Southern Illinois University Carbondale OpenSIUC

Publications

Department of Plant Biology

2-1998

Permutation of Two-Term Local Quadrat Variance Analysis: General Concepts for Interpretation of Peaks

Jonathan E. Campbell

Scott B. Franklin Southern Illinois University Carbondale

David J. Gibson Southern Illinois University Carbondale, dgibson@plant.siu.edu

Jonathan A. Newman Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/pb_pubs Published in *Journal of Vegetation Science* Vol. 9, No. 1 (1998): 41-44.

Recommended Citation

Campbell, Jonathan E., Franklin, Scott B., Gibson, David J. and Newman, Jonathan A. "Permutation of Two-Term Local Quadrat Variance Analysis: General Concepts for Interpretation of Peaks." (Feb 1998).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Plant Biology at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

Permutation of Two-Term Local Quadrat Variance Analysis: General concepts for interpretation of peaks

Campbell, Jonathan E.^{1*}, Franklin, Scott B.², Gibson, David J.² & Newman, Jonathan A.³

¹USACE Waterways Experiment Station (DynTel Corporation), CEWES-ER-W, 3909 Halls Ferry Rd., Vicksburg, MS 39180, USA; ²Department of Plant Biology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901-6509, USA; ³Department of Zoology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901-6501, USA; *Author for correspondence: Tel. +1 618 4533231; Fax +1 618 4533441; E-mail jonathan@draba.wes.army.mil

Abstract. Many ecological studies use Two-Term Local Quadrat Variance Analysis (TTLQV) and its derivatives for spatial pattern analysis. Currently, rules for determining variance peak significance are arbitrary. Variance peaks found at block size 1 and at > 50 % of the transect length are the only peaks whose use is explicitly prohibited. Although the use of variance peaks found at block sizes > 10 % of the transect length have also been warned against, many researchers interpret them regardless. We show in this paper that variance peaks derived from TTLQV are subject to additional 'rules of thumb'. Through the use of randomization and permutation analyses on real and simulated data of species abundance in contiguous plots along a single transect, we show that variance peaks found at block sizes 1, 2 and 3 occur frequently by chance and thus likely do not indicate biologically meaningful patterns. The use of multiple replicate transects decreases the probability of Type II error.

Keywords: Permutation analysis; Spatial pattern; Variance peak.

Introduction

Two-Term Local Quadrat Variance (TTLQV: Hill 1973) is one of several quadrat-based methods available to examine spatial pattern in plant and animal communities. In some cases, TTLQV has been shown to detect both the scale and the intensity of spatial pattern (Usher 1975; Ludwig & Goodall 1978). Unlike earlier methods, TTLQV is not restricted to detecting pattern on a scale of 2ⁿ blocks (Hill 1973; Ludwig & Reynolds 1988). However, TTLQV has some limitations. Pielou (1977) and Galiano et al. (e.g. 1987) showed that TTLQV analysis actually reports the average of the patch and gap sizes. They showed that transects with homogeneous patch size and heterogeneous gap size will result in variance peaks at differing block sizes. To allow for the detection of patch size per se, Dale & MacIsaac (1989) developed a method based on combinatorics that, subsequent to quadrat-variance techniques, differentiates patch and gap sizes. In addition, Errington (1973) suggests that TTLQV will often result in block sizes that are smaller than the actual scale of pattern when examining artificial data in which the scale of pattern is known. Dale & Blundon (1990) correct for this by stating that the true scale of pattern (B), is:

$$B = b + [30b/255] + 1 \tag{1}$$

where b is the variance peak block size; the brackets indicate the integer part of the division. Despite these limitations, TTLQV has been useful in many studies (e.g. Greig-Smith 1979; Gibson 1988; Dale & Blundon 1990; Edwards 1994).

