loss measurements, IEEE Trans. Veh., Vo1.29, No.2.1980.

[26] **Okamura, Y.,** et **al.:** Field strength and its variability in VHF and UHF land-mobile radio **service,** Rev. **Elec.** Communication Lab., Vo1.16, Sept.- **Oct.,** 1968.

[27] Hata, M: Empirical formula for propagation **loss** in land mobile radio **service,** IEEE **Trans on** Veh., Vo1.29, No.3, 1980.

[28] Carey, R.: Technical factors affecting the assignment of facilities in the domestic public land mobile radio service, Federal Communication Commission, Report Number **R-6406,** June 24,1964.

1291 Seidal, S. Y. et al.: 914MHz path loss prediction models for indoor wireless communication in multifloored buildings, IEEE Trans. On *AP,* Vo1.40, N0.2, 1992.

[30] Bertoni, **H.** L. et al.: Coverage prediction for mobile radio systems operating in **the** *800/900 MHz* frequency range, IEEE Trans. on Veh., Vo1.37, No.1, 1988.

[31] Fleury, B. H., et al.: Radiowave propagation in mobile communication: an overview of European research, IEEE Communication Magazine, **Vo1.34,** No.2, 1996.

[32] Ibrahim, M.F. et al.: Signal strength prediction in building up **areas, part** 1: Median signal strength, **Pro.** IEE Part **F.** 130(5), 1983.

(331 **Parsons,** J. **D. etc.:** Signal **strength** prediction **in** building up **areas,** part 2: signal variability, Pro. IEE Part F, 130(5), 1983.

[34] Osborne, w. P., et **al.:** Propagation studies of **LEO** satellite paths, Nortel Advanced wireless Forum, **Oct,** 1998, Ottawa, Canada.

[35] Butterworth, **I. S.,** Propagation measurements for land-mobile satellite system at 1542 *MHz,* CRC TECHNICAL NOTE No. 723, Aug., 1984.

[36] Davidson, A., Land mobile radio propagation to satellite, Proc. of 1991 **Antenna** Applications Symposium, University of Illinois, Allerton **Park, IL,** Sept. 25-27, 1991.