Southern Illinois University Carbondale OpenSIUC

Articles and Preprints

Department of Mathematics

10-2008

Factoring Families of Positive Knots on Lorenz-like Templates

Michael C. Sullivan Southern Illinois University Carbondale, msulliva@math.siu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math articles

Part of the <u>Dynamical Systems Commons</u>

Electronic version of an article published as "Factoring Families of Positive Knots on Lorenz-like Templates," *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, Volume 17, Issue 10, 2008, 1175-1187, DOI: 10.1142/S0218216508006634 © copyright World Scientific Publishing Company, http://www.worldscinet.com/jktr/jktr.shtml

Recommended Citation

Sullivan, Michael C. "Factoring Families of Positive Knots on Lorenz-like Templates." (Oct 2008).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Preprints by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

FACTORING FAMILIES OF POSITIVE KNOTS ON LORENZ-LIKE TEMPLATES

MICHAEL C. SULLIVAN

ABSTRACT. We show that for m and n positive, composite closed orbits realized on the Lorenz-like template L(m, n) have two prime factors, each a torus knot; and that composite closed orbits on L(-1, -1) have either two for three prime factors, two of which are torus knots.

1. INTRODUCTION

The periodic orbits of a flow in a 3-manifold form knots. These knots and how they are linked have been studied with the aid of *templates* or *knots holders*, i.e. 2-dimensional branched manifolds with semi-flows **[BW, GHS]**.

Let m and n be integers. The Lorenz-like templates, denoted L(m, n), are shown in Figure 1. The semi-flow comes down from the branch line forming two bands. The left branch has m half twists, while the right band has n. The sign convention for the twists is that left-handed twists are positive and right-handed ones are negative. The two bands meet tangentially at the branch line. L(0,0) is called the Lorenz template. It arose as a model of the attractor studied by Lorenz [W1].

The semi-flow is uniformly expanding. The orbits which never exit the template constitute the *invariant set* of the semi-flow. The forward orbit of each point on the branch set that is in the invariant set is uniquely determined by an infinite sequence of ones and zeros. Speaking loosely, when an orbit wraps around the left band write a 0, around the right band a 1. A periodic orbit has a periodic symbol sequence. The inverse limit of the invariant set is the suspension of the full 2-shift. However, will shall not need symbolic dynamics except as a means to track orbits in this paper. See [**BW**] or [**GHS**].

As already noted a periodic symbol sequence determines periodic orbit. A periodic orbit however determines several sequences depending on the point on the orbit one starts with. Given a finite *block* or *word* of ones and zeros one can extend it to an infinite periodic sequence.

Date: June 20, 2007.



FIGURE 1. The Lorenz-like Template: the dark ring is the braid axis; the vertical line represents a factoring sphere.

Two nonperiodic blocks determine the same periodic orbit if and only if they are cyclic permutations. For example, $(00101)^{\infty}$ and $(01010)^{\infty}$ determine the same periodic orbit. (On L(0,0) this orbit is seen to be a trefoil knot; see Figure 2.)



FIGURE 2. Lorenz template with a trefoil knot

The trip number of a periodic orbit on a Lorenz-like template is defined as follows. Among the nonperiodic blocks that generate a given periodic orbit choose the one that comes first in the dictionary ordering. Write it in the form $0^{m_1}1^{n_1}\cdots 0^{m_t}1^{n_t}$ where the powers are all positive. Then t is the trip number. Thus, $(00101)^{\infty}$ has trip number two. Geometrically the trip number is the number of "trips" the orbit makes between the two bands. It is easy to see that all trip one Lorenz knots are trivial. The symbol L(m, n) will also be used to represent the set of knots formed by the periodic orbits in the semi-flow of the template. Notice that L(n, m) = L(m, n). It was shown in [S1] that $L(0, n-2) \supseteq L(0, n)$, for all integers n, and that $L(0, -4) \supseteq L(0, -1)$.

In 1983 Bob Williams $[\mathbf{W2}]$ showed that for $n \geq 0$ all nontrivial knots in L(0, n) are prime, but that L(0, -1) contained a composite knot. It was shown in $[\mathbf{S3}]$ that L(0, -2) contains knots with arbitrarily many prime factors, and hence so too for L(0, n) with n < 0 by the set inclusions cited above. Ghrist $[\mathbf{G}]$ next showed that these templates contain all knots and links! In $[\mathbf{S6}]$ it was shown that if a template can be presented so that all the periodic orbits are positive braids, then there is bound of the number of prime factors of its periodic orbits. It is easy to see that L(m, n) for m, n > 0, can be so presented and that they have composite knots. Theorem 1 shows that the bound is two, far lower than given by the formula established in $[\mathbf{S6}]$.