One problem when utilizing TTLQV is determining the meaning and significance of peaks in variance-block size graphs. This paper simply defines peaks as an increase in variance followed by a decrease in variance. While the intensity of the variance peak is important to the final interpretation of the TTLQV statistic, peak intensity is not considered here because of the problem with quantitatively determining peak significance. Although several researchers have attempted objective methods for evaluating the significance of peaks (e.g. χ^2 , Greig-Smith et al. 1963; randomization tests, Mead 1974; 95 % confidence intervals, Greig-Smith 1979; mean square, Carpenter & Chaney 1983) there is no agreedupon method. Also, while some researchers have found that the smallest block size corresponds to some readily recognized features of the system, it has commonly been accepted that a variance peak found at the first block size is the result of sampling in quadrats that are larger than the 'actual' scale of pattern. In addition, Ludwig & Reynolds (1988) suggest that variances should not be analyzed for blocks > 10 % of the number of quadrats when using a single transect of data. Although most researchers interpret block sizes up to one half of the transect length (e.g. Carpenter & Chaney 1983; Ver Hoef et al. 1989; Edwards 1994), Ludwig & Reynolds (1988) suggest that such computations lack precision. Variance peaks at intermediate block sizes (> 1 and < 10 % or half the transect length) are thought to reveal meaningful interpretations of the data.

Permutation analyses

Permutation analyses essentially involve the random reshuffling of data points without replacement. The use of these techniques has recently increased. Longman et al. (1989) use permutation analysis to determine the significance of components arising in Principal Components Analysis (PCA). The primary advantage of this type of analysis is the reliance on a distribution-free methodology. In addition, data permutations effectively eliminate the problems associated with small and/or unbalanced data sets - i.e. difficulty in testing for normality and loss of power (Potvin & Roff 1993). A final advantage of permutation analyses, assuming spatial variation as the sum of spatial pattern and spatially independent error, is the destruction of any autocorrelation present in the original data set (Ver Hoef et al. 1993). A disadvantage of this analysis is the relatively long time needed to carry out such calculations.

Our objective is to discuss peak interpretation, especially the first four block sizes, when using TTLQV. To do so, we use permutation analyses to demonstrate that variance peaks at block sizes 1, 2, and 3 are likely (i.e. > 88%) to arise by chance, regardless of transect length.

Methods

Two-Term Local Quadrat Variance was applied to several real and simulated sets of transect data (Table 1).

Table 1. Field and simulated data sets used in this study. Block size of first variance peak ('Size peak') for each of the Markov-generated data sets were averaged (\pm SD) over 100 runs.

e	U			
Variable	Ref.*	Transect length	Size peak	
Field data				
% cover - Andropogon gerardii	1	50	15	
% cover - Ambrosia psilostachya	1	50	8	
% cover - Salvia pitcheri	1	50	10	
% cover - Poa pratensis	1	50	5	
% cover - Aster ericoides	1	50	5	
No. stems - Amorpha canescens	2	100	9	
No. stems - Ambrosia psilostachya	2	100	3	
No. stems - Salvia pitcheri	2	100	7	
No. stems - Gaylussacia baccata	3	50	17	
% burnt	3	50	21	
% cover - leaf litter	3	50	7	
% cover - mosses	3	50	24	
Height tallest vegetative shoot	4	500	55	
Simulated data				
Block size 1; Low Intensity		100	1	
Block size 3; Low Intensity		100	3	
Block size 10; Low Intensity		100	9	
Block size 1; High Intensity		100	1	
Block size 3; High Intensity		100	3	
Block size 10; High Intensity		100	9	
Markov data ($\sigma = 0.2$; $\gamma = 0.2$)		250	16.6 (±10.9)	
Markov data ($\sigma = 0.05$; $\gamma = 0.05$)		250	39.0 (±21.7)	
Markov data ($\sigma = 0.5$; $\gamma = 0.05$)		250	12.0 (± 9.0)	
Markov data ($\sigma = 0.05; \gamma = 0.5$)		250	10.3 (± 6.8)	
Markov data ($\sigma = 0.5$; $\gamma = 0.5$)		250	2.41 (± 2.1)	
*1: Collins & Gibson (1990); 2: Ca 4: Newman (unpubl.).	mpbell	(unpubl.); 3: Ma	tlack et al. (1993);	

