## **Southern Illinois University Carbondale [OpenSIUC](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F39&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[Publications](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/phys_pubs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F39&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Department of Physics](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/phys?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F39&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

### 5-2002

# The Relationship Between Magnetic Interactions and Near Neighbor Interatomic Distances in the Transition Metal Sublattice of R(Mn/Fe)6A6 (R=Nd or Sm, A=Ge or Sn)

G. K. Marasinghe *University of North Dakota*

J. Han *University of Missouri - Rolla*

W. J. James *University of Missouri - Rolla*

W. B. Yelon *University of Missouri - Rolla*

N. Ali *Southern Illinois University Carbondale*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/phys\\_pubs](http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/phys_pubs?utm_source=opensiuc.lib.siu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F39&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) © 2002 American Institute of Physics Published in *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 91 No. 10 (2002) at [doi: 10.1063/1.1451305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1451305)

### Recommended Citation

Marasinghe, G. K., Han, J., James, W. J., Yelon, W. B. and Ali, N.. "The Relationship Between Magnetic Interactions and Near Neighbor Interatomic Distances in the Transition Metal Sublattice of R(Mn/Fe)6A6 (R=Nd or Sm, A=Ge or Sn)." (May 2002).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Physics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact [opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.](mailto:opensiuc@lib.siu.edu)

### **The relationship between magnetic interactions and near neighbor interatomic distances in the transition metal sublattice of**  $R(Mn/Fe)_{6}A_{6}$  $(R = Nd$  or Sm,  $A = Ge$  or Sn)

G. K. Marasinghe<sup>a)</sup>

*Department of Physics, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202*

J. Han, W. J. James, and W. B. Yelon

*Graduate Center for Materials Research, University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla, Missouri 65409*

N. Ali

*Department of Physics, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Carbondale, Illinois 62901*

The magnetic and crystallographic structures of  $R(Fe/Mn)_{6}A_{6}$  (R=Nd or Sm and A=Ge or Sn) intermetallics have been investigated using x-ray and neutron diffraction techniques and superconducting quantum interference device magnetic measurements. For both stannides  $(A=Sn)$ and germanides  $(A = Ge)$ , the lattice contracts with increasing iron content. In the case of the stannides, substitution of manganese by iron enhances the saturation magnetization and Curie temperature at low iron concentrations  $(x \le 2)$  suggesting the presence of an extremely rare occurrence, positive coupling between iron and manganese magnetic moments. In contrast, the magnetic properties of the germanides deteriorate rapidly as manganese is replaced by iron. This difference in the dependence of magnetic properties on the iron content between the germanides and stannides is explained using the Bethe–Slater relationship between near neighbor exchange interactions and interatomic distances. Based on the observations described in this article, it is concluded that the critical near neighbor interatomic distance above which manganese/iron moments couple positively in these intermetallics is  $\sim$ 2.614 Å.  $\odot$  2002 American Institute of *Physics.* [DOI: 10.1063/1.1451305]

### **INTRODUCTION**

Even though manganese atoms have the potential to possess the largest magnetic moment<sup>1</sup> among 3*d* metals, the majority of manganese containing intermetallics cannot be considered for development as permanent magnets because they possess antiferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic magnetic structures. $2,3$  On the other hand, even though some of the most widely used permanent magnetic materials are iron containing intermetallics, partial substitution of the iron sublattice of these intermetallics by manganese, even at very low concentrations,<sup>4</sup> results in marked deterioration of their magnetic properties. Investigating how the partial substitution of the manganese sublattice of manganese containing ferromagnetic materials by iron affects their magnetic and crystallographic properties can lead to a better understanding of the magnetic interactions of these intermetallics.

Depending on whether the exchange integral<sup>5</sup> ( $J_{ex}$ ) for a pair of near neighbor magnetic atoms is positive or negative, the mutual alignment of the two magnetic moments will be either parallel or antiparallel, respectively. As depicted by the Bethe-Slater curve,<sup>5</sup>  $J_{ex}$  for a given pair of near neighbor atoms changes from negative to positive as the distance between the two atoms is increased. Consequently, if by some means, the distance between a pair of magnetic atoms having a negative  $J_{ex}$  is increased such that  $J_{ex}$  becomes positive, the mutual alignment of the magnetic moments should cross over from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic and vice versa.