The template L(-1, -1) cannot be presented so that it's periodic orbits are positive braids, but it can be presented so that all its periodic orbits are positive knots [S5]. (The 5-knot is an example of a positive knot which is not a positive braid, although it is not known if the 5-knot lives in L(-1, -1).) In was conjectured in [S5] that knots in L(-1, -1) can have at most two prime factors, however it turns out to be three (Theorem 2).

The major tools in our work are a theorem of Cromwell's [**C**] on the factoring of positive braids and its extension by Ozawa [**O**] to positive knots – Ozawa also greatly simplified Cromwell's proof. A knot diagram is *irreducible* if it has no cut points. Hence, a braid diagram of a nontrivial knot is reducible if and only if there is a generator that appears only once in the braid word. A knot diagram D in a 2-sphere is *decomposable* if there is a simple closed curve C meeting D just twice, transversely, such that neither $D \cap D_1$ or $D \cap D_2$ are arcs, where D_1 and D_2 are the two disks bounding C. If there is no such closed curve, the knot diagram is *indecomposable*. For a braid diagram on s strands to be decomposable, its braid word must be conjugate to one of the form ab, where for some $1 \leq j < s - 1$, a is a word in the generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j$, and b is a word in $\sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s-1}$. Any such value j – there could be several – will be called a *factoring site*.

Theorem (Cromwell–Ozawa). Let D be a positive irreducible knot diagram for K. Then K is prime if and only if D is indecomposable.



FIGURE 3. Use the half twist to create two more trefoils for a total of three prime factors.

2. L(m, n)

Nontrivial torus knots are known to be prime.

Theorem 1. For m and n positive, composite knots on L(m, n) have two prime factors each of which is a torus knot.

Proof. Trip one knots are factored by the sphere indicated in Figure 1. The left factor can be placed as a trip one knot in L(m, 0) and the right factor can be placed as a trip one knot in L(0, n). It was shown in [**S6**, Lemma 3.1] that trip one knots in L(0, 1) are torus knots. The proof is easily modified for L(0, n) with n positive and odd. For n even the proof is immediate: the orbit $(01^p)^{\infty}$ has braid word $\sigma_{p-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \Delta^n$ and can be placed on torus as $T_{p,1+pn/2}$. (Δ represents a half twist of all strands.) This factoring could result in one or both factors being trivial. Thus, trip one knots on L(m, n) are either trivial, torus knots, or the connected sum of just two torus knots.

Let K be a knot in L(m, n) with trip number $t \ge 2$; let K's braid diagram have p strands going down the left band and q strands going down the right band. If m, n > 1, then there are more than one of each of the generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{p-1}$ in the twists of the left band, and more than one of each of the generators $\sigma_{p+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{p+q-1}$ in the twists of the right band. And, there are $t \geq 2 \sigma_p$'s in K's braid word. Thus, the diagram is irreducible.

Suppose the braid diagram of K is decomposable with j a factoring site. Let

$$g = (\sigma_p \sigma_{p+1} \cdots \sigma_{p+t-1}) (\sigma_{p-1} \cdots \sigma_{p+t-2}) \cdots (\sigma_{p-t} \cdots \sigma_p).$$

The braid word for K can be written as $ga\Delta_R^m b\Delta_L^n$ where a is a word in the first p-1 generators, Δ_R is a half twist of the first p-1 generators, b is a word in the last q-1 generators, and Δ_L is a half twist on the last q-1 generators. See Figure 4.



FIGURE 4. Braid word

There are t occurrences of $\sigma_p \sigma_{p+1}$ in g. Thus, $j \neq p$.

Suppose, $j \leq p$. The completion of the braid word $a\Delta_R^m$ may produce a link. But, we can add (concatenate) a word g' to the beginning that permutes the last t strands in the same manner that the corresponding strands of the original knot were permuted by their transit around the left band. Thus, $g'a\Delta_R^m$ gives a knot. By [S4] positive braids with a half twist are prime (this can also be deduced directly from Cromwell's Theorem). But, this is a contradiction.

Similarly, one can show j is not greater than p. Hence, for m, n > 1 the proof is complete. When m or n is one, we have not shown the braid is irreducible.

Suppose m = 1. Then there may be only one occurrence of σ_1 in the braid word. If this is the case, one can apply an R_1 Reidemeister move and eliminate this crossing. Even if n = 1 it is still the case that there is more than one occurrence of σ_{p+1} in the braid word, since σ_{p+1} occurs in g. Thus we have an irreducible braid. The analysis above can be redone with slight modifications to complete the proof. \Box

3.
$$L(-1, -1)$$

Observation. If a braid diagram is irreducible and indecomposable, then it remains so if additional crossings are added, fixing the number of strands. Thus, if a prime knot is presented by a positive irreducible indecomposable braid diagram and some extra positive crossings are added so that the new diagram still represents a knot, this new knot is prime.