Field data

Real data consisted of 13 variables from four separate field studies. The first two data sets were taken from tall-grass prairie studies at Konza Prairie Research Natural Area, Kansas, USA. Data set 1 was a visual estimation of canopy coverage of five species in 50 contiguous 0.25 m² quadrats (Collins & Gibson 1990). Data set 2 contained stem density counts for three species in 100 contiguous 0.25-m² quadrats (Campbell unpubl.). Data set 3 was taken from a study of ericaceous shrubs in the pine barrens of New Jersey coastal plains, USA (Matlack et al. 1993). Stem densities of two shrub species, cover of mosses, leaf litter, and percentage of the plot unburned in a recent fire were measured in 100 contiguous 0.25-m² quadrats. The final data set was taken from a study of vegetation heights in a rye grass-white clover pasture in Wales (Newman unpub.). Height of the tallest vegetative shoot was recorded in 500 contiguous 5-cm² quadrats.

Simulated data

Six of the 11 simulated transects consisted of 100 contiguous quadrats. These simulated transects were created to reflect pattern at block sizes 1, 3, and 10. In addition, high and low intensity values were generated for each of the three block sizes (e.g. block size 3, low intensity: 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0; block size 3, high intensity: 10 10 10 1 1 1 10 10 10 1 1 1). In addition, Markov chains, 250 quadrats in length, were used to create simulated transects based on five different probabilities of transition from patch to gap and gap to patch (Table 2). Each of the sets were run $100 \times$ to capture variability in first peak block size results. Markov chains were employed in this study because knowledge of the expected patch and gap lengths can be maintained while a degree of stochastisity is still present within the transect. All Markovian chains used were based on a matrix of one step transition probabilities:

$P = \frac{\text{gap patch}}{\text{patch}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \delta & \delta \\ \gamma & 1 - \gamma \end{bmatrix}$ (1)

where σ is the probability of a transition from a gap to a patch, and γ is the probability of a transition from a patch to a gap. Expected gap and patch lengths were calculated with the equation:

$$E(gap) = 1 / \sigma; E(patch) = 1 / \gamma.$$
(2)

These expected values would be for averages from several samples. In some cases, TTLQV accurately recovered the true scale of the patches and gaps derived from this Markov analysis (e.g. Markov data set #2). However, it also found the average of patch and gap values, as Pielou (1977) and Galiano et al. (1987) predicted (e.g. Markov data sets #3 and #4).

43

Data set	σ	γ	prop g	prop p	E(g)	E(p)
1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	5	5
2	0.05	0.05	0.5	0.5	20	20
3	0.5	0.05	0.091	0.909	5	20
4	0.05	0.5	0.909	0.091	20	5
5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	2	2

Table 2. Transition probabilities and estimated patch and gap sizes for data sets generated by Markovian modeling.

Permutation analysis

For the permutation analysis, we generated null model data sets from the field and simulated data to determine if spatial pattern in the data differed from patterns under a random assemblage hypothesis (Carpenter & Chaney 1983; Edwards 1994). Each permutation was analyzed with the TTLQV technique and the block size of subsequent first peaks was recorded. 10 000 data permutations, the minimum suggested by Manly (1991), were then generated for each transect. For data sets with more than one transect, permutation of individual values was made over the entire data set. The simple formula (1 cumulative frequency) of first peak block sizes, provides an empirical α -level for each block size. While this is not a true test of significance, it serves as a parsimonious determinant of whether peaks at various block sizes are justifiably interpretable as natural scale phenomena rather than stochastic occurrences. Similar Monte-Carlo procedures have been used to generate error statistics for other pattern analysis techniques (Carpenter & Chaney 1983; Ludwig & Reynolds 1988).

To ensure that the results were not merely an artifact of randomization and/or programming methodology, a second number generating method was used for the permutation analysis on all transects. This method determined cumulative frequency for all values along the transect. Random numbers between one and one hundred were then generated and matched to the cumulative frequency of the value within the data set. The value with the cumulative frequency corresponding to the random number was subsequently placed into the new data set. This was done at each point along the length of each transect. All permutations of the transect data were generated using a PASCAL program which incorporated calculation of the TTLQV statistic from an earlier program written originally by A. J. Morton (Imperial College, London) and revised by T. L. Dix (University of West Florida).

