

11-2007

Mutation Rate Variation in Eukaryotes: Evolutionary Implications of Site-specific Mechanisms

David G. King
Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Yechezkel Kashi
Israel Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/anat_pubs
Published in *Nature Reviews Genetics*, Vol. 8, No. 11 (November 2007) at [10.1038/nrg2158-c1](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2158-c1).
Correspondence.

Recommended Citation

King, David G. and Kashi, Yechezkel. "Mutation Rate Variation in Eukaryotes: Evolutionary Implications of Site-specific Mechanisms." (Nov 2007).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Anatomy at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

Mutation rate variation in eukaryotes: Evolutionary implications of site-specific mechanisms

Baer *et al.*'s review¹ accurately conveys the tenor of most literature on mutation-rate evolution. Even while recognizing that mutation rates can vary dramatically from site to site within a genome, this literature mostly ignores or minimizes the special characteristics and consequences of site-specific mutational mechanisms. Therefore, to the authors' list of "five critical questions" for future investigation, we would like to propose a sixth: To what extent can elevated site-specific mutation rates vary (and evolve) independently from low average nucleotide substitution rates?

Following historical precedent, Baer *et al.*¹ consistently refer to "the mutation rate" as if a single value could adequately summarize the complex outcome of many diverse mutational mechanisms. However, such a simplistic statistic should no longer be tolerated, at least not without precise qualification such as "average rate of nucleotide substitutions within protein-coding sequences". Even then, somatic mutability of antibody genes demonstrates that localized hypermutation can be exploited by adaptive evolution². Site-specific sources of germ line mutation are also familiar, as evidenced by prolific variation produced by simple sequence repeats (SSRs; microsatellites and minisatellites)³.

Although Baer *et al.*¹ acknowledge SSRs' remarkably high mutation rates, they do not discuss the profound implications of such site-specific mutability for understanding mutation-rate evolution. Phenotypic effects of SSR mutations include reversible on-off switching as well as quantitative variation in many aspects of gene function³⁻⁸. Such effects need not be predominantly deleterious, especially when adaptation is suboptimal, as in variable environments⁹. Yet an assumption that "the vast majority of mutations with observable effects are deleterious" has informed most discussion of mutation-rate evolution throughout the past century¹. Traditionally, theoretical discussion also assumes that recombination in diploid organisms

must eventually separate "mutator alleles" from resulting mutations, thereby preventing mutators from "hitchhiking" on selection for beneficial mutants¹. However, recombination cannot unlink site-specific mutational mechanisms, such as those based on SSRs, from the mutations which they generate. Hence selection for any beneficial mutation at a mutable site must also, indirectly, favor the site's intrinsic mutability.

The best evidence for positive selection of sites with high mutation rates comes from the SSR-based contingency genes of haploid microorganisms¹⁰. In eukaryotes, the reported abundances, genomic distributions, phylogenetic conservation, and patterns of variation for SSRs are also strongly suggestive of positive selection^{4,6,7}. The utility of SSR mutability for adaptive evolution has already been implicated in several cases, including skeletal evolution of domestic dogs⁵, temperature compensation of the *Drosophila* circadian clock¹¹⁻¹³, and social behavior of voles¹⁴, among others⁷. Whether such mutable sites somehow prevail in spite of their high mutability (as conventional theory requires), or whether indirect selection for their high mutability is the reason for their prevalence^{8,9}, remains to be established. In either case, the special characteristics of site-specific mutational mechanisms deserve attention in any future consideration of mutation-rate evolution.

D. G. King, Department of Anatomy and Department of Zoology, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, Illinois, U.S.A.

Y. Kashi, Department of Biotechnology and Food Engineering, Israel Institute of Technology (The Technion), Haifa, Israel.

1. Baer, C. F., Miyamoto, M. M. & Denver, D.R. Mutation rate variation in multicellular eukaryotes: causes and consequences. *Nature Rev. Genet.* **8**, 619-631 (2007).
2. Beale, R. & Iber, D. 2006. Somatic evolution of antibody genes. in *The Implicit Genome* (ed. Caporale, L.H.) 177-190 (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2006).
3. Kashi, Y., King, D. G. & Soller, M. Simple sequence repeats as a source of quantitative genetic variation. *Trends Genet.* **13**, 74-78 (1997).
4. King, D. G. & Soller, M. in *Evolutionary Theory and Processes: Modern Perspectives* (ed. Wasser, S.P.) 65-82 (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999).

5. Fondon, J. W. 3rd & Garner, H. R. Molecular origins of rapid and continuous morphological evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 18058-18063 (2004).
6. King, D. G., Trifonov, E. N. & Kashi, Y. in *The Implicit Genome* (ed. Caporale, L.H.) 77-90 (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2006).
7. Kashi, Y. & King, D. G. Simple sequence repeats as advantageous mutators in evolution. *Trends Genet.* **22**, 253-259 (2006).
8. King, D. G. & Kashi, Y. Indirect selection for mutability. *Heredity* **99**, 123-124 (2007).
9. Levins, R. *Evolution in Changing Environments*. (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968).
10. Bayliss, C. D. & Moxon, E. R. Repeats and variation in pathogen selection. in *The Implicit Genome* (ed. Caporale, L.H.) 54-76 (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2006).
11. Sawyer, L. A. *et al.* Natural variation in a *Drosophila* clock gene and temperature compensation. *Science* **278**, 2117-2120 (1997).
12. Zamorzaeva, I., Rashkovetsky, E., Nevo, E. & Korol, A. Sequence polymorphism of candidate behavioural genes in *Drosophila melanogaster* flies from 'Evolution Canyon'. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 3235-3245 (2005).
13. Sawyer, L. A. *et al.* The period gene *Thr* Gly polymorphism in Australian and African *Drosophila melanogaster* populations: Implications for selection. *Genetics* **174**, 465-480 (2006).
14. Hammock, E. A. D. & Young, L. J. Microsatellite instability generates diversity in brain and sociobehavioral traits. *Science* **308**, 1630-1634 (2005).

Correspondence to D.G.K. e-mail: dgking@siu.edu

Nature Reviews Genetics

November, 2007

(in press, online publication due Oct. 18)