Southern Illinois University Carbondale **OpenSIUC**

Publications Department of Physics

5-1-2002

Magnetic and Electronic Transport Properties of YbxCa1-xMnO3 Compounds

Yongquan Guo Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Sujoy Roy Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Naushad Ali Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Mauro R. Sardela University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/phys_pubs © 2002 American Institute of Physics Published in *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 91 No. 10 (2002) at doi: 10.1063/1.1451702

Recommended Citation

Guo, Yongquan; Roy, Sujoy; Ali, Naushad; and Sardela, Mauro R., "Magnetic and Electronic Transport Properties of YbxCa1-xMnO3 Compounds" (2002). *Publications*. Paper 38. http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/phys_pubs/38

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Physics at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact jnabe@lib.siu.edu.

Magnetic and electronic transport properties of $Yb_xCa_{1-x}MnO_3$ compounds

Yongquan Guo, Sujoy Roy, and Naushad Alia) Department of Physics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901

Mauro R. Sardela, Jr.

Center for Microanalysis of Materials, Materials Research Laboratory, University of Illinois, 104 S. Goodwin Avenue, Urbana, Illinois 61801

The polycrystalline $Yb_xCa_{1-x}MnO_3$ (x=0.1,0.2) were studied by x-ray powder diffraction, magnetic, and electrical resistivity measurements. The YbxCa_{1-x}MnO₃ crystallizes in an orthogonally distorted perovskite structure, and shows the ferromagnetic ordering with T_C more than 110 K. However, the field dependence of magnetization and electrical resistivity exhibits very complicated behavior. A magnetic field induced insulator-metal transition has been found in the Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO₃ compound. In addition, the large asymmetry in magnetization and resistivity hysteresis loops has been observed in this compound at 10 K, which might be due to the charge ordering and magnetocrystalline anisotropy. © 2002 American Institute of Physics.

[DOI: 10.1063/1.1451702]

The recent discovery of colossal magnetoresistance in the alkaline-earth-doped light rare earth manganese oxides with the ABO₃ perovskite structure has attracted renewed interest due to its scientific significance and potential applications.1 The underlying physics of those phenomena has been interpreted in terms of the double-exchange mechanism, Jahn-Teller (JT) polaron, and tolerance factor.2 According to Hwang's report,³ the magnetic properties and magnetoresistance of lanthanum manganite were sensitive to the average La-site cation size. Decreasing the average radius of the La site by rare earth elements Pr and Nd substitution for La, both Curie temperature and the ferromagnetic metal transition temperature decrease. In our recent studies,⁴ Nd doping in (La,K)MnO₃ not only weakens ferromagnetic order, but also induces a structural phase transition and insulator-metal transition at low temperature. These studies imply that the rare earth element might have a contribution to the spin coupling and electronic transport properties. The effect on magnetic and electrical properties of rare earth elements not only depends on their radii size, but also depends on their characteristic properties which will affect the spin fluctuation and spin coupling at low temperature. Here we focus our attentions on the study of the heavy rare earth manganites due to the 4f electron spin antiparallel alignment characterization of the heavy rare earth element, and the motivation in this study is to find out what kind of role the rare earth metal plays in the colossal magnetoresistance materials.

The bulk polycrystalline Yb_rCa_{1-r}MnO₃ (x=0.1,0.2) were prepared by a solid state reaction. The starting materials Yb₂O₃, MnO₂, and CaCO₃ were mixed in stoichiometric proportions and were calcined at 1100 °C for 24 h. The pow-

Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns manifest a single phase with an orthogonally distorted perovskite structure for the two samples as shown in Fig. 1. The related




FIG. 1. The powder XRD patterns of $Yb_xCa_{1-x}MnO_3$ compounds.

der thus obtained was ground, pelletized, and sintered at 1250 °C for 40 h with two intermediate grindings, then cooled to room temperature. The crystal structures of these samples were determined by a Rigaku automatic x-ray diffractometer with $Cu K\alpha$ radiation, and refined by Rietveld's method.⁵ The magnetization measurements were carried out by a commercial SQUID magnetometer from 4.5 to 400 K under the different applied magnetic fields. The resistivity was measured by means of a standard four-probe method at zero field and 5 T, respectively.