Results

The sampling distribution behaved in a remarkably similar manner for all permutated transect variables.



Fig. 1. Average percentage of 'first peaks' at each block size over all transects. Results include the first (**a**) and second (**b**) number generating methodologies.

Fig. 1a shows the distribution of the 'first peaks' up to block size 10 for all transects. Block size 1 contains ca. 50 % of the 'first peaks', block size 2 contains approximately one fourth, block size 3 contains approximately one eighth, block size 4 contains approximately one sixteenth, and so on. This clearly shows that 'first peaks' recovered from permutated transect data are not rare events at block sizes 1 (\cong 50 %), 2 (\cong 25 %), or 3 (\cong 12 %). Variance peaks at block size 4, however, occur relatively infrequently (\cong 5 - 6 %), therefore 'first peaks' occurring at this and greater block sizes are likely due to natural scale phenomenon rather than stochastic features in the data.

These findings are echoed in the second number generating methodology (Fig. 1b). The agreement between methods suggests that the phenomena is more than just an artifact of the programming language and/or the random number generation. Based on the similarity of the permutation results for all real and simulated variables and all transect lengths, results appear to be robust.

Discussion

The acceptance of spurious results is a constant threat in any study using extensive statistical calculation (see Franklin et al. 1995 for a discussion of this problem in the application of Principle Components Analysis). To aid researchers in the evaluation of their particular methodology, general guidelines are invaluable tools. Currently, TTLQV analysis has only been guided by two rules (1) do not interpret a peak at the first block size, and (2) only interpret block sizes that are less than one tenth (or one half) of the total transect length. In this paper, we suggest that TTLQV is subject to additional guidelines. In particular, block sizes 1 ($\alpha = 0.5$), 2 $(\alpha = 0.25)$, and 3 $(\alpha = 0.11)$ are not significant below their respective α -levels. In other words, block size 2 can only be considered if the examiner has a priori accepted an α -level of 0.25 or higher. Again, block size 3 can only

be considered if the examiner has *a priori* accepted an α -level of 0.11 or higher. It is not until block size 4 ($\alpha = 0.05$) that the probability of accepting randomness as pattern begins to conform to the standard α -level of 0.05.

As one may expect, however, there are exceptions to this 'rule'. In particular, block sizes 2 and 3 could be interpreted if analysis of several transects of similar data resulted in identical information. For example, the probability that a local maximum in variance occurs at block size 2 on each of three independent transects reduces the possibility of a Type II error. If the random probability of a variance peak occurring at block size 2 is 0.25, then the probability of that happening on three independent transects is $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 = 0.016$ (1.6%) – all transects must result in exactly the same variance peak for this multiplicity of probabilities to hold true. This caveat supports the supposition that multiple transects result in more reliable data interpretation. However, multiplicity of transects does not aid in the interpretation of block size 1, as actual patch may still be smaller than the quadrat size for such transects.

If one holds to the strict 10 % interpretation rule of Ludwig & Reynolds (1988), only the four block sizes in a transect of 40 quadrats should be interpreted. However, our results suggest that peaks in the first three block sizes recovered from such a transect cannot be interpreted. In this case, the only interpretable peak, if it were to occur in field data analysis, would be found at block size 4. Thus, the use of single, short transects with <40 quadrats is problematic and should be discouraged.

Acknowledgements. Partial financial support to J. E. Campbell was provided by a challenge-cost share award from the USDA-Forest Service - Shawnee National Forest, and to D. J. Gibson by NSF grants DEB-9317976 and BSR-9020426 to Kansas State University. Konza Prairie Research Natural Area is owned by the Nature Conservancy and administered by Kansas State University. P. Greig-Smith and S. Bartha provided constructive comments on a draft of the manuscript.