Theorem 2. Composite knots on L(-1, -1) have either two or three prime factors. If there are three prime factors, they are all torus knots. If the are two prime factors at least one is a torus knot.

Proof. In [S5] the template L(-1, -1) was shown to be equivalent to the template in Figure 5, which we shall dub HH. By equivalent we mean that a link is in HH if and only if it is in L(-1, -1). The equivalence is shown a performing a type of surgery that does not effect invariant orbits followed by an ambient isotopy. The knots in HH are presented as having only positive crossings, but many are not braided. We work with HH from now on. The reader should notice that HH is a double branched covering of L(0,1) – often called the *horseshoe template* as it tracks the orbits of a suspension of Smale's famous *horseshoe map*.

We establish some notation. Let K be a given knot in HH. The two dashed rectangles in Figure 5 represent spheres. (We will eventually show that any composite knot on HH is factored by one or both of these spheres.) Let t_1 denote the number of times K enters the top sphere, and let t_2 denote the number of times K enters the bottom sphere. (We will eventually show that if t_1 and t_2 are greater than one, then K is prime.) Suppose $t_1 = t_2 = 1$. It is easy to see that the two spheres of Figure 5 factor K. Two of the factors, the ones inside the spheres, are trip one L(0,1) knots and hence are torus knots, which could be trivial. The external factor, if it is not trivial, has braid word $(\sigma_{p-1}\cdots\sigma_{p-i})(\sigma_{p-1}\cdots\sigma_{p-j})$, for some p, i and j. But, either i or jmust be p-1; without loss of generality assume i = p - 1. We can apply R_1 moves until we have a braid word of the form $(\sigma_j \cdots \sigma_1)^2$; here we have reindexed the braid group generators. This diagram is irreducible and indecomposable, hence the external factor is prime. It is also easy to see that it is the torus knot $T_{i,2}$.



FIGURE 5. The template HH

Now suppose $t_1 = 1$ but $t_2 > 1$. The upper sphere still factors. The external factor can be braided. It's braid word is a concatenation of an L(0, 1) knot with $\sigma_{p-1} \cdots \sigma_{p-j}$. By the Observation it is prime if nontrivial. The case $t_2 = 1$ and $t_1 > 1$ is similar.

Before we consider the case $t_1, t_2 > 1$ we make some general remarks. Given an irreducible positive diagram how do we check that it is indecomposable? Think of the diagram as a graph with the double points as vertices and the arcs connecting them as oriented edges. If there is a curve C that factors the diagram (in the sense of Cromwell-Ozawa Theorem) then it meets the diagram transversely in two non-double points. Thus there are two regions in the compliment of the diagram that that border along two distinct edges. One can just check each region to see if any adjoining regions satisfy this requirement. If not, the knot in question is prime. In the standard rendering of the trefoil knot there are just five regions to check. None shares more than one edge with another. Thus the trefoil is prime. Figure 6 shows a knot on HH, or rather its diagram; we have not shown the crossing types since they are all positive. Applying one R_1 move makes it irreducible. The reader can then check each of the 23 regions and show the knot is prime.



FIGURE 6. A knot in HH

Let $K \in HH$ with $t_1, t_2 > 1$. Let D(K) be the diagram given by projecting K onto the plane with HH as in the left hand version of HH shown in Figure 5.

As we have seen it may be the case that K is reducible. We claim: any cut point in D(K) occurs when one of the outer most arcs returning to the annulus from a half twist crosses over a strand on the annulus; only one such arc creates cut points; and they can be removed by a sequence of R_1 moves. We assume this for now and prove it later as

8

Lemma 5. This proof, although not hard, uses terminology developed below and is logically independent.

Lemma 3. Regions with 2, 3, or 4 edges cannot be factoring regions.

Proof. Suppose C meets a 2-gon. It must cross each edge. (Otherwise there would be a trivial factor.) But then both the vertices would have to have been points for the original diagram.

Suppose C meets a triangular region. It must cross two of the edges. But then the common vertex of these two edges would have to have been a cut point for the original diagram.

