Southern Illinois University Carbondale **OpenSIUC**

Articles and Preprints

Department of Mathematics

2-2002

Orthogonal Arrays of Strength Three from Regular 3-Wise Balanced Designs

Charles J. Colbourn *University of Vermont*

D. L. Kreher Michigan Technological University

John P. McSorley
Southern Illinois University Carbondale, mcsorley60@hotmail.com

D. R. Stinson *University of Waterloo*

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/math articles

Part of the <u>Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons</u>, and the <u>Statistics and</u> Probability Commons

Published in *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 100(2), 191-195.

Recommended Citation

Colbourn, Charles J., Kreher, D. L., McSorley, John P. and Stinson, D. R. "Orthogonal Arrays of Strength Three from Regular 3-Wise Balanced Designs." (Feb 2002).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Preprints by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

Orthogonal arrays of strength three from regular 3-wise balanced designs

Charles J. Colbourn
Computer Science
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05405

D. L. Kreher
Mathematical Sciences
Michigan Technological University
Houghton, Michigan 49931-1295

J. P. McSorley
Dept. of Mathematics
Southern Illinois University
Carbondale, Illinois

D. R. Stinson
Combinatorics and Optimization
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
CANADA N2L 3G1

Abstract

The construction given in [4] is extended to obtain new infinite families of orthogonal arrays of strength 3. Regular 3-wise balanced designs play a central role in this construction.

1 Introduction

An orthogonal array of size N, with k constraints (or of degree k), s levels (or of order s), and strength t, denoted OA(N,k,s,t), is a $k \times N$ array with entries from a set of $s \geq 2$ symbols, having the property that in every $t \times N$ submatrix, every $t \times 1$ column vector appears the same number $\lambda = \frac{N}{s^t}$ times. The parameter λ is the index of the orthogonal array. An OA(N,k,s,t) is also denoted by $OA_{\lambda}(t,k,s)$; in this notation, if t is omitted it is understood to be 2, and if λ is omitted it is understood to be 1. A parallel class in an $OA_{\lambda}(t,k,s)$ is a set of s columns so that each row contains all s symbols within these s columns. A resolution of the orthogonal array is a partition of its columns into parallel classes, and an OA with such a resolution is termed resolvable. An $OA_{\lambda}(t,k,n)$ is class-regular or regular if some group Γ of order n acts regularly on the symbols of the array. A class-regular $OA_{\lambda}(t,k,n)$ is resolvable. See [1] for a brief survey on orthogonal arrays of strength at least 3.

In [4] a construction for orthogonal arrays of strength 3 is given that starts from resolvable 3- (v, k, λ) designs and uses 3-transitive groups. The conditions on the resolvable 3-design ingredient can be relaxed and a more general theorem can be stated using a resolvable set system (X, \mathcal{B}) such that:

- 1. the number of blocks containing three points $x, y, z \in X$, $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, is a constant λ_3 that does not depend on the choice of x, y, z;
- 2. the number of blocks containing two points $x, y \in X$ but disjoint from a third point $z \in X$, $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, is a constant b_2^1 that does not depend on the choice of x, y, z.

We allow (X, \mathcal{B}) to contain blocks of any size, including 1, 2, 3 and |X|. If $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, then the number of blocks containing x and y is

 $\lambda_2 = b_2^1 + \lambda_3$ independent of the choice of x and y. These set systems need not be balanced for points. For example, the set system

$$\left\{ \{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2,\infty\}, \{1,3,\infty\}, \{1,4,\infty\}, \{2,3,\infty\}, \{2,4,\infty\}, \{3,4,\infty\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\} \right\} \right\}$$

has $\lambda_3 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = 3$, points 1, 2, 3, 4 each occur in 7 blocks, but ∞ in 6 blocks. If resolvability is required, then every point must occur in the same number

 $\lambda_1 = r$ of blocks. Kageyama [3] called a t-wise balanced design that is also i-balanced for each i < t a regular t-wise balanced design.

Theorem 1.1 (Kageyama [3]) Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a regular 3-wise balanced design with at most two distinct block sizes, k_1 , k_2 . Then the subdesigns

$$\mathcal{B}_{k_i} = \{ B \in \mathcal{B} : |B| = k_i \}$$

are each 2-designs, i = 1, 2.

If $\lambda_3 \neq 0$, and the block size is constant, then such a design is a 3-design. But these conditions are not necessary. For example, the edges of the complete graph K_v when v is even have $\lambda_3 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 1$, and $\lambda_1 = v - 1$. Furthermore K_v has a 1-factorization and so this set system is resolvable.

A 3- $(v, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ design of width w is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) where X is a v-element set of points and \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsets of X called blocks satisfying:

- 1. the size of every block is in \mathcal{K} ;
- 2. $\Lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3]$ and every *i*-element subset is in λ_i blocks, i = 1, 2, 3 and
- 3. the blocks can be partitioned into λ_1 resolution classes using no more than w blocks in any one class.

The revised theorem is then:

Theorem 1.2 Let G act 3-transitively on the (n+1)-element set Ω and let $m(n^3-n)$ be the order of G. If a 3- $(v, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ design of width w exists such that $n=(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)/(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)-2$ with $w \leq n+1$ and $\lambda_3(n+1) \leq \lambda_2$, then a resolvable $OA_{m(n-1)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)}(3,v,n+1)$ also exists.

Proof: This is exactly the same as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [4]. Resolvability of the OA follows from the transitivity of the action of G (this was not pointed out in [4]).

2 Applications of the Construction

As in [4] we apply Theorem 1.2 with sharply 3-transitive groups, so that n = q is a power of a prime, and m = 1.

