Southern Illinois University Carbondale OpenSIUC

Posters

REACH

3-2010

Impact Resistance of Intermetallic Bonded Diamond Composites and Polycrystalline Diamond Compacts

Lucas Pulley *SIUC*

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/reach_posters

Recommended Citation

Pulley, Lucas, "Impact Resistance of Intermetallic Bonded Diamond Composites and Polycrystalline Diamond Compacts" (2010). *Posters*. Paper 6. http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/reach_posters/6

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the REACH at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Posters by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.



Impact Resistance of Intermetallic Bonded Diamond Composites and Polycrystalline Diamond Compacts

Lucas Pulley, Mechanical Engineering & Energy Processes Faculty Advisor: Dr. Peter Filip, Director, Center for Advanced Friction Studies



Introduction

Intermetallic-Bonded Diamond Composite (IBD), developed at Southern Illinois University (SIU), is a ground-breaking new material with potential in the drilling and machining industry. In previous work, IBD was found to exceed high standards of hardness and wear resistance, however, there is no detailed record of IBD friction and wear performance or impact resistance compared with polycrystalline diamond (PDC), one of today's leading materials used in industry for high-wear applications.

Experimental Procedure

In order to test the impact resistance of six PDC samples, the CAFS in house impact tester was used. Figure 1a shows the impact tester, and Figure 1b shows a schematic drawing of the sample position in the steel fixture. A dropmass of 21.2 kg was used. The height of the cross piece was adjusted on each test to reach the desired initial gravitational potential energy. A high speed camera was used to capture the drop load rebound height after impact in order to find the final potential energy. The difference

Steel Impact Plate 1b

between initial and final potential energy is the energy absorbed by the sample. See equation below:

Energy Absorbed = mg(h1-h2)

Conclusions & Future Work

-IBD outperforms PDC in toughness testing and impact resistance applications.

-Fractures in PDC samples tend to travel transcrystalline while the cobalt-tungsten binder deforms plastically.

-Intermetallic binder of IBD deforms plastically with impact while some diamonds can be fractured.

-IBD breaking point levels are higher than PDC, which were within the 120-125 J. range, while absorbing an average of 112 J.

-Future research is needed to discover a method of polishing IBD samples for similar material sample surfaces during testing

-Future research is needed to hone in on a more accurate breaking point range for IBD.

References

Dr. Peter Filip and Dr. Dale Wittmer, "Wear Performance of IBD compared with Halliburton PDC and WC- Preliminary Results" SIU Carbondale CAFS: March 9, 2009

Kendall, L. Alden. "Friction and Wear of Cutting Tools and Cutting Tool Materials." Department of Industrial Tech. University of Minnesota.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Meg Martin and the REACH Program for funding this research. I would also like to thank the faculty and staff of the Center for Advanced Friction Studies for lab resources and guidance.



Table 1 PDC Sample #9 un #|Mass (kg)Height (m)Initial PE (J) Bounce (m)Final PE (J)Energy Absorbed (J) 10.4 11.4 16.1 100 0.51 0.06 93. 21.2 21.2 110 0.08 93.9 0.55 21.2 115 0.06 11.4 103.6 21.2 120 0.06 11.4 108.6 (Fracture) PDC Sample #10 109.6 0.58 0.61 0.61 10.4 12.5 12.5 21.2 21.2 0.05 120 125 112.5 0.06 112.5 (Fracture) 21.2 125 PDC Sample #11 0.61 0.05 21.2 10.4 114.6 125 21.2 0.06 11.4 113.6 0.61 0.61 0.61 21.2 21.2 125 125 0.05 10.4 11.4 114.6 113.6 21.2 125 0.06 11.4 (Fracture) IBD Samples (Each sample i mpacted once with 125 J.) 125 125 125 0.06 0.05 0.06 0.06 0.06 12.5 10.4 13.1 11.4 11.9 112.5 21.2 0.61 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 21.2 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 114.6 111.9 113.6 125 113.3 (Fracture)

Results

Drop Load

Figure 2 shows the impacted surface of a PDC sample. These induced fractres travel across the entire sample and sink deep into the surface.

Figure 3 is a tested IBD sample under 125 J. load. The impacted edge is plastically deformed, appearing smooth, while the lower half of the sample remains rough.

 Table 1 displays a set

 of impact test data.

 PDC samples were

 tested until failure

 around 125 J. Each

 IBD sample was

 impacted once at 125 J.



Figure 4 is a highly magnified image of an impacted IBD sample. This image shows how the surface fractured transcrystalline and also how a diamond particle was pushed deeper into the nickel aluminide binder due to the samples unpolished surface. There are also micro latent cracks seen in the binder.

Figure 5 shows the surface fracture mechanisms of a PDC sample. This fracture travels transcrystalline, through the diamonds, instead of along the grain boundaries. The cobalt-tungsten binder deforms plastically but stays strong. Several micro cracks can be seen in the grains as well.