References

- Carpenter, S.R. & Chaney, J.E. 1983. Scale of spatial pattern: four methods compared. *Vegetatio* 53: 153-160.
- Collins, S.L. & Gibson, D.J. 1990. Effects of fire on community structure in tallgrass and mixed-grass prairie. In: Collins, S.L. & Wallace, L.L. (eds.) *Effects of fire on tallgrass prairie ecosystems*, pp. 81-98. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman, OK.
- Dai, X. & van der Maarel, E. 1997. Transect-based patch size frequency analysis. J. Veg. Sci. 8: 865-872.
- Dale, M.R.T. & Blundon, D.J. 1990. Quadrat variance analysis and pattern development during primary succession. J.

Veg. Sci. 1: 153-164.

- Dale, M.R.T. & MacIsaac, D.A. 1989. New method for the analysis of spatial pattern in vegetation. J. Ecol. 77: 78-91.
- Edwards, G.R, Parsons, A.J., Newman, J.A. & Wright, I.A. 1996. The spatial pattern of vegetation in cut and grazed grass/white clover pastures. *Grass. For. Sci.* 51: 219-231.
- Errington, J.C. 1973. The effect of regular and random distributions on the analysis of pattern. J. Ecol. 77: 78-91.
- Franklin, S.B., Gibson, D.J., Robertson, R.A., Pohlmann, J.T. & Fralish, J.S. 1995. Parallel analysis: a method for determining significant principal components. J. Veg. Sci. 6: 1-8.
- Galiano, E.F., Castro, I. & Sterling, A. 1987. A test for spatial pattern in vegetation using a Monte-Carlo simulation. J. Ecol. 75: 915-924.
- Gibson, D.J. 1988. The relationship of sheep grazing and soil heterogeneity to plant spatial patterns in a dune grassland. *J. Ecol.* 76: 233-252.
- Greig-Smith, P. 1979. Pattern in vegetation. J. Ecol. 67: 755-779.
- Greig-Smith, P., Kershaw, K.A. & Anderson, D.J. 1963. The analysis of pattern in vegetation: comment on a paper by D.W. Goodall. J. Ecol. 51: 223-229.
- Hill, M.O. 1973. The intensity of spatial pattern in plant communities. J. Ecol. 61: 225-235.
- Longman, R.S., Cota, A.A., Holden, R.R. & Fekken, G.C. 1989. A regression equation for the parallel analysis criterion in principal component analysis: mean and 95th percentile eigenvalues. *Multi. Behav. Res.* 24: 59-69.
- Ludwig, J.A. & Goodall, D.W. 1978. A comparison of pairedwith blocked-quadrat variance methods for the analysis of spatial pattern. *Vegetatio* 38: 49-59.
- Ludwig, J.A. & Reynolds, J.F. 1988. *Statistical ecology: a primer on methods and computing*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- Manly, B.F. 1991. *Randomization and Monte Carlo methods in biology*. Chapman Hill, London.
- Matlack, G.R., Gibson, D.J. & Good, R.E. 1993. Clonal propagation, local disturbance, and the structure of vegetation: ericaceous shrubs in the pine barrens of New Jersey. *Biol. Conserv.* 63: 1-8.
- Mead, R. 1974. A test for spatial pattern at several scales using data from a grid of continuous quadrats. *Biometrics* 30: 295-307.
- Pielou, E.C. 1977. *Mathematical ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- Potvin, C. & Roff, D.A. 1993. Distribution-free and robust statistical methods: viable alternatives to parametric statistics? *Ecology* 74: 1617-1628.
- Usher, M.B. 1975. Analysis of pattern in real and artificial plant populations. *J. Ecol.* 63: 569-586.
- Ver Hoef, J.M., Glenn-Lewin, D.C. & Werger, M.J.A. 1989. Relationship between horizontal pattern and vertical structure in a chalk grassland. *Vegetatio* 83: 147-155.
- Ver Hoef, J.M., Cressie, N.A. & Glenn-Lewin, D.C. 1993. Spatial models for spatial statistics: some unification. J. Veg. Sci. 4: 441-452.

Received 1 July 1996; Revision received 2 September 1997; Accepted 20 November 1997.