TABLE I. The refined structural parameters, coordination of Mn and ionic occupations, the distortion of MnO_6 octahedra and tolerance factor.

Composition of Yb	0.1	0.2
a(Å)	5.310(6)	5.316(4)
$b(ext{Å})$	5.269(3)	5.271(3)
c(Å)	7.463(8)	7.459(7)
$v(\mathring{A}^3)$	208.8(6)	209.0(3)
I	onic occupations	
(Yb, Ca) 4c(1)	x,0.5125(8)	0.5151(9)
	y,0.0323(0)	0.0303(4)
$O^{I}4c(2)$	x,0.5078(3)	0.5219(2)
	y,0.4816(6)	0.4637(6)
$\mathrm{O^{II}}$ 8 d	x,0.1890(3)	0.1857(9)
	y,0.2929(6)	0.2996(0)
	z,0.0464(7)	0.0493(1)
R_p	3.1%	3.5%
R_{wp}	4.0%	4.5%
s	1.3	1.4
Ion	ion and BL(Å) NN	
Mn-O ^I	1.869,2	1.878,2
$\mathrm{Mn-O^{II}}$	1.874,2	1.889,2
$Mn-O^{II}$	2.009,2	2.010,2
$\langle Mn-O \rangle$	1.917,6	1.929,6
$\langle \text{O-Mn-O} \rangle \ (^{\circ})$	157.07,6	153.11,6
Tolerance factor	0.934	0.923

space group is Pbnm, with Z=4. The lattice parameters increase along a and b axes but decrease along c with increasing Yb content. The unit cell increases with increasing Yb doping. In each unit cell, there are four equivalent crystal positions, i.e., the 4a:(0,0,0), 4c(I):(x,y,1/4), 4c(II):(x,y,1/4) and 8d:(x,y,z) site, which are occupied by 4Mn. 4(Yb,Ca), $4O^{I}$, and $8O^{II}$, respectively. Table I exhibits the refined structural parameters, ionic occupations, and the distortion of the MnO₆ octahedron based on the calculated nearest Mn–O bond lengths and Mn–O–Mn bond angles as well as the tolerance factors.




FIG. 2. The temperature dependent magnetization (a) and resistivity (b) of $Yb_xCa_{1-x}MnO_3$.




FIG. 3. The applied field dependence of magnetization (a) and resistivity (b) of $Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO_3$ compound.

magnetization shows the measurement Yb_rCa_{1-r}MnO₃ are ferromagnetic with the Curie temperatures more than 110 K as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). An asymmetrical magnetization hysteresis loop is found in Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO₃ compound at 10 K with the applied field from -5.5 to 5.5 T as shown in Fig. 3(a). This phenomenon is probably due to the magnetocrystalline anisotropy induced by lattice distortion and the heavy rare earth spin coupling at low temperature. There are two aspects of lattice distortion in the context of the magnetic anisotropy of manganites: one is the global strain, which is a general feature for many manganites and determines the anisotropy of the uniform rotation of the spin magnetic moments, and the other one is the alternating local distortion of the MnO6 octahedron, which will lead to the site-dependence of the magnetic anisotropy energy known as one of the common mechanisms responsible for the noncollinear magnetic order. For the global strain, the corresponding energy changes can be characterized by the site-independent tensor τ .⁶ Based on our structural refinement, the Yb doping induces the significant shift of the O occupation at 4c crystal position from (0.50783,0.48166,0.25) to (0.52192,0.46376,0.25). This shift affects the distortion of MnO₆ octahedron. With increasing Yb doping, it shows that both of the Mn-O^I and Mn-O^{II} bonds are extended, the Mn-O-Mn bond angle is bended. Such a distortion of the MnO6 octahedron will lead to the sitedependence of the magnetic anisotropy energy known as one of the standard mechanisms responsible for the noncollinear magnetic order. If Yb spin coupling has a contribution to the magnetic anisotropy, it is most likely due to the Yb 4f electron spin alignment being antiparallel to 3d electron Mn spin, the long distance 4f-3d spin coupling interaction at low temperature forms ferrimagnetic order and weakens the magnetization as well as induces the magnetic anisotropy.