Lemma 2.1 Let q be an odd prime power. Then there exists an $OA_{q-1}(3, q+3, q+1)$.

Proof: Set v = q + 3. Then a 1-factorization of K_v is a 3- $(v, \{2\}, [v - 1, 1, 0])$ design of width w = v/2 = (q + 3)/2. Then $w - 1 \le q$ and $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)/(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) - 2 = (v - 1 - 0)/(1 - 0) - 2 = v - 3 = q$, and the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.2 For all $x \ge 2$ there exists a 3- $(4x, \{2, 4, 2x\}, [1+2(x-1)(2x-1), 2x-1, 1])$ design of width 2(x-1).

Proof: The construction is essentially the doubling construction for Steiner quadruple systems (see [2], for example). Let A and B be two disjoint sets of size 2x and let $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2x-1}\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{2x-1}\}$ be one factorizations of A and B respectively. Take as blocks

- 1. the sets A and B each of size 2x;
- 2. the x^2 4-element subsets in each of the 2x-1 families: $\{\alpha \cup \beta : \alpha \in a_i, \beta \in b_i\}$, for all $i=1,2,\ldots,2x-1$; and
- 3. all the $2\binom{2x}{2}$ pairs that are either in A or in B each repeated x-2 times.

Arrange the blocks into resolution classes with at most 2(x-1) blocks each, to produce the required design.

If we use $PGL_2(q)$ and the 3- $(v, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ designs constructed in Theorem 2.2 as ingredients to Theorem 1.2 then

$$q = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)} - 2$$

$$= \frac{(1 + 2(x - 1)(2x - 1) - 1)}{2(x - 1)} - 2$$

$$= 2x - 3.$$

Consequently, the following arrays are obtained.

Lemma 2.3 An $OA_{q^2-1}(3, 2(q+3), q+1)$ exists for every odd prime power q.

Another way to construct $3-(v, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ designs is given next.

Theorem 2.4 If there exists an $OA_{\mu}(3, n, yw)$, then there exists a 3- $(n, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ design of width w with

$$\Lambda = [\mu y^3 w^3, \mu y^3 w^2, \mu y^3 w].$$

Proof: Let A be an $OA_{\mu}(3, n, v)$. We think of A as an $n \times \mu v^3$ array defined on symbol set X, |X| = yw. Partition X into subsets Y_i , i = 1, 2, ..., w with each $|Y_i| = y$. We define a 3- $(n, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ design of width w with $w\mu v^3$ blocks, as follows: for i = 1, 2, ..., w and each column j of A, define a block $B_{i,j} = \{h : A[h,j] \in Y_i\}$. Then $(\{1, ..., n\}, \{B_{ij}\})$ is a 3- $(n, \mathcal{K}, \Lambda)$ design of width w with $\Lambda = [\mu y^3 w^3, \mu y^3 w^2, \mu y^3 w]$.

If \mathcal{D}_i is a 3- $(v, \mathcal{K}_i, \Lambda_i)$ design of width w_i , for i = 1, 2, ..., n then for natural numbers α_i , the union with repeated blocks $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathcal{D}_i$ of α_i copies of \mathcal{D}_i , 1, 2, ..., n is a 3- $(v, \bigcup_i \mathcal{K}_i, \sum_i \alpha_i \Lambda_i)$ design of width $w = max_i w_i$. We illustrate this idea next.

Theorem 2.5 Let q be a prime power and choose integers $a, b, m \ge 1$ such that

- 1. q+3=m(a+b);
- 2. $ma \ge 4$;
- 3. $m(a+2b) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$; and
- 4. $(m(a+2b)-4)/4 \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$.

Then an
$$OA_{\frac{(a+b)}{4b}}(q-1+mb)(q-1)(3, (\frac{a+2b}{a+b})(q+3), q+1)$$
 exists.

Proof: Let x = m(a+2b)/4. Then $x \ge 2$ is a positive integer and by Theorem 2.2 there is 3- $(4x, \{2, 4, 2x\}, [1+2(x-1)(2x-1), 2x-1, 1])$ design \mathcal{D}_1 of width 2(x-1). Also the edges of the complete graph K_{4x} (see the proof of Corollary 2.1) form a 3- $(4x, \{2\}, [4x-1, 1, 0])$ design \mathcal{D}_2 of width w = 2x. Take *one* copy of \mathcal{D}_1 and $\frac{a}{b}(x-1)$ copies of \mathcal{D}_2 to form a

$$3-\left(4x,\{2,4,2x\},\left[1+\frac{(x-1)(4(a+b)x-(a+2b))}{b},\frac{(x-1)(a+2b)}{b},1\right]\right)$$

design D of width w = 2x. The conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied.

The main applications of Theorem 1.2 rest on finding suitable regular 3-wise balanced designs. We have illustrated in this section the applications of some easily constructed designs of this type, but expect that further constructions can lead to more existence results for orthogonal arrays.

Acknowledgments

The authors' research is supported as follows: ARO grant DAAG55-98-1-0272 (Colbourn), NSA grant MDA904-97-1-0072 (Kreher) and NSA grant MDA904-96-1-0084 (Stinson).

References

- [1] J. Bierbrauer and C.J. Colbourn, Orthogonal arrays of strength more than two, in: *CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, 1996, 179-184.
- [2] A. Hartman and K.T. Phelps, Steiner quadruple systems, in: *Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys* (J.H. Dinitz, D.R. Stinson; eds.) John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1992, 205-240.
- [3] S. Kageyama, A property of t-wise balanced designs, Ars Combinatoria, **31**, (1991), 237-238.
- [4] D.L. Kreher, Orthogonal Arrays of Strength 3, Journal of Combinatorial Designs 4 (1996), 67-69.