The interatomic distance dependence of  $J_{ex}$  is often used to explain why neither manganese nor the majority of maganese containing intermetallics display ferromagnetism. The near neighbor Mn–Mn distances in metallic manganese and the majority of manganese containing intermetallics are in that region for which  $J_{ex}$  is negative. On the other hand, the near neighbor Mn–Mn distances in the few manganese containing ferromagnetic intermetallics such as the Heusler alloys<sup>5</sup> and MnBi are in that range for which  $J_{ex}$  is positive. Investigating the crystallographic and magnetic properties of other manganese containing ferromagnetic intermetallics may lead to a better understanding of magnetic interactions of this rare family of materials. Herein, we present an investigation of the relationship between the near neighbor transition metal–transition metal distances  $(d_{TT})$  and the magnetic coupling within the transition metal sublattice of several  $RMn_{6-x}Fe<sub>x</sub>A<sub>6</sub>$  intermetallics, where R is Nd or Sm and A is Ge or Sn. NdMn<sub>6</sub>A<sub>6</sub> and SmMn<sub>6</sub>A<sub>6</sub> belong to that rare category of intermetallics in which the magnetic moments of near neighbor manganese atoms are ferromagnetically coupled. RMn<sub>6</sub> $A_6$  and RMn<sub>6-*x*</sub>Fe<sub>*x*</sub>A<sub>6</sub> intermetallics crystallize in one of four highly correlated crystal structures,  $HfFe_6Ge_6$ ,  $^6$   $YCo_6Ge_6$ ,  $^7$   $HoFe_6Sn_6$ ,  $^8$  or  $TbFe_6Sn_6$ <sup>8</sup> type belonging to the space groups *P*6/*mmm*, *P*6/*mmm*, *Immm*, and *Cmcm*, respectively.

### **EXPERIMENTAL AND CALCULATION METHODS**

 $N dMn_{6-x}Fe_{x}A_{6}$  and  $SmMn_{6-x}Fe_{x}A_{6}$  were prepared from elements of purity 99.99% or better by induction melting in a cold copper crucible followed by annealing at 1043

a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: k.marasinghe@und.edu

TABLE I. Structure types of the  $RMn_6Ge_6$  intermetallic compounds.

Sample	Structure type	
$NdMn_6Sn_6$	$HoFe_6Sn_6$	
$NdMn_{5.5}Fe_{0.5}Sn_6$	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$NdMn_5FeSn_6$	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$NdMn_{4.5}Fe_{1.5}Sn_6$	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$NdMn_4Fe_2Sn_6$	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$SmMn_6Sn_6$	$HoFe_6Sn_6$	
$SmMn_{5.5}Fe_{0.5}Sn_6$	TbFe <sub>6</sub> Sn <sub>6</sub>	
SmMn <sub>5</sub> FeSn <sub>6</sub>	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$SmMn_{4.5}Fe_{1.5}Sn_6$	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$SmMn_4Fe_2Sn_6$	$TbFe_6Sn_6$	
$NdMn_6Ge_6$	YCo <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
NdMn <sub>5</sub> FeGe <sub>6</sub>	YCo <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
$SmMn_6Ge_6$	YCo <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
$SmMn_{5.5}Fe_{0.5}Ge_6$	YCo <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
SmMn <sub>5</sub> FeGe <sub>6</sub>	YCo <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
$SmMn_{4.5}Fe_{1.5}Ge_6$	YCo <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
$DyMn_6Ge_6^a$	HfFe <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
$HoMn_6Ge_6^b$	HfFe <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	
$ErMn_6Ge_6^c$	HfFe <sub>6</sub> Ge <sub>6</sub>	

a See Ref. 16.

K for 2 weeks. The phase purity of the samples was checked by x-ray diffraction utilizing Cu  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. The bulk magnetic properties were measured on a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. Powder neutron diffraction data were measured on samples placed in thin-walled vanadium containers with neutrons of wavelength 1.4875 Å.

For  $SmMn_{6-x}Fe_{x}A_{6}$  samples, the lattice parameters were obtained by Rietveld<sup>9</sup> analysis of x-ray diffraction data. The lattice parameters and atomic positions for  $N dMn_{6-x}Fe_{x}A_{6}$  were obtained from either FULLPROF<sup>10</sup> or Rietveld refinement of neutron diffraction data. The interatomic distances, coordination environments, and the dimensions of Wigner–Seitz cells were calculated using the BLOKJE<sup>11</sup> code. This article reports on the average  $d_{\text{TT}}$  values obtained from BLOKJE calculations. Details of these calculations will be published elsewhere.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table I gives the structure types of the samples investigated. Attempts to substitute more than two manganese atoms per formula unit by iron atoms resulted in samples which were dominated by impurity phases. Note that previously published data for  $DyMn_6Ge_6$ , <sup>12</sup> HoMn<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>, <sup>13</sup> and  $ErMn_6Ge_6$ , <sup>14</sup> will be used for comparison. The unit cell volumes of stannides and germanides decrease almost linearly at rates of 1.7% and 2.3%, respectively, per substituted atom with increasing iron content. This contraction may be attributed to the relatively smaller size of iron as compared to manganese.<sup>4</sup>