The temperature dependence of resistivity exhibits different behavior with Yb content. In Yb_{0.1}Ca_{0.9}MnO₃ compound, the zero field resistivity shows a metal–insulator (MI) transition near T_C and an insulator–metal transition at 301.4 K. The magnetoresistance (MR) ratio decrease with temperature, the MR ratio is only 13% at 100 K. The zero field

resistivity of Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO₃ compound exhibits an insulator behavior in the whole temperature regime. However, an applied magnetic field induced MI transition occurs at 64.8 K with an applied field of 5 T as shown in Fig. 2(b). The magnetic-field-induced IM transition has been found in the previous study in Nd_{1/2}Sr_{1/2}MnO₃ and in Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO₃ crystals.^{7,8} The explanation can be described as the following. In accord with its magnetization behavior, the resistivity shows phase transition from antiferromagnetic charge ordered insulating state to the ferromagnetic metallic state which is caused by application of an external magnetic field. From the thermodynamic point of view, both states are almost energetically degenerate, but the free energy of the ferromagnetic state decreases by the Zeeman energy M_sH (M_s is the spontaneous magnetization) so that the magnetic-fieldinduced transition would occur by applying an external magnetic field of a few Tesla. This destabilizes the antiferromagnetic charge ordered state and drives the phase transition to the ferromagnetic metallic state.7

It is interesting that a huge asymmetrical field dependent resistivity hysteresis loop at 10 K is observed in $Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO_3$ compound as illustrated in Fig. 3(b). However, this hysteresis loop is melting with temperature and totally disappears near T_C temperature. In general, if the spin-dependent scattering at the magnetic domain boundaries is totally responsible for the observed MR, the MR magnitude will be scaled by the square of the spin-polarization of the carriers, namely, $(M/M_s)^2$ (where M_s is the saturation magnetization). In our experiment, the relationship between MR and magnetization square is not linear, but both magnetization and resistivity hysteresis loops of $Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO_3$ compound exhibit the asymmetric behavior. The charge ordering and magnetic anisotropy, which is induced by lattice distortion and the heavy rare earth spin coupling at low tem-

perature, behave in an antiferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic insulating state, but these insulating states melt with an applied magnetic field. The resistivity shows the transition from antiferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic insulating state to canting ferromagnetic metal or ferromagnetic metal state that occurs with a large applied magnetic field. The magnetic-field-induced IM transition in Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO₃ compound appears to be irreversible.

In conclusion, the $Yb_xCa_{1-x}MnO_3$ crystallizes in an orthogonally distorted perovskite structure, and exhibits the ferromagnetic order. The $Yb_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO_3$ compound shows a very complicated magnetization and resistivity behavior. A magnetic-field-induced IM transition has been found in this compound and the huge asymmetric magnetization and resistivity hysteresis loops have been observed at 10 K, which might be interpreted by the charge ordering and magnetic anisotropy.

This work is supported by CARS-University of Chicago. XRD work, carried out in the Center for Microanalysis of Materials, was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DEFG02-ER45439.

¹ S. Jin, T. H. Tiefel, M. McCormack, P. A. Fastnacht, R. Ramesh, and L. H. Chen, Science 264, 413 (1994).

² A. J. Millis, Nature (London) **392**, 147 (1998).

³H. Y. Hwang, S.-W. Cheong, P. G. Radaelli, M. Marezio, and B. Batlogg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 914 (1995).

⁴Y. Q. Guo, R. Waeppling, X. H. Zhang, and N. Ali (unpublished).

⁵H. M. Rietveld, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2, 65 (1969).

⁶O. K. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3060 (1975).

⁷P. Schiffer, A. P. Ramirez, W. Bao, and S. W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3336 (1995).

⁸ Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitus, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Kokutra, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 3626 (1995).

⁹J. Q. Xiao, J. S. Jiang, and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3749 (1992).