We claim any rectangular region in D(K) will have opposite edges with parallel orientations. A half-twist on n strands has $\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} i$ 4sided regions each in the described form. Any other 4-sided regions are formed from two arcs of K from the non-twisted bands on top, with parallel orientations, and two arcs of K coming around from a half-twist, with parallel orientations. Since all four crossings need to be positive, rectangular regions can only have the specified form. If C meets such a region it must meet opposite edges by irreducibility – otherwise we would get a cut point. But then K pierces the would-be factoring sphere twice from the same side, a contradiction. \Box

We now define four regions, $\mathcal{R}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_4$ in D(K) for special consideration. Let \mathcal{R}_1 be the unbounded region (using the left hand version of HH in Figure 5). Let \mathcal{R}_3 be the region that contains the upper relative braid axis and let \mathcal{R}_4 be the region that contains the lower relative braid axis. Let \mathcal{R}_2 be the region that contains the center of the outer boundary circle of HH. Figure 6 gives an example.

Lemma 4. The regions $\mathcal{R}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_4$ are not factoring regions.

Proof. Whether or not R_1 moves are needed to make D(K) irreducible, \mathcal{R}_1 has two vertices in its boundary. So, it only borders two regions, each along a single edge. Thus, it does not border any region twice.

One can check that \mathcal{R}_2 , \mathcal{R}_3 and \mathcal{R}_4 do not border any region twice.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 2 we divide the diagram up into zones.

Type-0: We define a zone that joins the bottom of a half twist and then loops around. There will be two possible subtypes depending on the diagram for K.

Subtype-0a: If the right most strand coming out of the half twist meets the right most of the t_i strands coming into the half twist from the annulus, a Subtype-0a zone is formed. If q_i is the number of strands

in the half twist there will be $q_i - 2$ 4-sided regions and one 3-sided region. The knot in Figure 6 has two such zones.

Subtype-0b: See Figure 7. The other possibility is that the right most strand coming out of the half twist returns first to the half twist. Now we have $q_i - 3$ 4-sided regions, one 5-sided region and one 2-sided region. The 5-sided shares two edges with regions in the half twist; we will see below that no region in the half twist has more than four sides. The 5-sided region shares two edge with 4-sided regions within its zone. Thus, the 5-sided region cannot border another five or higher sided region twice and hence cannot be a factoring region.



FIGURE 7. A zone of subtype-0b

Type-I: Two type-I zones are formed when each of the t_i (i = 1, 2) strands leaving the annulus and the respective t_i strands returning cross. These zones have only 4-sided regions.

Type-II: Type-II zones are the roughly triangular zones formed by the half twists. More precisely, they are bounded by the two arcs that cross all the way across the half twists and the disks \mathcal{R}_3 or \mathcal{R}_4 . Let pbe the number of strands going through a half twist. Then the zone of type-II has p-2 3-sided regions and $\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} i$ 4-sided regions and no others.

Type-III & and its variants: We pause to make some general geometric observations. Let ABCD be a rectangle with A as its upper left corner, B as its upper right corner, etc. Select a point E in the interior of the BC. Place a fifth line segment starting from E and going down as we go from right to left. Assume this segment misses D. If it meets the interior of DA the rectangle we be partitions into two 4-sided regions. If the new line segment meets the interior of CD the rectangle is partitioned into a 5-gon and a triangle. See Figure 8. Now suppose we have selected n points in the interior of BC: E_1, \ldots, E_n . Draw a line segment from each going down as we go left. Assume no segment meets D and all are disjoint. Then there is at most one 5-sided region and it must border CD. The proof is by induction on n.



FIGURE 8. At most five sided regions can be formed.

Now suppose the rectangle ABCD is also partitioned by m vertical segments from AB to CD. By induction on m one can show that each of the m-1 vertical strips has at most one 5-sided region, that these do not border each other along an edge and that only the left most 5-gon borders the segment AB. All 5-gons border CD. This structure will be call a zone of type-III. If a corner vertex is rounded off, we get a zone of type-III'. The same observations apply to zones of type-III'.

We return to the analysis of the knot K.

Just above each half twist and below the zones of type-II are some additional regions. See Figure 9. They can be deformed to rectangular figures with $t_i - 1$ vertical strips with some line segments crossing downward from the right. We get a zone of type-III or type-III' depending of whether the inner most strand coming out of the half twist crosses any of the t_i strands coming from the annulus or it goes directly back into the half twist, respectively. Above, below and to the right we have shown there are no regions with more than 4 sides, and we have already shown \mathcal{R}_2 is not a factoring region. Thus, there are no factoring regions in these two zones.

There are two more zones to consider. They are on the annulus. Their precise definition depends on certain features of the knot K.



FIGURE 9. Intermediate zone

We divide this description into three cases. (Case B below has two subcases.)