Magnetization versus temperature  $(M-T)$  and magnetization versus applied field  $(M - H)$  data clearly show the difference in the way magnetic properties of stannides and germanides respond to partial substitution of the manganese

FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the magnetization for  $SmMn_{6-x}Fe_xGe_6$ and  $SmMn_{6-x}Fe<sub>x</sub>Sn<sub>6</sub>$  measured at an applied field of 0.2 T.

sublattice by iron. Within the compositions investigated herein, the addition of iron to the stannides does not deteriorate their magnetic properties. In fact, partial replacement of the manganese sublattice of Nd/SmMn<sub>6- $x$ </sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>Sn<sub>6</sub> by iron results in increases in the Curie temperature and saturation magnetization (see Figs. 1 and 2).<sup>15,16</sup> These observations indicate that the addition of iron strengthens the magnetic exchange interactions within the lattice. Hence, one can infer that iron and manganese magnetic moments interact ferromagnetically in the stannides.

The affects of substituting iron for manganese in germanides are markedly different from those for the stannides. As seen in Figs. 1 and 2, the magnetic properties of  $SmMn_{6-x}Fe<sub>x</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>$  deteriorate rapidly when the iron content exceeds  $x=0.5$ <sup>15</sup> In the case of NdMn<sub>6-*x*</sub>Fe<sub>*x*</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>, the same level of deterioration in magnetic properties appears to start at a slightly higher iron content, near  $x=1$ .<sup>16</sup> Consequently, substituting iron for manganese in  $Nd/SmMn_{6-x}Fe_{x}Ge_{6}$ seems to break down the ferromagnetic coupling within the transition sublattice. To that effect,  $SmMn_{6-x}Fe<sub>x</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>$ appears to be more sensitive to iron substitution than  $NdMn_{6-x}Fe_xGe_6$ . As suggested below, the average  $d_{TT}$  values of these intermetallics may play a considerable role in determining their above described magnetic properties.

Table II gives the near neighbor weighted average  $d_{TT}$ values, calculated using the BLOKJE code. Table II also gives the general magnetic state of the transition metal sublattice of the samples. The magnetic states noted in Table II have been assigned based on the  $M - T$  and  $M - H$  data mentioned above and the magnetic structures determined from neutron diffraction measurements.<sup>15,16</sup>



b See Ref. 17.

c See Ref. 18.



FIG. 2. *M* vs *H* plots measured at 300 K.

Note that data in Table II are arranged such that the  $d_{TT}$ values decreases from top to bottom. This ordering of data allows one to clearly see the transition from positive coupling to negative coupling when the average near neighbor

TABLE II. Weighted averaged near neighbor  $T - T$  distances  $(d_{TT})$  and the general magnetic state of the transition metal sublattice. A magnetic state denoted by  $F$  suggests positive (ferromagnetic) coupling withing the Mn/Fe sublattice. AFR suggests negative coupling (antiferro- or ferri-) withing the Mn/Fe sublattice.

Sample	$d_{TT}$ (Å)	Magnetic state
$NdMn_6Sn_6$	2.7813	F
$SmMn_6Sn_6$	2.7777	F
$NdMn_5$ <sub>5</sub> $Fe_0$ <sub>5</sub> $Sn_6$	2.7772	F
$NdMn_5FeSn_6$	2.7685	F
$SmMn_5$ $_5Fe_0$ $_5Sn_6$	2.7669	F
$NdMn_4$ <sub>5</sub> $Fe_1$ <sub>5</sub> $Sn_6$	2.7617	F
$SmMn_5FeSn_6$	2.7561	F
$NdMn_4Fe_2Sn_6$	2.7556	F
$SmMn_4$ $5Fe_1$ $5Sn_6$	2.7521	F
$SmMn_4Fe_2Sn_6$	2.7465	F
$SmMn_6Ge_6$	2.6375	F
$NdMn_6Ge_6$	2.6300	F
$SmMn_5$ <sub>5</sub> $Fe_0$ <sub>5</sub> $Ge_6$	2.6215	F
$NdMn_5FeGe_6$	2.6145	F
$DyMn_6Ge_6^a$	2.6111	AFR
$H_0Mn_6Ge_6b$	2.6103	AFR
$ErMn_6Ge_6c$	2.6102	AFR
SmMn <sub>5</sub> FeGe <sub>6</sub>	2.6085	<b>AFR</b>
$NdMn_4$ $5Fe_1$ $5Ge_6$	2.6077	<b>AFR</b>
$SmMn_{4.5}Fe_{1.5}Ge_6$	2.5935	AFR

a See Ref. 16.

c See Ref. 18.

transition metal–transition metal distance,  $d_{\text{TT}}$ , decreases below a certain value. In the case of the  $RMn_{6-x}Fe_{x}A_{6}$  intermetallics investigated herein, that critical value for  $d_{TT}$  appears to be about 2.614 Å.

Even though the transition metal sublattice of these intermetallics contains both manganese and iron, the low iron concentration allows for the manganese moments to dominate the magnetic exchange interactions. Furthermore, as shown in Table I, the samples investigated belong to four different, yet related, crystal structures. Consequently, it is prudent to conclude that the average critical distance at which the exchange integral  $J_{ex}$  for near neighbor manganese atom pairs changes sign in most RMn<sub>6</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>A<sub>6</sub> based intermetallics is  $\sim$ 2.614 Å. However, because iron substitution cannot be used to decrease  $d_{\text{TT}}$  of stannides below the critical distance, it remains to be seen if the manganese sublattice of the stannides indeed will become antiferro/ferrimagnetic if  $d_{\text{TT}}$  is reduced below that value by some other means such as external pressure. In any case, whenever the structure and the composition of these intermetallics permit larger near neighbor transition metal–transition metal distances, the possibility exists for their magnetic properties to be appreciably improved by the partial substitution of the manganese sublattice by iron.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The corresponding author acknowledges the financial support of the North Dakota EPSCoR program. The financial support of the National Science Foundation for Grant No. DMR-9614596 (University of Missouri-Rolla) and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency for Grant No. DAAG 55-98-1-0267 (University of Missouri-Rolla) is also acknowledged. The SQUID measurements were partially funded by the Materials Technology Center, SIUC.

- <sup>1</sup> B. D. Cullity, *Introduction to Magnetic Materials* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972), p. 143.
- <sup>2</sup> J. H. V. J. Brabers, V. H. M. Duijn, F. R. de Boer, and K. H. J. Buschow, J. Alloys Compd. **198**, 127 (1993).
- 3G. Venturini, R. Welter, and B. Malaman, J. Alloys Compd. **185**, 99  $(1992)$ .
- 4O. A. Pringle, G. K. Marasinghe, G. J. Long, W. J. James, W. B. Yelon, and F. Grandjean, J. Appl. Phys. 64, 5580 (1988).
- <sup>5</sup> B. D. Cullity, *Introduction to Magnetic Materials* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972), p. 134.
- 6B. Chafik El Idrissi, G. Venturini, and B. Malaman, Mater. Res. Bull. **26**, 1331 (1991).

 ${}^{7}R$ . R. Olenitch, L. G. Akselrud, and YA. P. Yarmoliuk, Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. RSR, Ser. A: Fiz.-Tekh. Mat. Nauki 2, 84 (1981).

 $8V$ . W. Buchholz and H-U. Schuster, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **482**, 40 (1981). <sup>9</sup> R. A. Young, *The Rietveld Method* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1995)

 $10$  J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, computer code FULLPROF, Version 3.0.0 (Laboratorie Leon Brillouin, France, 1995).

- <sup>11</sup>L. Gelato, J. Cryst. **14**, 151 (1981).
- 12G. Venturini, B. Chafik El Idrissi, E. Ressouche, and B. Malaman, J. Alloys Compd. 216, 243 (1994).
- <sup>13</sup>P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, F. B. Altorfer, J. H. V. J. Brabers, F. R. de Boer, and K. H. J. Buschow, J. Alloys Compd. **203**, 243 (1994).
- 14P. Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. H. V. J. Brabers, and K. H. J. Buschow, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 139, 119 (1995).
- <sup>15</sup> J. Han, G. K. Marasinghe, W. J. James, M. Chen, W. B. Yelon, P. l'Heritier, and I. Dubenko, IEEE Trans. Magn. 36, 3324 (2000).
- <sup>16</sup> J. Han, G. K. Marasinghe, W. J. James, M. Chen, W. B. Yelon, I. Dubenko, and N. Ali, J. Appl. Phys. 87, 5281 (2000).

b See Ref. 17.