Case A: Both the outer most arcs coming from the Möbius bands to the annulus cross all strands. Then the zones between these arcs are as depicted in Figure 10. The rectangle ABCD is a type-III zone. It is easy to show that the 5-gon BFGHC is partitioned into some number of 4-gons and a single 5-gon that cannot border another 5-gon along an edge. The triangle ADE has no 5-gons.



FIGURE 10. A zone with a type-III' zone inside

Case B: One of the outer most strands coming from the Möbius bands must cross all the strands on the annulus or else the diagram will not be connected. In the case that only one does we have an additional type of zone to consider; we dub it the *annular zone*. The analysis is essentially the same as for the type-III zones, but some extra

fiddling is required. We shall first study the knot K as it appears on the template HH. At the end we show that the effect on our analysis of any R_1 moves needed to make the diagram irreducible is benign.

Without loss of generality assume the outer most strand of the lower Möbius band crosses all the strands on the annulus and that the outer most strand on the upper Möbius band does not. This strand will come down and cross the lower branch line (really we work with the projections of the branch lines). Either it will wind around on the annulus or go immediately to the lower Möbius band. This gives two subcases for the form of the annular region, but the analyzes are nearly identical.

Figure 11 shows an annular zone. The outer circle is former from the arcs from B to C and from C to B. Let n strands cross over from the lower Möbius band and then n+1 exit the just above the upper branch line. In Figure 12 we cut open the annulus along the arc AB creating a rectangle ABCA' with a distinguished point B' between C and A'. The resulting figure allows us to see that the conclusions arrived at for the type-III zones hold, except that there may be a single 6-gon, because of B', and we may have two strands coming out of A. Let q be 5 or 6. It is still the case that none of the q-gons border each other on an edge. If a q-gon in an annular zone should border and q-gon in another zone, it does so along at most one edge: but a factoring region must border another factoring region along at least two edges. The effect of applying R_1 moves is only to reduce the number of regions.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 5. Any cut point in D(K) occurs when one of the outer most arcs returning to the annulus from a half twist crosses over a strand on the annulus; only one such arc creates cut points; and they can be removed by a sequence of R_1 moves.

Proof. A cut point can only occur at a point where a region touches itself. Because $t_1, t_2 > 1$ we see that \mathcal{R}_2 is not such a region. It is clear that regions \mathcal{R}_1 , \mathcal{R}_3 and \mathcal{R}_4 are not such regions. There are not any self-connecting regions in zones of type-0, 1, or 2. We now consider crossing that occur over strands that stay in the annulus. Index the gaps between strands as is done with braids. If both outer most arcs returning to the annulus from the half twist cross over index *i* no self-connecting regions will be formed. If one of these arcs goes out farther than the over, we will get cuts, but only of the type described in the lemma.



FIGURE 12. An annular zone cut open

References

- [BW] J. Birman & R. F. Williams. Knotted Periodic Orbits in Dynamical Systems I: Lorenz Knots. *Topology* 22 (1983), 47-82.
- [C] P. Cromwell. Positive braids are visually prime. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 67 (1993) 384–424.
- [G] R. Ghrist. Branched two-manifolds supporting all links. Topology 36 (1997), no. 2, 423–448.
- [GHS] R. Ghrist, P. Holmes & M. Sullivan. Knots and links in Three-Dimensional Flows, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1654, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [O] M. Ozawa. Closed incompressible surfaces in the complements of positive knots. Comment. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), no. 2, 235–243.
- [R] C. Robinson. Homoclinic bifurcation to a transitive attractor of Lorenz type. Nonlinearity, 2:495–518, 1989.
- [S1] M. Sullivan. Prime decomposition of knots in Lorenz-like templates, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 2 (1993) 453–462.
- [S3] M. Sullivan. The prime decomposition of knotted periodic orbits in dynamical systems, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 3 (1994) 83–120.
- [S4] M. Sullivan. Positive braids with a half twist are prime, Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications, Vol. 6, No. 3, (1997) 405–415.
- [S5] M. Sullivan. Positive knots and Robinson's attractor, The Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications, Vol. 7, No. 1, (1998) 115–121.
- [S6] M. Sullivan. Knots on a Positive Template have a Bounded Number of Prime Factors. Algebraic and Geometry Topology, 5 (2005), paper no. 24, 563–576.
- [W1] R. F. Williams. The Structure of Lorenz Attractors. Turbulence Seminar, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 615, ed. by A Dold & B. Eckmann, Springer-Verlag, (1976) 94–112.
- [W2] R. F. Williams. Lorenz knots are prime. Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 4 (1983) 147–163.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS (4408), SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CARBONDALE, IL 62901, USA, msulliva@math.siu.edu, http://www.math.siu.edu/sullivan